aircraft at Part 139 airports was nine times higher than the reported strike rate for GA aircraft at NPIAS GA airports. "GA aircraft" is defined in the study as non-commercial private, business, or government aircraft—see "Trends in Wildlife Strike Reporting," section 4.3.3. However, the damaging strike rate for GA aircraft at Part 139 airports was three times higher than it was for GA aircraft at NPIAS GA airports. It is notable that of the 49 reported civil aircraft destroyed or damaged beyond repair because of wildlife strikes in the U.S. from 1990-2008, 33 (67 percent) occurred on or near GA airports.

According to the study, the number of Part 139 airports reporting at least one wildlife strike increased from 234 (42 percent of the 552 airports) in 1990 to 333 (60 percent) in 2008. The overall reported strike rates at individual Part 139 airports were 15 to 47 times higher compared to NPIAS non-certificated airports in each year (1990-2009). The average strike rate of all Part 139 airports compared to NPIAS noncertificated airports was 23 times higher during this same time. Although this may be explained by a different mix of aircraft using these two different categories of airports, the magnitude of the difference indicates that actual reporting rates for NPIAS GA airports are much lower than for Part 139 airports. This is supported in the study by an examination of reporting rates for damaging strikes where the magnitude of difference is much less. Whereas Part 139 airports had a 23-fold higher average reporting rate for all strikes compared to NPIAS GA airports, the reporting rate for damaging strikes was only 5-fold higher. Thus, even though fewer total damaging strikes are reported compared to Part 139 airports, there is more of a tendency at NPIAS GA airports to report damaging strikes compared to non-damaging strikes. As stated above, increased monitoring of wildlife conditions and reporting of even non-damaging strikes are important to prevent dangerous conditions and damaging strikes before they occur.

Issued in Washington, DC, on January 10, 2013

### Elliott Black,

Acting Director, Office of Airport Planning and Programming.

[FR Doc. 2013–00778 Filed 1–24–13; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

#### **Federal Aviation Administration**

Waiver of Aeronautical Land-Use Assurance: Outagamie County Regional Airport (ATW), Appleton, WI

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent of waiver with respect to land.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is considering a proposal from Outagamie County Regional Airport (Sponsor), Appleton, WI, to release a 77.5-acre parcel of land from the federal obligation dedicating it to aeronautical use and to authorize this parcel to be used for revenue-producing, nonaeronautical purposes.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before February 27, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Mr. Daniel J. Millenacker, Program Manager, Federal Aviation Administration, Airports District Office, 6020 28th Avenue South, Room 102, Minneapolis, MN 55450-2706. Telephone Number (612) 253–4635; FAX Number (612) 253-4611; email address Daniel. I. Millenacker @FAA.GOV. Documents reflecting this FAA action may be reviewed at the following locations: Federal Aviation Administration, Minneapolis Airports District Office, 6020 28th Avenue South. Room 102, Minneapolis, MN 55450-2706; or Office of Airport Director, Outagamie County Regional Airport, W6390 Challenger Drive, Suite 201, Appleton, WI 54914.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Daniel J. Millenacker, Program Manager, Federal Aviation Administration, Airports District Office, 6020 28th Avenue South, Room 102, Minneapolis, MN 55450–2706. Telephone Number (612) 253–4635; FAX Number (612) 253–4611; email address Daniel.J.Millenacker@FAA.GOV.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The parcel of land is located along the southern boundary of Outagamie County Regional Airport. The parcel will be used for construction and operation of a Public Safety Training Center (PSTC) by the Fox Valley Technical College (FVTC). The PSTC is an educational campus intended to provide degree/diploma/certificate programs to students enrolled in public safety disciplines including fire protection, law enforcement, and emergency medical services.

No airport landside or airside facilities are presently located on this parcel nor is airport development contemplated in the future. Development of the parcel for airside or landside operations is largely restricted due to significant grade differences which exist on the land surface. Current use of the surface of the parcel is for agricultural purposes. The parcel presently serves the primary purpose of protecting airport aeronautical (imaginary) surfaces. The parcel will continue to serve in this same capacity with a proposed change to nonaeronautical, revenue-producing use from its present aeronautical use designation.

The parcel is depicted on the Airport Layout Plan (ALP) dated January 13, 1993, and the Exhibit "A" property map. This parcel, as shown on the ALP, is not needed for aeronautical use. There are no impacts to the airport by allowing it to waive the requirement to maintain the parcel as aeronautical use.

Of the 77.5 acres, approximately 74.5 acres were originally purchased with sponsor funds. The remaining acres were acquired under a larger land acquisition grant, Airport Improvement Program (AIP) Grant No. 3–55–0002–30–06.

A fair market value (FMV) appraisal for the parcel was completed in 2011 in accordance with FAA Order 5100.37A. The appraisal concluded that the FMV for acquisition of the parcel was \$1,369,000. A standard capitalization rate was applied to the FMV to establish a base annual rent to be paid by FVTC for use of the parcel. A lease agreement established by the airport sponsor defines leasehold terms and conditions. The airport sponsor understands that rent and all other revenue that it collects in connection with the PSTC campus will be considered airport revenue and used in accordance with 49 U.S.C. Sections 47107 and 47133; FAA's Policy and Procedures on the Use of Airport Revenue; and FAA Order 51960.6B titled, "Airport Compliance Manual." The annual income from rent payment will generate a long-term, revenueproducing stream that will further the Sponsor's obligation under FAA Grant Assurance No. 24 to make the airport as financially self-sufficient as possible.
The sponsor will control FVTC's use

The sponsor will control FVTC's use of the parcel through the terms and conditions of the ground lease. The lease will be subordinate to the sponsor's existing grant assurances. This will ensure that all activities contemplated on the parcel will be compatible with FAA requirements and airport operations.

Ån environmental assessment addressing proposed development of the parcel was prepared. A Federal Finding of No Significant Impact was issued by FAA on Dec. 28, 2012.

In accordance with section 47107(h) of title 49, United States Code, this notice is required to be published in the **Federal Register** 30 days before modifying the land-use assurance that requires the property to be used for an aeronautical purpose.

Following is a legal description of the subject airport property at the Outagamie County Regional Airport in Appleton, WI:

A parcel of land being a part of the Southeast Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section 35, the Northwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter, the Southwest Quarter of the Southwest Quarter and the Southeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter of Section 36, T21N, R16E, Town of Greenville, Outagamie County, Wisconsin more fully described as follows:

Commencing at the South Quarter Corner of Section 36; Thence S89°57′00" W coincident with the South line of the Southwest Quarter of Section 36 a distance of 470.22 feet; Thence N00°51′03" E a distance of 49.14 feet to the South right-of-way line of CTH BB also being the point of beginning. Thence S89°50′39" W coincident with said South right-of-way line a distance of 1,098.47 feet; Thence S89°53'16" W coincident with said South right-of-way line a distance of 1,348.82 feet; Thence N00°08'45" W a distance of 206.41 feet; Thence N90°00′00" E a distance of 30.49 feet; Thence N33°46'32" E a distance of 80.71 feet; Thence N30°09'12" E a distance of 99.92 feet; Thence N33°41′24" W a distance of 10.70 feet; Thence S85°14′11" W a distance of 71.45 feet: Thence S78°41'24" W a distance of 75.64 feet; Thence N85°54′52" W a distance of 83.28 feet; Thence N59°02'10" W a distance of 17.30 feet; Thence N40°48'54" E a distance of 86.24 feet; Thence N27°48′05" E a distance of 553.39 feet; Thence N24°30′26″ E a distance of 443.43 feet; Thence N05° 11'40" E a distance of 163.84 feet: Thence N29°07′17" E a distance of 322.87 feet; Thence S63°52′35″ E a distance of 1,706.63 feet; Thence N89°51′06" E a distance of 803.81 feet to the West rightof-way line of Two Mile Road; Thence S00°51′03" W coincident with said West right-of-way line a distance of 590.25 feet to the North line of Lot 1, Outagamie County CSM No. 1190; Thence S89°57′16" W coincident with said North line a distance of 420.00 feet to the West line of said Lot 1, Outagamie County CSM No. 1190; Thence S00°51′03" W coincident with said West line a distance of 420.89 feet to the point of beginning.

Said parcel of land contains 77.5 Acres (3,376,192 Square Feet) more or less.

Subject to all easements and restrictions of record.

Issued in Minneapolis, MN, on December 31, 2012.

## Daniel J. Millenacker,

 $Acting \, Manager, Minneapolis \, Airports \\ District \, Office, FAA, \, Great \, Lakes \, Region. \\ [FR \, Doc. \, 2013-01670 \, Filed \, 1-23-13; \, 4:15 \, pm]$ 

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

# National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2012-0176]

# Reports, Forms, and Recordkeeping Requirements

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation.

**ACTION:** Request for public comment on a proposed collection of information.

SUMMARY: Before a Federal agency can collect certain information from the public, it must receive approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). Under procedures established by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before seeking OMB approval, Federal agencies must solicit public comment on proposed collections of information, including extensions and reinstatements of previously approved collections.

This document describes the collection of information for which NHTSA intends to seek OMB approval.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before March 29, 2013.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments (identified by the DOT Docket ID Number above) by any of the following methods:

*Electronic Submissions:* Go to *http://www.regulations.gov*. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments.

Mail: Docket Management Facility; M–30, U.S. Department of Transportation, West Building Ground Floor, Rm. W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590.

Hand Delivery or Courier: West Building Ground Floor, Room W12–140, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., Washington, DC 20590 between 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Fax: (202) 493–2251.

Regardless of how you submit your comments, you should mention the docket number of this document. You may call the Docket at (202) 366–9324. Please identify the proposed collection of information for which a comment is provided, by referencing its OMB clearance number. It is requested, but not required, that two copies of the comment be provided.

Note that all comments received will be posted without change to http://www.regulations.gov, including any personal information provided. Anyone is able to search the electronic form of all comments received into any of our dockets by the name of the individual submitting the comment (or signing the comment, if submitted on behalf of an association, business, labor union, etc.). You may review DOT's complete Privacy Act Statement in the **Federal Register** published on April 11, 2000 (65 FR 19477–78).

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to http://www.regulations.gov or the street address listed above. Follow the online instructions for accessing the dockets.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Complete copies of each request for collection of information may be obtained at no charge from Ms. Carla Rush, U.S. Department of Transportation, NHTSA, 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE., W43–417, Washington, DC 20590. (Telephone: (202) 366–4583, Fax: (202) 493–2739).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, before a proposed collection of information is submitted to OMB for approval, Federal agencies must first publish a document in the Federal Register providing a 60-day comment period and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information. The OMB has promulgated regulations describing what must be included in such a document. Under OMB's regulation (at 5 CFR 1320.8(d)), an agency must ask for public comment on the following:

- (i) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (ii) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (iii) How to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected;
- (iv) How to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use