

and inventory carrying costs, which were inadvertently omitted from the Department's margin calculation in the *Preliminary Results*. For further details, see CalCedar-Tianjin's Calculation Memorandum.

Rongxin

We adjusted the surrogate value for Chinese lindenwood slats to account for yield loss based on Rongxin's actual wood loss incurred during pencil production. See *Decision Memorandum* at Comment 3. We made minor corrections to the company's data based on findings at verification. See Rongxin's Calculation Memorandum.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we verified the information submitted by the respondents for use in our final results. We used standard verification procedures including examination of relevant accounting and production records, and original source documents provided by the respondents.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average percentage margins exist for the period December 1, 2000 through November 30, 2001:

Exporter/Manufacturer	Margin (percent)
CalCedar-Tianjin	0.00
Rongxin	15.76
PRC Wide-Rate	114.90

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of this notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of pencils from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates shown above; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, that have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) the cash deposit rate for all other PRC exporters will be 114.90 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter.

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Assessment

The Department will determine, and the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (BCBP) will assess, antidumping duties on all entries of subject merchandise in accordance with these final results. For Rongxin, we have calculated exporter-specific duty assessment rates for subject merchandise based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sales during the POR to the total quantity of sales examined during the POR. We calculated exporter-specific assessment rates because there was no information on the record which identified the importers of record. For CalCedar-Tianjin, we have calculated an importer-specific duty assessment rate based on the ratio of the total amount of antidumping duties calculated for the examined sale to the total reported entered value of the sale. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to the BCBP within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

Reimbursement of Duties

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under an APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with section 751(a)(1) and 771(i) of the Act.

Dated: July 14, 2003.

Jeffrey A. May,

Acting Assistant Secretary.

Appendix Issues in Decision Memorandum

Comments

Comment 1: Whether the Department Should Value Black Graphite Cores Using Eximkey Data or Data From the Monthly Statistics of the Foreign Trade of India

Comment 2: Whether the Department Used the Correct Grade of American Basswood Lumber to Value Rongxin's Pencil Slats

Comment 3: Whether the Department Properly Accounted for Wood Loss

Comment 4: Whether the Department Should Base Rongxin's Dumping Margin on Partial Adverse Facts Available

Comment 5: Ministerial Errors

Comment 6: Whether the Department Overstated CalCedar-Tianjin's Freight Costs

[FR Doc. 03-18473 Filed 7-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils from the People's Republic of China: Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 21, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Magd Zalok or Paul Stolz, AD/CVD Enforcement, Office 4, Group II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4162 or (202) 482-4474, respectively.

TIME LIMITS:

Statutory Time Limits

Section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) requires the Department of Commerce (the Department) to issue the preliminary results of a new shipper review within 180 days after the date on which the review is initiated. However, if the Department determines the issues are extraordinarily complicated, section 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) of the Act allows the Department to extend the deadline for the preliminary results to up to 300 days

after the date on which the review is initiated.

Background

On February 4, 2003, the Department published a notice of initiation of the new shipper antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils from the People's Republic of China, covering the period December 1, 2001, through November 30, 2002. See *Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping New Shipper Review*, 68 FR 5619 (February 4, 2003). The preliminary results are currently due no later than July 27, 2003.

Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review

We determine that the issues in this case are extraordinarily complicated. Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results by 120 days until no later than November 24, 2003. See Decision Memorandum from Tom Futtner, Acting Office Director, Group II, Office IV, to Holly A. Kuga, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Import Administration, dated concurrently with this notice, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department's main building. We intend to issue the final results no later than 90 days after the publication of the preliminary results notice.

This extension is in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act.

Dated: July 15, 2003.

Holly A. Kuga,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group II.

[FR Doc. 03-18474 Filed 7-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-848]

Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

SUMMARY: On February 19, 2003, the Department published the preliminary results of the new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on freshwater crawfish tail meat from the People's Republic of China (68 FR 7976). The review covers one producer/exporter, Weishan Zhenyu Foodstuff Co., Ltd. (Weishan Zhenyu), and exports of the subject merchandise to the United

States during the period September 1, 2001 through February 28, 2002.

Based on our analysis of the record, including factual information developed since the preliminary results, the only changes we have made to the margin calculations for Weishan Zhenyu were for the purpose of updating surrogate values to achieve contemporaneity. These changes had no impact on the margin as calculated. See "Final Results of Review" section below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 21, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thomas Gilgunn or Douglas Kirby, AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3964 or (202) 482-3782, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

We published the preliminary results of this new shipper review on February 19, 2003. See *Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Reviews: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat From the People's Republic of China*, 68 FR 7976 (*Preliminary Results*). In the preliminary results, the Department did not address whether the sale made by Weishan Zhenyu in this new shipper review was *bona fide*. At the time of the *Preliminary Results*, we noted that the Department had issued a questionnaire to Weishan Zhenyu's importer, but had not yet received the response. Further, we indicated that we would analyze any information provided by the importer of Weishan Zhenyu's new shipper sale for purposes of the final results of this new shipper review. Consequently, we solicited additional information regarding the totality of circumstances surrounding Weishan Zhenyu's sale, including additional details on the price and quantity, and the details surrounding the business relationship between Weishan Zhenyu and its importer. In the *Preliminary Results*, we invited comments from the parties. We received no comments.

Scope of the Antidumping Duty Order

The product covered by this order is freshwater crawfish tail meat, in all its forms (whether washed or with fat on, whether purged or unpurged), grades, and sizes; whether frozen, fresh, or chilled; and regardless of how it is packed, preserved, or prepared. Excluded from the scope of the order are live crawfish and other whole crawfish, whether boiled, frozen, fresh, or chilled.

Also excluded are saltwater crawfish of any type, and parts thereof. Freshwater crawfish tail meat is currently classifiable in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS) under item numbers 1605.40.10.10 and 1605.40.10.90, which are the new HTS numbers for prepared foodstuffs, indicating peeled crawfish tail meat and other, as introduced by the U.S. Customs Service (as of March 1, 2003, renamed the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection) in 2000, and HTS items 0306.19.00.10 and 0306.29.00, which are reserved for fish and crustaceans in general. The HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes only. The written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

Analysis

In the *Preliminary Results*, we invited comments from the parties. We received no comments. However, the Crawfish Processors Alliance, the Louisiana Department of Agriculture and Forestry, and Commissioner Bob Odom (collectively the "Domestic Interested Parties") provided timely factual information to rebut the importer's May 19 questionnaire response. The information provided in the supplemental questionnaire responses and the Domestic Interested Parties' factual submission is addressed in the *Memorandum to the File through Barbara E. Tillman, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III: Freshwater Crawfish Tail Meat from the People's Republic of China: Analysis of Weishan Zhenyu's New Shipper Transaction*, dated July 14, 2003 (*Weishan Zhenyu Memo*), which is on file in the Central Records Unit, room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

We have now analyzed all of the information provided by interested parties with respect to the question of whether Weishan Zhenyu's sale under review constitutes a *bona fide* sale. As discussed fully in the *Weishan Zhenyu Memo*, we find that, based on the information on the record regarding Weishan Zhenyu's single sale to the U.S., we cannot conclude that the sale was not *bona fide*. However, if, during the conduct of our administrative review or other new shipper reviews of this order, we uncover any information which shows that this was not a legitimate commercial transaction, we may consider whether it is appropriate to initiate a changed circumstances review, or whether it is appropriate to refer the matter to the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (BCBP).