

*National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA)*

This direct final rule does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. A detailed statement under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA, 42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) is not required because this rule is covered by a categorical exclusion applicable to regulatory functions “that are of an administrative, financial, legal, technical, or procedural nature.” 43 CFR 46.210(i). In addition, BSEE has determined that this rule does not involve any of the extraordinary circumstances listed in 43 CFR 46.215 that would require further analysis under NEPA.

*Data Quality Act*

In developing this direct final rule, we did not conduct or use a study, experiment, or survey requiring peer review under the Data Quality Act (Pub. L. 106–554, app. C, sec. 515, 114 Stat. 2763, 2763A–153–154).

*Effects on the Nation’s Energy Supply (E.O. 13211)*

This direct final rule is not a significant energy action under the definition in E.O. 13211. The rule is not a significant regulatory action under E.O. 12866, and it is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. Therefore, a statement of energy effects is not required.

*Clarity of This Regulation*

We are required by E.O. 12866, E.O. 12988, and by the Presidential Memorandum of June 1, 1998, to write all rules in plain language. This means that each rule we publish must:

- (1) Be logically organized;
- (2) Use the active voice to address readers directly;
- (3) Use clear language rather than jargon;
- (4) Be divided into short sections and sentences; and
- (5) Use lists and tables wherever possible.

If you feel that we have not met these requirements, send us comments by one of the methods listed in the **ADDRESSES** section. To better help us revise the rule, your comments should be as specific as possible. For example, you should tell us the numbers of the sections or paragraphs that you find unclear, which sections or sentences are too long, or the sections where you feel lists or tables would be useful.

*Severability*

If a court holds any section or paragraph of this rulemaking or their applicability to any person or circumstance invalid, the remainder of this rulemaking and their applicability to other persons or circumstances will not be affected.

**List of Subjects in 30 CFR Part 250**

Administrative practice and procedure, Continental shelf, Environmental impact statements, Environmental protection, Government contracts, Investigations, Mineral resources, Oil and gas exploration, Penalties, Pipelines, Outer Continental Shelf—mineral resources, Outer Continental Shelf—rights-of-way, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulphur operations.

**Adam G. Seuss,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary, Land and Minerals Management.*

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement (BSEE) amends 30 CFR part 250 as follows:

**PART 250—OIL AND GAS AND SULFUR OPERATIONS IN THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF**

- 1. The authority citation for part 250 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 30 U.S.C. 1751, 31 U.S.C. 9701, 33 U.S.C. 1321(j)(1)(C), 43 U.S.C. 1334.

- 2. Revise § 250.1600 to read as follows:

**§ 250.1600 Performance standard.**

(a) All operations for sulphur exploration, development, and production on the OCS must comply with:

(1) A BOEM-approved Exploration Plan or Development and Production Plan;

(2) The applicable regulations in 30 CFR part 250; and

(3) All applicable laws, regulations, and conditions of approval.

(b) You must conduct such operations in a manner that protects against harm or damage to life (including fish and other aquatic life), property, natural resources of the OCS including any mineral deposits (in areas leased or not leased), the National security or defense, and the marine, coastal, or human environment.

**§§ 250.1601–250.1634 [Removed and reserved]**

- 3. Remove and reserve §§ 250.1601–250.1634:

[FR Doc. 2025–14618 Filed 7–31–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4310–VH–P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY****Coast Guard****33 CFR Part 117**

[Docket No. USCG–2025–0182]

RIN 1625–AA09

**Drawbridge Operation Regulation; Southern Branch of the Elizabeth River, Chesapeake, Virginia**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is removing the existing drawbridge operation regulation for the Dominion Boulevard (US17) Bridge, mile 8.8 across the Southern Branch of the Elizabeth River in Chesapeake, VA. The Dominion Boulevard (US17) Drawbridge has been removed in its entirety and replaced with a high-level fixed bridge as of October 20, 2016. The operating regulation for the bridge is no longer applicable or necessary and will be removed from the CFR.

**DATES:** This rule is effective August 1, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>. Type the docket number (USCG–2025–0182) in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH”. In the Document Type column, select “Supporting & Related Material.”

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this rule, call or email Mr. Hal Pitts, Fifth Coast Guard District Chief Bridge Branch (dpb); telephone 571–607–8298, email [Hal.R.Pitts@uscg.mil](mailto:Hal.R.Pitts@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:****I. Table of Abbreviations [Delete/Add Any Abbreviations Not Used/Used in This Document]**

APA Administrative Procedure Act  
CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
Pub. L. Public Law  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code  
US United States

**II. Background Information and Regulatory History**

The Coast Guard is issuing this final rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule

without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because the Dominion Boulevard (US 17) Drawbridge, governed by 33 CFR 117.997(f), was removed from the waterway and replaced with a fixed bridge in 2016. Therefore, the regulation is no longer applicable and shall be removed from publication. It is unnecessary to publish an NPRM because this regulatory action does not purport to place any restrictions on mariners but rather removes a restriction that has no further use or value.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective in less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. The Dominion Boulevard (US17) Bridge was removed from the waterway nearly nine years ago and this rule merely requires an administrative change to the **Federal Register**, in order to omit a regulatory requirement that is no longer applicable or necessary. The removal of the bridge has already taken place, and the removal of the regulation will not affect mariners currently operating on this waterway. Therefore, a delayed effective date is unnecessary.

### III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under 33 U.S.C. 499.

The Dominion Boulevard (US17) Drawbridge, mile 8.8 across the Southern Branch of the Elizabeth River in Chesapeake, VA was removed and replaced with a fixed bridge in 2016. On May 23, 2012, the U.S. Coast Guard issued a permit authorizing replacement of the Dominion Boulevard (US17) Drawbridge with a high-level fixed bridge. On June 27, 2025, the U.S. Coast Guard signed the bridge completion report certifying the Dominion Boulevard (US17) Drawbridge has been removed in its entirety and replaced with a high-level fixed bridge as of October 20, 2016. It has come to the attention of the Coast Guard that the governing regulation for this drawbridge was never removed subsequent to the removal of the drawbridge. The replacement of this drawbridge necessitates the removal of the drawbridge operation regulation, 33 CFR 117.997(f), pertaining to the former drawbridge.

The purpose of this rule is to remove section of 33 CFR 117.997(f) from the

CFR since it governs a bridge that has been removed from the waterway and does not exist.

### IV. Discussion of Final Rule

The Coast Guard seeks to update the CFR by removing the regulation in 33 CFR 117.997(f) related to the Dominion Boulevard (US 17) Drawbridge since the bridge was removed from the waterway and replaced with a fixed bridge nearly nine years ago. This change does not affect waterway or land traffic.

### V. Regulatory Analyses

We developed the removal of this regulation/rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive Orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive Orders.

#### A. Regulatory Planning and Review

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, it has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the fact that the moveable bridge has been replaced with a fixed bridge and no longer crosses the waterway. The removal of the operating schedule from 33 CFR 117 Subpart B will have no effect on the movement of waterway or land traffic.

#### B. Impact on Small Entities

The Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601–612, as amended, requires federal agencies to consider the potential impact of regulations on small entities during rulemaking. The term “small entities” comprises small businesses, not-for-profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their fields, and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

While some owners or operators of vessels intending to transit the bridge may be small entities, for the reasons stated in section V.A. above this final rule would not have a significant economic impact on any vessel owner or operator.

Under section 213(a) of the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996 (Pub. L. 104–121), we want to assist small entities in understanding this rule. If the rule would affect your small business, organization, or governmental jurisdiction and you have questions concerning its provisions or options for compliance, please contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Small businesses may send comments on the actions of Federal employees who enforce, or otherwise determine compliance with, Federal regulations to the Small Business and Agriculture Regulatory Enforcement Ombudsman and the Regional Small Business Regulatory Fairness Boards. The Ombudsman evaluates these actions annually and rates each agency’s responsiveness to small business. If you wish to comment on actions by employees of the Coast Guard, call 1–888–REG–FAIR (1–888–734–3247). The Coast Guard will not retaliate against small entities that question or complain about this rule or any policy or action of the Coast Guard.

#### C. Collection of Information

This rule calls for no new collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501–3520).

#### D. Federalism and Indian Tribal Government

A rule has implications for federalism under Executive Order 13132, Federalism, if it has a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. We have analyzed this rule under that Order and have determined that it is consistent with the fundamental federalism principles and preemption requirements described in Executive Order 13132.

Also, this rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act

The Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (2 U.S.C. 1531–1538) requires Federal agencies to assess the effects of their discretionary regulatory actions. In

particular, the Act addresses actions that may result in the expenditure by a State, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector of \$100,000,000 (adjusted for inflation) or more in any one year. Though this rule will not result in such an expenditure, we do discuss the effects of this rule elsewhere in this preamble.

#### F. Environment

We have analyzed this rule under Department of Homeland Security Management Directive 023–01, Rev.1, associated implementing instructions, and Environmental Planning Policy COMDTINST 5090.1 (series) which guide the Coast Guard in complying with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321–4370f). The Coast Guard has determined that this action is one of a category of actions that do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment. This rule promulgates the operating regulations or procedures for drawbridges and is categorically excluded from further review, under paragraph L49, of Appendix A, Table 1 of DHS Instruction Manual 023–01–001–01, Rev. 1.

Neither a Record of Environmental Consideration nor a Memorandum for the Record are required for this rule.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 117 as follows:

#### PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 117 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 499; 33 CFR 1.05–1; and DHS Delegation No. 00170.1, Revision No. 01.3.

#### § 117.997 [Amended]

■ 2. Amend § 117.997 by removing paragraph (f) and redesignating paragraphs (g) through (i) as paragraphs (f) through (h), respectively.

**J.C. Vann,**

*Rear Admiral (upper half), U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Fifth Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 2025–14593 Filed 7–31–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

##### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket No. USCG–2025–0677]

#### Safety Zone; Ski Show Sylvan Beach Eastern Great Lakes COTP Zone

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Notification of enforcement of regulation.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard will enforce special local regulations for the Ski Show Sylvan Beach on August 10, 2025, to provide for the safety of life on navigable waterways during this event. Our regulation for marine events within the Great Lakes Coast Guard District identifies the regulated area for this event in Sylvan Beach, NY. During the enforcement periods, the operator of any vessel in the regulated area must comply with directions from the Patrol Commander or any Official Patrol displaying a Coast Guard ensign.

**DATES:** The regulations in 33 CFR 165.939 will be enforced for the Ski Show Sylvan Beach regulated area listed in paragraph (h) item no. 5 in Table 1 to § 165.939, from 11 a.m. through 8 p.m. on August 10, 2025.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions about this notification of enforcement, call or email MST1 Shawn Keeman, Marine Safety Unit Thousand Islands, Coast Guard; telephone 315–774–8546, [Shawn.R.Keeman@uscg.mil](mailto:Shawn.R.Keeman@uscg.mil).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Coast Guard will enforce special local regulations in 33 CFR 165.939 for the Ski Show Sylvan Beach regulated area listed in paragraph (h) item no. 5 in Table 1 to § 165.939, from 11 a.m. to 8 p.m. on August 10, 2025. This action is being taken to provide for the safety of life on navigable waterways during this event. Our regulation for marine events within the Great Lakes Coast Guard District, paragraph (h) item no. 5 in Table 1 to § 165.939, specifies the location of the regulated area for the Ski Show Sylvan Beach which encompasses portions of Oneida Lake and Fish Creek. During the enforcement periods, § 165.939, if you are the operator of a vessel in the regulated area, you must comply with directions from the Patrol Commander or any Official Patrol displaying a Coast Guard ensign.

In addition to this notification of enforcement in the **Federal Register**, the Coast Guard plans to provide notification of this enforcement period

via the Local Notice to Mariners and Broadcast Notice to Mariners.

Dated: July 28, 2025.

**M.J. Walter,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Eastern Great Lakes.*

[FR Doc. 2025–14654 Filed 7–31–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110–04–P

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

##### Bureau of Land Management

#### 43 CFR Part 2800

[Docket No. BLM–2025–0142; PO #4820000251; Order #02412–014–004–047181.0]

RIN 1004–AF45

#### Revisions to the Regulations Regarding Intermittent Energy

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Interior.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Interior (Department) is amending the BLM rules governing acreage rent rate and capacity fee for solar and wind energy generation on Public Lands to effectuate changes required by the “One Big Beautiful Bill Act” (OBBA) enacted on July 4, 2025.

**DATES:** The final rule is effective on August 1, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** The BLM has established a docket for this rulemaking in the *Federal eRulemaking Portal*: <https://www.regulations.gov>. In the Searchbox, enter “RIN 1004–AF45” and click the “Search” button. Follow the instructions at this website.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jayme Lopez, Interagency Coordination Liaison, by phone at (520) 235–4581, or by email at [energy@blm.gov](mailto:energy@blm.gov) for information relating to the rule. Please use “RIN 1004–AF45” in the subject line. Individuals in the United States who are deaf, deafblind, hard of hearing, or have a speech disability may dial 711 (TTY, TDD, or TeleBraille) to access telecommunications relay services. Individuals outside the United States should use the relay services offered within their country to make international calls to the point-of-contact in the United States.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Historically, the BLM has set rental rates and capacity fees for solar and wind energy rights-of-way based on a determination of fair market value consistent with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C.