

of a Notice of Intent (NOI) in the **Federal Register** on October 1, 2010, in which NNSA announced its intention to prepare the CMRR–NF SEIS and invited public comment on the scope of the NEPA analysis. The NOI also announced the schedule for public scoping meetings that were held on October 19, 2010, and on October 20, 2010, in White Rock and Pojoaque, New Mexico, respectively. In addition to the public meetings, the public was encouraged to provide comments via mail, e-mail, and fax. All scoping comments received were considered by NNSA in preparing the Draft CMRR SEIS.

Alternatives. The Draft CMRR–NF SEIS analyzes the following three alternatives:

No Action Alternative. The No Action Alternative (also referred to as the 2004 CMRR–NF) reflects the CMRR–NF as it was described and analyzed in the 2003 CMRR EIS and selected in the 2004 ROD (69 FR 6967) and the 2008 Complex Transformation SPEIS ROD (73 FR 77656).

This alternative also includes two additional project activities that were not included in the 2003 CMRR EIS but were analyzed in the 2008 *Final Site-Wide Environmental Impact Statement for Continued Operation of Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico* (the LANL SWEIS, DOE/EIS –0380), which analyzed the CMRR Facility as part of on-going and future LANL operations. These additional project elements are the transportation and storage of up to 150,000 cubic yards (115,000 cubic meters) per year of excavated soil and spoils from the construction site, and the installation of a new 115-kilovolt electric substation on the existing power distribution loop in TA–50. The 2004 CMRR–NF would have been constructed at TA–55, adjacent to the RLUOB. It is now known, however, that the 2004 CMRR–NF design would not be able to be constructed to meet the nuclear facility design standards required for NNSA to safely conduct the full suite of AC and MC mission work needed by NNSA and DOE. Under the No Action Alternative, the 2004 CMRR–NF would have been constructed as a two-storied building with one above ground level and one below ground level, together with connecting tunnels, material storage vaults, utility structures and trenches, security structures, parking area(s) and a variety of other support areas (such as material laydown areas, concrete batch plant, and equipment storage and parking areas). The building would have comprised about 200,000 square feet (18,600 square meters) of solid floor

space, while the total amount of laboratory workspace where mission-related AC and MC operations would be performed would have been about 22,500 square feet (2,100 square meters) in size.

Modified CMRR–NF Alternative. The Modified CMRR–NF would be constructed at the same TA–55 location adjacent to the RLUOB which is identified for the No Action Alternative and would enable NNSA to safely conduct the full suite of AC and MC mission work needed by NNSA and DOE. The Modified CMRR–NF would be constructed with additional structural and reinforcing concrete and steel; additional soil excavation, soil stabilization, and foundation work would also be necessary. The building would comprise about 344,000 square feet (31,000 square meters) of useable floor space divided between four stories and a partial roof level. The total amount of laboratory workspace where mission-related AC and MC operations would be performed would be about 22,500 square feet (2,100 square meters) in size. Additionally, a set of dedicated fire suppression water storage tanks would be located within the Modified CMRR–NF building. This proposed project would differ from the 2004 CMRR–NF in that it would include facility modifications to address DOE and NNSA nuclear facility design standards including seismic safety, nuclear safety basis requirements, security needs, and sustainable design principals and would also include certain additional infrastructure enhancements and construction support activities.

The Modified CMRR–NF Alternative includes two construction options, the Deep Excavation Option and the Shallow Excavation Option. The two construction options consider excavation depths that would allow NNSA to construct the building either below or above a layer of poorly welded volcanic tuff (ash) present at the TA–55 site. The Modified CMRR Alternative is NNSA's preferred alternative; however, NNSA has not identified a preferred construction option at this time.

Continued Use of CMR Building Alternative. Under this alternative, NNSA would continue to carry out laboratory operations in the existing CMR Building at TA–3, with radiological laboratory and administrative support operations moving into the newly constructed RLUOB at TA–55. The continued operation of the CMR Building over an extended period of time would result in continued reduction of laboratory space as operations are further consolidated,

or eliminated. It may also include further reductions in operations that could be identified as necessary over time based on the limited ability of the CMR Building to be safely operated and maintained in a physically prudent fashion. This alternative would not meet NNSA's need to carry out AC and MC operations at a level that would support the entire range of DOE and NNSA mission needs.

Public Hearings and Invitation to Comment. NNSA will hold three public hearings on the Draft CMRR–NF SEIS as described in this Notice under **DATES**. Individuals who would like to present comments orally at these hearings must register upon arrival at the hearing. Speaking time will be allotted by the hearing moderator to each individual wishing to speak so as to ensure that as many people as possible have the opportunity to speak. NNSA representatives will be available during the open house portion of these hearings to discuss the Draft CMRR–NF SEIS and the analyses in it. Following the plenary session, the public will have an opportunity to provide oral and written comments.

Following the end of the public comment period on the Draft CMRR–NF SEIS described above, the NNSA will consider and respond to the comments received during the comment period on the Draft CMRR–NF SEIS in the Final CMRR–NF SEIS, and issue the Final CMRR–NF SEIS. NNSA decision-makers will consider the environmental impact analysis presented in the Final CMRR–NF SEIS, along with other information, in making decisions related to CMRR–NF.

Signed in Washington, DC, on April 21, 2011.

Thomas P. D'Agostino,
Administrator, National Nuclear Security Administration.

[FR Doc. 2011–10406 Filed 4–28–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL–9293–7]

Cross-Media Electronic Reporting: Authorized Program Revision Approval, State of Illinois

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces EPA's approval of the State of Illinois' request to revise its EPA-authorized program to allow electronic reporting.

DATES: EPA's approval is effective April 29, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Evi Huffer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information, Mail Stop 2823T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 566-1697, huffer.evi@epa.gov, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information, Mail Stop 2823T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460, or Karen Seeh, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Environmental Information, Mail Stop 2823T, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 566-1175, seeh.karen@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 13, 2005, the final Cross-Media Electronic Reporting Rule (CROMERR) was published in the **Federal Register** (70 FR 59848) and codified as Part 3 of title 40 of the CFR. CROMERR establishes electronic reporting as an acceptable regulatory alternative to paper reporting and establishes requirements to assure that electronic documents are as legally dependable as their paper counterparts. Under Subpart D of CROMERR, state, tribe or local government agencies that receive, or wish to begin receiving, electronic reports under their EPA-authorized programs must apply to EPA for a revision or modification of those programs and obtain EPA approval. Subpart D also provides standards for such approvals based on consideration of the electronic document receiving systems that the state, tribe, or local government will use to implement the electronic reporting. Additionally, in § 3.1000(b) through (e) of 40 CFR part 3, subpart D provides special procedures for program revisions and modifications to allow electronic reporting, to be used at the option of the state, tribe or local government in place of procedures available under existing program-specific authorization regulations. An application submitted under the Subpart D procedures must show that the state, tribe or local government has sufficient legal authority to implement the electronic reporting components of the programs covered by the application and will use electronic document receiving systems that meet the applicable Subpart D requirements.

On July 8, 2010, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency's (ILEPA) submitted an application for its Electronic Discharge Monitoring Report (eDMR) document receiving system for revision of its EPA-authorized program under title 40 CFR. EPA reviewed

ILEPA's request to revise its EPA-authorized program and, based on this review, EPA determined that the application met the standards for approval of authorized program revisions set out in 40 CFR part 3, subpart D. In accordance with 40 CFR 3.1000(d), this notice of EPA's decision to approve Illinois' request for revision to its 40 CFR part 123—National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) State Program Requirements EPA-authorized program for electronic reporting of discharge monitoring report information submitted under 40 CFR part 122 is being published in the **Federal Register**.

ILEPA was notified of EPA's determination to approve its application with respect to the authorized program listed above.

Dated: April 6, 2011.

Andrew Battin,

Director, Office of Information Collection.

[FR Doc. 2011-10433 Filed 4-28-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-8996-6]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-1399 or <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/>.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed 04/18/2011 Through 04/22/2011.

Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

NOTICE:

In accordance with Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to make its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies public. Historically, EPA met this mandate by publishing weekly notices of availability of EPA comments, which includes a brief summary of EPA's comment letters, in the **Federal Register**. Since February 2008, EPA has included its comment letters on EISs on its website at: <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/eisdata.html>. Including the entire EIS comment letters on the website satisfies the Section 309(a) requirement to make EPA's comments on EISs available to the public. Accordingly, on March 31, 2010, EPA discontinued the publication of the notice of availability of EPA comments in the **Federal Register**.

EIS No. 20110128, Revised Draft EIS, USFS, CO, Colorado Roadless Areas Rulemaking, Proposal To Establish

Regulatory Direction for Managing Approximately 4.2 million Acres of Roadless Areas, Arapaho and Roosevelt; Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, and Gunnison; Manti-La Sal (portion in Colorado); Pike and San Isabel; Rio Grande; Routt; San Juan; and White River National Forests, CO, Comment Period Ends: 07/14/2011, Contact: Pam Skeels 303-275-5152

EIS No. 20110129, Final EIS, USFS, ID, Robo Elk Project, Proposes Watershed Improvement, Timber Harvest, Fuel Treatments, and Recreation Activities, Palouse Ranger District, Clearwater National Forest, Clearwater County, ID, Review Period Ends: 05/31/2011, Contact: Susan Shaw 208-875-1131

EIS No. 20110130, Draft Supplement, NNSA, NM, Nuclear Facility of the Chemistry and Metallurgy Research Replacement Project, To Address New Geologic Information Regarding Seismic Conditions at the Site, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, Comment Period Ends: 06/13/2011, Contact: John Tegtmeier 505-665-0113

EIS No. 20110131, Draft EIS, BOR, CA, San Joaquin River Restoration Program, A Comprehensive Long-Term Effort to Restore Flows to the San Joaquin River from Friant Dam to the Confluence of Merced River and Restore a Self-Sustaining Chinook Salmon Fishery in the River while Reducing or Avoiding Adverse Water Supply Impacts from Interim and Restoration Flows, Implementation, CA, Comment Period Ends: 06/13/2011, Contact: Michelle Banonis 916-978-5457

EIS No. 20110132, Final EIS, USFWS, HI, Palmyra Atoll National Wildlife Refuge Rat Eradication Project, Proposing to Restore and Protect the Native Species and Habitats, Implementation, Northern Line Islands, Honolulu, HI, Review Period Ends: 05/31/2011, Contact: Ben Harrison 503-231-6177

Amended Notices

EIS No. 20110004, Draft EIS, NPS, CA, Golden Gate National Recreation Area Project, Proposed Dog Management Plan, Implementation, San Francisco, CA, Comment Period Ends: 05/30/2011, Contact: Sherwin Smith 415-561-4947 Revision to FR Notice Published 01/14/2011: Extending Comment Period from 04/13/2011 to 05/30/2011

EIS No. 20110047, Draft Supplement, USN, CA, Hunters Point (Former) Naval Shipyard Disposal and Reuse, Supplement Information on the 2000 FEIS, Implementation, City of San