- Press release announcing the effective date of the cigarette flavor ban,
- Flavored tobacco products fact sheet, and
- Flavored tobacco products parental advisory.

FDA estimates the burden of this collection of information as follows:

TABLE 1.—ESTIMATED ANNUAL REPORTING BURDEN

Activity and Form FDA 3734	No. of Respondents	Annual Frequency per Response	Total Annual Responses	Minutes per Response	Total Hours
Reporting violations of section 907(a)(1)(A) of the FDCA	1,700	1	1,700	10	283

Dated: October 15, 2009.

David Horowitz,

Assistant Commissioner for Policy.
[FR Doc. E9–25604 Filed 10–23–09; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160–01–8

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Proposed Information Collection Activity; Comment Request

Proposed Projects:

Title: Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) Program Model Plan Application.

OMB No.: New collection.

Description: Sections 676 and 677 of the Community Services Block Grant Act require States, including the District of Columbia and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Tribes, Tribal organizations and U.S. territories applying for Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) funds to submit an application and plan (Model Application Plan). The application plan must meet statutory requirements prior to being funded with CSBG funds. Applicants have the option

to submit a detailed application annually or biannually. Entities that submit a biannual application must provide an abbreviated application the following year if substantial changes to the initial application will occur. OMB approval is being sought.

Respondents: State Governments, including the District of Columbia and the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Tribal Governments, Tribal Organizations, and U.S. territories.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of re- sponses per respondent	Average bur- den hours per response	Total burden hours
Model State CSBG Application	56 30	1 1	10 10	560 300

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 860

In compliance with the requirements of Section 506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Administration for Children and Families is soliciting public comment on the specific aspects of the information collection described above. Copies of the proposed collection of information can be obtained and comments may be forwarded by writing to the Administration for Children and Families, Office of Administration, Office of Information Services, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, SW., Washington, DC 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer. E-mail address: infocollection@acf.hhs.gov. All requests should be identified by the title of the information collection.

The Department specifically requests comments on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have

practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including through the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Consideration will be given to comments and suggestions submitted within 60 days of this publication.

Dated: October 21, 2009.

Robert Sargis,

Reports Clearance Officer. [FR Doc. E9–25650 Filed 10–23–09; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4184–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Title: Cross-Site Evaluation of the Children's Bureau Grantee Cluster: Supporting Evidence-Based Home Visiting Programs to Prevent Child Maltreatment (EBHV).

OMB No.: New collection.

Description: The Administration for Children and Families (ACF), U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), is proposing this crosssite evaluation data collection activity to identify successful strategies for adopting, implementing, and sustaining high-quality home visitation programs to prevent child maltreatment. An evaluation study will address four domains: (1) Systems change to develop infrastructure, (2) fidelity to evidence-