

days after the effective date of the proposal, on the serial number FCU's listed in the proposal, adjusting the maximum fuel flow mechanical stop position to the correct fuel flow setting which, if not adjusted could significantly affect helicopter performance. The actions would be required to be done in accordance with the alert service bulletin described previously.

Economic Analysis

There are approximately 334 engines of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that of the 63 engines installed on aircraft of U.S. registry, four engines would be affected by this proposed AD. The FAA also estimates that it would take approximately 3 work hours per engine to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required tooling would cost approximately \$300 per engine. Based on these figures, the total cost of the proposed AD to U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,920. The manufacturer has advised the FAA and DGAC that the operator may be provided with material and tooling at no cost to the operator, thereby substantially reducing the cost of the proposed rule.

Regulatory Analysis

This proposed rule does not have federalism implications, as defined in Executive Order 13132, because it would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Accordingly, the FAA has not consulted with state authorities prior to publication of this proposed rule.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Turbomeca S.A.: Docket No. 2002-NE-39-AD.

Applicability: This airworthiness directive (AD) is applicable to Turbomeca S.A. Arrius-2F turboshaft engines with Fuel Control Units (FCU's) part numbers (P/N's) 0 319 92 832 0, 0 319 92 830 0, and 0 319 92 825 0, with FCU serial numbers (SN's) in the following Table 1:

TABLE 1.—AFFECTED FCU SERIAL NUMBERS

102B	135B	166B
103B	136B	167B
104B	137B	168B
105B	138B	169B
106B	139B	171B
107B	140B	173B
108B	141B	174B
110B	142B	175B
111B	143B	176B
112B	144B	177B
113B	145B	178B
114B	146B	180B
115B	148B	181B
116B	149B	182B
118B	150B	183B
120B	153B	185B
122B	155B	186B
123B	156B	190B
124B	158B	191B
126B	159B	193B
129B	161B	199B
132B	164B	N/A
133B	165B	N/A

These engines are installed on, but not limited to Eurocopter 120B "Colibri" helicopters.

Note 1: This airworthiness directive (AD) applies to each engine identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For engines that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of

compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Compliance with this AD is required within 120 days after the effective date of this AD, unless already done.

To prevent reduced maximum available power during takeoff, landing, or an emergency, which could significantly affect helicopter performance, and result in loss of the helicopter, do the following:

(a) For FCU's listed in the applicability of this AD, adjust the maximum fuel flow mechanical stop position to a higher fuel flow setting, in accordance with paragraphs 2.A.(1) and 2.B.(1) of Turbomeca S.A. Alert Service Bulletin (ASB) No. A319 73 4808, dated September 1, 2000.

(b) Perform a ground run check and a check flight in accordance with paragraph 2.C.(1) of Turbomeca S.A. ASB No. A319 73 4808, dated September 1, 2000.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Engine Certification Office (ECO). Operators must submit their request through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, ECO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this airworthiness directive, if any, may be obtained from the ECO.

Special Flight Permits

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with §§ 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be done.

Note 3: The subject of this AD is addressed in Direction Generale de L'Aviation Civile AD 2000-482(A), dated November 29, 2000.

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on January 29, 2003.

Jay J. Pardee,

Manager, Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03-2633 Filed 2-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

PEACE CORPS

22 CFR Part 307

Peace Corps Standards of Conduct

AGENCY: Peace Corps.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Peace Corps is proposing to remove regulations that set out the

ethical conduct and other responsibilities applicable to Peace Corps employees. These regulations have been superseded, in significant part, by government-wide regulations.

DATES: Comments must be received by March 7, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be submitted to the Office of the General Counsel, 8th Floor, 1111 20th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20526.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carl R. Sosebee, Designated Agency Ethics Official, 202-692-2150.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Part 307, which sets out Peace Corps' regulations regarding the ethical conduct and other responsibilities of Peace Corps employees was last revised in 1987, *see* 52 FR 30151, Aug. 13, 1987; 22 CFR part 307. The conduct and responsibilities covered in this part have been superseded by the Office of Government Ethics' (OGE) executive branch ethical standards and requirements codified at 5 CFR parts 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638 and 2640. Further, rules governing partisan political activity by executive branch employees and rules governing gambling, betting and lotteries on government owned or leased property or while on duty are set forth at 5 CFR parts 734 and 735. Government-wide rules on procurement integrity are set forth in the Procurement Integrity Act, 41 U.S.C. 423, and the Federal Acquisition Regulations, 48 CFR 3.104. Because Peace Corps employees are already subject to these various rules, the Peace Corps proposes to remove part 307 from the Code of Federal Regulations. Remaining portions of the Peace Corps' existing standards pertaining to economic and financial activities of employees abroad, information, and speeches and participation in conferences set forth in Sections 307.735, 308, 309 and 310, respectively, may be reissued as Agency internal regulations pursuant to the authority of the Director in 22 U.S.C. 2503. Also, to the extent part 307 covers organizational conflicts of interest in procurement and procurement related matters, the Peace Corps is considering whether to incorporate them into the Peace Corps' internal rules.

II. Matters of Regulatory Procedure

Executive Order 12866. The Peace Corps has determined that this proposed rule does not constitute a "significant regulatory action" for the purposes of Executive Order 12866.

Regulatory Flexibility Act. Pursuant to section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, the Peace Corps certifies that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). Accordingly, no regulatory flexibility analysis is required.

Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995. Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Unfunded Mandates Act) (2 U.S.C. Chs. 17A and 25) requires that an agency prepare a budgetary impact statement before promulgating a rule that includes a federal mandate that may result in expenditure by State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million or more in any one year. If a budgetary impact statement is required, agencies must also identify and consider a reasonable number of regulatory alternatives before promulgating a rule. The Peace Corps has determined that this rule will not result in expenditures by State, local, or tribal governments or by the private sector of \$100 million or more. Accordingly, the Peace Corps has not prepared a budgetary impact statement or specifically addressed the regulatory alternatives considered.

List of Subjects in 22 CFR Part 307

Political activities; Government employees; Ethical conduct; Financial disclosure, Conflicts of interest.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Peace Corps proposes to amend Title 22 of the CFR by removing part 307.

Dated: January 31, 2003.

Tyler S. Posey,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 03-2703 Filed 2-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6015-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD01-03-002]

RIN 2115-AE47

Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Shrewsbury River, NJ

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard proposes to change the drawbridge operating regulations governing the operation of the Route 36 Bridge, mile 1.8, across the

Shrewsbury River at Highlands, New Jersey. This proposed change to the drawbridge operation regulations would synchronize the drawbridge opening schedules for the two moveable bridges across the Shrewsbury River during the boating season. This action is necessary to meet the present needs of navigation.

DATES: Comments must reach the Coast Guard on or before April 7, 2003.

ADDRESSES: You may mail comments to Commander (obr), First Coast Guard District Bridge Branch, One South Street, Battery Park Building, New York, New York 10004, or deliver them to the same address between 7 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except, Federal holidays. The telephone number is (212) 668-7165. The First Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments and material received from the public, as well as documents indicated in this preamble as being available in the docket, will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at the First Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, 7 a.m. to 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joe Arca, Project Officer, First Coast Guard District, (212) 668-7165.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Request for Comments

We encourage you to participate in this rulemaking by submitting comments or related material. If you do so, please include your name and address, identify the docket number for this rulemaking (CGD01-03-002), indicate the specific section of this document to which each comment applies, and give the reason for each comment. Please submit all comments and related material in an unbound format, no larger than 8½ by 11 inches, suitable for copying. If you would like to know if they reached us, please enclose a stamped, self-addressed postcard or envelope. We will consider all comments and material received during the comment period. We may change this proposed rule in view of them.

Public Meeting

We do not now plan to hold a public meeting. But you may submit a request for a meeting by writing to the First Coast Guard District, Bridge Branch, at the address under **ADDRESSES** explaining why one would be beneficial. If we determine that one would aid this rulemaking, we will hold one at a time and place announced by a later notice in the **Federal Register**.