

	Period
Stainless Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings, A-583-816	6/1/01-5/31/02
Certain Helical Spring Lock Washers, A-583-820	6/1/01-5/31/02
The People's Republic of China:	
Apple Juice Concentrate, Non-Frozen, A-570-855	6/1/01-5/31/02
Furfuryl Alcohol, A-570-835	6/1/01-5/31/02
Indigo, A-570-856	6/1/01-5/31/02
Silicon Metal, A-570-806	6/1/01-5/31/02
Sparklers, A-570-804	6/1/01-5/31/02
Tapered Roller Bearings, A-570-601	6/1/01-5/31/02
COUNTERVAILING DUTY PROCEEDINGS	
Italy: Grain-Oriented Electrical Steel, C-475-812	1/1/01-12/31/01
SUSPENSION AGREEMENTS	
None.	

In accordance with section 351.213 (b) of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify for which individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Six copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Enforcement, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with section 351.303(f)(1)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of June 2002. If the Department does not receive, by the last

day of June 2002, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the Customs Service to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: May 29, 2002.

Holly A. Kuga,

Senior Office Director, Group II, Office 4, Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-13993 Filed 6-4-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-098]

Anhydrous Sodium Metasilicate from France: Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of rescission of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On February 26, 2002, the Department of Commerce initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on anhydrous sodium metasilicate from France. The review covers one manufacturer/exporter, Rhodia HCPCII (formerly known as Rhone-Poulenc). The period of review is January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2001. The Department is

rescinding this review because it found no entries of subject merchandise by this company into the United States during the period of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 5, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dunyako Ahmadu or Richard Rimlinger, AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-0198 or (202) 482-4477, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), are references to the provisions in effect as of January 1, 1995, the effective date of the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

Background

On January 2, 2002, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on anhydrous sodium metasilicate (ASM) from France (67 FR 56). On January 29, 2002, the petitioner in this proceeding, PQ Corporation, submitted a request for an administrative review of sales by Rhodia HCPCII, a manufacturer/exporter of ASM, for the period January 1, 2001, through December 31, 2001. The Department initiated an administrative review on February 26, 2002, (67 FR 8780).

On April 24, 2002, Rhodia submitted a letter to the Department stating that it did not export the subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review (POR).

Also on April 24, 2002, the Department sent a no-shipment inquiry concerning Rhodia to the U.S. Customs Service (Customs). The purpose of this inquiry was to determine whether Customs suspended liquidation of entry summaries of ASM during the POR. The Customs Service did not identify any suspended entry summaries of ASM manufactured and/or exported by Rhodia during the POR. Therefore, we have determined that there were no entries of subject merchandise produced or exported by Rhodia into the customs territory of the United States during the POR.

Rescission of Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3), the Department may rescind an administrative review, in whole, or only with respect to a particular exporter or producer, if the Department concludes that, during the period covered by the review, there were no entries, exports, or sales of the subject merchandise. In light of the fact that we have determined that the only company covered by the review did not have entries for consumption into the territory of the United States during the POR in question, we find that rescinding this review is appropriate. On May 1, 2002, we sent a letter to the petitioner to notify it of our findings and invited it to comment on our intent to rescind the review. The petitioner responded on May 16, 2002, stating that it does not object. Therefore, we are rescinding this administrative review. The cash-deposit rate for Rhodia will remain at 60 percent, the rate established in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding (66 FR 57934, November 19, 2001).

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4).

Dated: May 24, 2002

Susan Kuhbach,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-14071 Filed 6-4-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-846]

Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of New Shipper Antidumping Duty Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has received requests to conduct new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the People's Republic of China. In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214(d), we are initiating reviews for Zibo Golden Harvest Machinery Limited Company and Shanxi Fengkun Metallurgical Limited Company.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 5, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Terre Keaton or Davina Hashmi, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-1280 or 482-0984, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce ("the Department") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (April 2001).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests from Zibo Golden Harvest Machinery Limited Company ("Zibo Golden Harvest") and Shanxi Fengkun Metallurgical Limited Company ("Fengkun"), in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(c), for new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), which has an April anniversary date.

As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i) and (iii)(A), each of the companies identified above, which are also producers, has certified that it did not export brake rotors to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI"), and that it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer which did export brake rotors during the POI. Each company has further certified that its export activities are not controlled by the central government of the PRC, satisfying the requirements of 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B). Pursuant to the Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv)(A), Zibo Golden Harvest and Fengkun each submitted documentation establishing the date on which it first shipped the subject

merchandise to the United States, the volume of that first shipment, and the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States.

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214(b), and based on information on the record, we are initiating new shipper reviews for Zibo Golden Harvest and Fengkun.

It is the Department's usual practice in cases involving non-market economies to require that a company seeking to establish eligibility for an antidumping duty rate separate from the country-wide rate provide de jure and de facto evidence of an absence of government control over the company's export activities. Accordingly we will issue a questionnaire to Zibo Golden Harvest and Fengkun (including a complete separate rates section), allowing approximately 37 days for response. If the response from each respondent provides sufficient indication that it is not subject to either de jure or de facto government control with respect to its exports of brake rotors, each review will proceed. If, on the other hand, a respondent does not demonstrate its eligibility for a separate rate, then it will be deemed to be affiliated with other companies that exported during the POI and that it did not establish entitlement to a separate rate, and the review of that respondent will be rescinded.

Initiation of Review

In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d)(1), we are initiating new shipper reviews of the antidumping duty order on brake rotors from the PRC. Therefore, we intend to issue the preliminary results of these reviews not later than 180 days after the date on which the reviews are initiated. On May 7, 2002, Zibo Golden Harvest and Fengkun agreed to waive the time limits in order that the Department, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(j)(3), may conduct this review concurrent with the fifth annual administrative review of this order for the period April 1, 2001-March 31, 2002, which is being conducted pursuant to section 751(a)(1) of the Act. Therefore, we intend to issue the final results of this review not later than 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month.