certainty and Commission assurance that good faith reporting will not subject a company to the risk of sanctions.²³ So long as a data provider has adopted and is following the standards of the Policy Statement for reporting entities, we will apply the safe harbor policy, even if the company is not specifically subject to the Market Behavior Rules.

Requirement to Notify the Commission of Changes in Price Reporting Status

19. In Behavior Rule 4 and its counterpart in Order No. 644, we required that all sellers subject to the rule notify the Commission within 15 days of the effective date of the rule whether the seller reports its transactions in accordance with the Policy Statement. Additionally, we required that sellers update their notifications within 15 days of any change in their reporting status.24 We directed market-based rate sellers to file their notifications in Docket No. EL01-118 and the docket in which they received market-based rate authority; we directed blanket certificate holders to file their notifications in Docket No. RM03-10.25

20. We received initial notifications by or on behalf of 756 market participants in December 2003 and January 2004. Since then, we have received only 26 notifications in Docket No. EL01–118 of subsequent changes in reporting status from market-based rate sellers and 24 notifications in Docket No. RM03–10 from blanket certificate holders. In several cases a company filed the same notification in both dockets; in other cases the same company filed more than one notification in a docket. During this period, however, price index developers have reported increases in both the number of transactions being reported and in the number of market participants reporting trade data to them.²⁶ It is possible that some market participants have overlooked the requirement to notify the Commission of changes in their reporting status.

21. Accordingly, we hereby remind all market-based rate sellers subject to the Market Behavior Rules, and all blanket certificate holders subject to Order No. 644, of their obligation to file

notifications of changes in reporting status within 15 days of the date of such changes. We also waive the 15 day requirement for any market participants that have changed their reporting status but failed to notify us of that fact. Such market participants may file notifications of any changes since their initial notification no later than August 1, 2005.

The Commission Orders

- (A) The Policy Statement on Natural Gas and Electric Price Indices is clarified as discussed in the body of this order.
- (B) The requirement to file notifications of changes in reporting status within 15 days of the date of the change is waived until August 1, 2005, for any market-based rate sellers or blanket certificate holders who file notifications for any changes in status that have occurred since their initial notification.

By the Commission.

Linda Mitry,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 05–13910 Filed 7–14–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6665-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act, as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 1, 2005 (70 FR 16815).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20040554, ERP No. D-FHW-J40169–CO, Programmatic—I–70 Mountain Corridor Tier 1 Project, from Glenwood Springs and C–470 Proposes to Increase Capacity, Improve Accessibility and Mobility, and Decrease Congestion, Colorado, Garfield, Eagle, Summit, Clear Creek and Jefferson Counties, CO.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about the proposed project regarding road deicers, the long-term fate and transport of sediment, water and air quality impacts, the indirect and cumulative impacts of growth, and environmental justice issues; and believes that a decision on whether the project is intended to accommodate short or long-term transportation needs should be made before a preferred alternative is identified. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050127, ERP No. D-AFS-F65055-MI, Hiawatha National Forest, Proposed Land and Resource Management Plan, Forest Plan Revision, Implementation, Alger, Cheboygan, Chippewa, Delta, Luce and Mackinac Counties, MI.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed action. Rating LO.

EİS No. 20050136, ERP No. D-AFS-J67932-CO, Dry Fork Federal Coal Lease-by-Application (COC-67232), Leasing Additional Federal Coal Lands for Underground Coal Resource, Special-Use-Permits and U.S. Army COE section 404 Permit, Grand Mesa, Uncompander and Gunnison National Forests, Gunnison County, CO.

Summary: EPA expressed concerns regarding reducing impacts from roads to habitat, soil erosion and maintaining a buffer between the mining area and the West Elk wilderness area. EPA also expressed concerns about potential wetland impacts caused by mine subsidence. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050174, ERP No. D-FHW-J40170-CO, I-25, Valley Highway Project, Transportation Improvement from Logan to U.S. 6, Denver County, CO.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns about the proposed project related to the lack of improvements to pedestrian access to commercial areas, the compatibility of ramp and arterial improvements with multimodal transportation development, and bicycle and pedestrian safety at intersections. EPA also recommends improvements to the air quality analysis. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050175, ERP No. D-FHW-K40258-CA, Campus Parkway Project, Proposes to Construct a New Expressway from Mission Avenue Interchange and Yosemite Avenue/Lake Road, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, City of Merced, Merced County, CA

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns about the proposed project regarding logical termini, alternatives, connected actions, air quality, cumulative impacts to waters of the U.S., and growth-inducing impacts. EPA recommends that FHWA clearly explain the traffic benefits of the project. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050181, ERP No. D-FHW-D40184-MO, MO-34, Corridor

²³ 104 FERC ¶ 61,121 at PP 30–31.

 $^{^{24}\,105}$ FERC \P 61,218 at P 116; see also 18 CFR 284.288(b) and 284.403(b).

 $^{^{25}}$ Order Clarifying Prior Notice, 105 FERC \P 61,277 at P 11 (2003).

²⁶ NGI comments at 2. NGI notes that the volume of natural gas bidweek trades reported to it has increased from 7.9 Bcf to 21.2 Bcf in June 2005, and that the number of trades has increased from 1,357 to 3,069. *Id.* at 2. See also 109 FERC ¶ 61,184 at PP 5–7; Comments of Platts, Docket Nos. PL03–3, et al. June 14, 2004.

Improvements, from intersection of US Routes 60/21 in Carter County to the intersection of Routes 34/72 in Cape Girardeau County, Funding, U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Carter, Bollinger, Reynolds, Wayne, and Cape Girardeau Counties, MO.

Summary: EPA has environmental concerns about the proposed project related to impacts to streams and 4(f) resources. EPA is also concerned about the uncertainty of potential issues that could arise between now and the projected construction start date, estimated to be at least 10 years. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050182, ERP No. D-FRC-J03018-00, Piceance Basin Expansion Project, Construction and Operation of a New Interstate Natural Gas Pipeline System, Wamsutter Compressor Station to Interconnections Greasewood Compressor Station, Rio Blanco County CO and Sweetwater County, WY.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about water and air quality impacts and the need to assess impacts from several connected and induced actions. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050189, ERP No. D-COE-D36075-PA, The Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, Pennsylvania Flood Damage Reduction Project, Implementation, Integrated Feasibility Report, Susquhanna River and Fishing Creek, Town of Bloomsburg, Columbia County, PA.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about wetland and proposed wetland mitigation and roadway relocation impacts; and suggested minimization measures that would reduce project impacts. Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050197, ERP No. D-TVA-E65073-TN, Watts Bar Reservoir Land Management Plan, Update 1988 Plan to Reflect Changing Community Needs, Loudon, Meigs, Rhea and Roane Counties, TN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern related to impacts associated with the development/recreation alternative. Rating EC1.

EIS No. 20050163, ERP No. DS-STB-J53005-00, Powder River Basin Expansion Project, New Information on SEA's Independent Analyses Four Issues Remanded by the "8" Circuit Court of Appeals, Finance Docket No. 33407—Dakota, Minnesota, Eastern Railroad, SD, WY and MN.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concern about apparent contradictions between statements in the Final Environmental Impact Statement and the Draft Supplemental EIS. EPA is also concerned that the assumptions made for air quality modeling for coal usage and the long-term projections of air quality impacts that were not in concert with the expected life of the rail project or the potential production life of Powder River Basin coal in eastern Wyoming. Rating EC1.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20050108, ERP No. F-FTA-J54001-UT, Weber County to Salt Lake City Commuter Rail Project, Proposes a Commuter Rail Transit Service with Nine Stations between Salt Lake City and Peasant View, Funding, Weber, Davis and Salt Lake Counties, UT.

Summary: EPA continues to have concerns about wetland mitigation and air quality impacts. EIS No. 20050153, ERP No. F-FHW-J40160-UT, Southern Corridor Construction, I-15 at Reference Post 2 in St. George to UT-9 near Hurricane, Funding, Right-of-Way Grant and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, St. George, Washington and Hurricane, Washington County, UT.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the proposed project. EIS No. 20050214, ERP No. F-AFS-J65430-MT, McSutten Decision Area, Implementation of Harvest and Associated Activities, Prescribed Burning, and Road Management, Kootenai National Forest, Rexford Ranger District, Lincoln County, MT.

Summary: EPA supports the proposed project; however, expressed environmental concerns about potential impacts to water quality, adequate funding to implement road BMP upgrades and maintenance, and road decommissioning.

decommissioning.

EIS No. 20050223, ERP No. F-FHWJ40168-UT, 11400 South Project,
Proposed Improvement to the
Transportation Network in the Southern
Salt Lake Valley from 12300/12600
South to 10400/10600 South, and from
Bangerter Highway to 700 East, Salt
Lake City Salt Lake County, UT.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

Robert W. Hargrove,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 05–13972 Filed 7–14–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6665-3]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202)

564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/compliance/nepa/.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements

Filed 07/04/2005 through 07/08/2005 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 20050283, Final EIS, AFS, NV,
Martin Basin Rangeland Project,
Authorize Continued Livestock
Grazing in Eight Allotments: Martin
Basin, Indian, West Side Flat Creek,
Buffalo, Bradshaw, Buttermilk,
Granite Peak and Rebel Creek Cattle
and Horse Allotments, HumboldtToiyabe National Forest, Santa Rosa
Ranger District, Humboldt County,
NV, Wait Period Ends: 08/15/2005,
Contact: Jose Noriega (775) 623–5025,
Ext. 115.

EIS No. 20050284, Final EIS, BOP, WV, Southern West Virginia Proposed Federal Correctional Institution, Four Alternatives Sites in Southern West Virginia, Boone County, Mingo County, Nicholas County, and McDowell County, WV, Wait Period Ends: 08/15/2005, Contact: Pamela J. Chandler (202) 514–6470.

EIS No. 20050285, Final Supplement, COE, PA, Wyoming Valley Levee Raising Project, Design Modification and Recreational Enhancements, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania River Commons, Susquehanna River, Luzerne County, PA, Wait Period Ends: 08/15/2005, Contact: Jo Ann Grundy (410) 962–6136.

EIS No. 20050286, Final EIS, SFW, ME, Maine Coastal Islands National Wildlife Refuge (formerly Petit Manan National Wildlife Refuge Complex) Comprehensive Conservation Plan, Implementation, the Gulf of Maine, Wait Period Ends: 08/15/2005, Contact: Nancy McGarigal (413) 253–8562.

EIS No. 20050287, Final EIS, BLM, OR,
Provolt Seed Orchard Integrated Pest
Management (IPM) Program,
Implementation, Grants Pass, Medford
District, Jackson and Josephine
Counties, OR and Charles A. Sprague
Seed Orchard Integrated Pest
Management Program (IMP),
Implementation, Merlin, Medford
District, Josephine County, OR, Wait
Period Ends: 08/15/2005, Contact:
Grodon LyFord (541) 618–2401.

EIS No. 20050288, Final EIS, BLM, OR, Walter H. Horning Seed Orchard Integrated Pest Management Program (IPMO), Implementation, Colton, Salem District, Clackamas County, OR, Wait Period Ends: 08/15/2005, Contact: Terry Garren (503) 630–6888.

EIS No. 20050289, Final EIS, BLM, OR, Travis Tyrrell Seed Orchard Integrated Pest Management (IPM)