

Dated: May 2, 2014.

Ellen M. Bohon,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 2014-10556 Filed 5-7-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA), Article 1904 NAFTA Binational Panel Reviews; Decision of Panel

AGENCY: NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Decision of Panel.

SUMMARY: On April 29, 2014, the NAFTA Chapter 19 binational panel issued its decision affirming the Final Results of the 2006-2007 administrative review of the antidumping order issued by the U.S. Department of Commerce's International Trade Administration (ITA), with respect to Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada. Copies of the panel's decision are available from the U.S. Section of the NAFTA Secretariat.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen M. Bohon, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, DC 20230, (202) 482-5438.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter 19 of the North American Free Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established *Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews* ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686). The panel review in this matter has been conducted in accordance with these Rules.

Panel Decision: On January 16, 2009 Complainants Ivaco Rolling Mills 2004

L.P. and Sivaco Ontario, a division of Sivaco Wire Group 2004 L.P. ("Ivaco"), filed a Request for Panel Review of the Final Results of the 2006-2007 administrative review of the antidumping order issued by the U.S. Department of Commerce's International Trade Administration (ITA), with respect to Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod from Canada.

In its Complaint, filed on February 1, 2009, Ivaco alleged that the ITA had committed two errors: (1) The ITA's decision that Ivaco had made sales to the United States and the home market at a single level of trade was unsupported by substantial evidence and otherwise not in accordance with law and (2) the ITA's decision to calculate Ivaco's overall weighted average dumping margin by setting negative individual dumping margins to zero is unsupported by substantial evidence and otherwise not in accordance with law.

For the reasons set forth in the panel's written decision, and on the basis of the administrative record, the applicable law, the written submissions of the ITA and Ivaco, and the panel hearing held in Washington, DC on September 6, 2012, the panel upheld in its decision the Final Results of the administrative review. Copies of the panel's decision are available from the U.S. Section of the NAFTA Secretariat.

Dated: May 2, 2014.

Ellen M. Bohon,

U.S. Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 2014-10559 Filed 5-7-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request; Billfish Tagging Report Card

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: Written comments must be submitted on or before July 7, 2014.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to Jennifer Jessup, Departmental Paperwork Clearance Officer, Department of Commerce, Room 6616, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230 (or via the Internet at Jjessup@doc.gov).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Requests for additional information or copies of the information collection instrument and instructions should be directed to James Wraith, (858) 546-7087 or james.wraith@noaa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Abstract

This request is for extension of a currently approved information collection. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration's Southwest Fisheries Science Center operates a billfish tagging program. Tagging supplies are provided to volunteer anglers. When anglers catch and release a tagged fish they submit a brief report on the fish and the location of the tagging. The information obtained is used in conjunction with tag returns to determine billfish migration patterns, mortality rates, and similar information useful in the management of the billfish fisheries. This program is authorized under 16 U.S.C. 760(e), Study of migratory game fish; waters; research; purpose.

II. Method of Collection

Information is submitted by mail, via a paper form the size of a postcard.

III. Data

OMB Control Number: 0648-0009.
Form Number: NOAA Form 88-162.
Type of Review: Regular submission (extension of a currently approved collection).

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 1,000.

Estimated Time per Response: 5 minutes.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 83.

Estimated Total Annual Cost to Public: \$0 in recordkeeping/reporting costs.

IV. Request for Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden (including hours and cost) of the proposed collection of information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and