

Specifically, I take official notice that following a hearing on September 20–21, 2005, the Tennessee Board of Medical Examiners concluded that Respondent had violated multiple provisions of Tennessee law, including Tenn. Code Ann. § 63–6–214(b)(12), which prohibits, *inter alia*, “dispensing, prescribing or otherwise distributing any controlled substance or any other drug not in the course of professional practice.” *In re Yeates*, Order at 3 (Tenn. Bd. of Med. Examiners 2005). On October 12, 2005, the State Board thus permanently revoked Respondent’s medical license.<sup>2</sup> *Id.* at 4. Subsequent to the State Board’s order, DEA has received no information indicating that that the order has been set aside on appeal. Accordingly, I find that Respondent is not authorized to handle controlled substances in Tennessee.

DEA does not have statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to maintain a registration if the registrant is without state authority to handle controlled substances in the state in which he practices. *See* 21 U.S.C. 823(f), 824(a)(3). DEA has consistently applied this rule. *See James Marvin Goodrich, M.D.*, 70 FR 24619 (2005); *Dominick A. Ricci, M.D.*, 58 FR 51104 (1993); *Bobby Watts, M.D.*, 53 FR 11919 (1988). Therefore, Respondent is not entitled to maintain his DEA registration.

## Order

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority vested in me by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824, and 28 CFR 0.100(b) & 0.104, I hereby order that DEA Certificate of Registration, BY5532076, issued to Sheran Arden Yeates, M.D., be, and it hereby is revoked. I further order that any pending applications for renewal or modification of such registration be, and they hereby are, denied. This order is effective August 10, 2006.

reconsideration of a final order. *See Robert A. Leslie, M.D.*, 60 FR 14004, 14005 (1995). To allow Respondent the opportunity to refute the facts of which I am taking official notice, publication of this final order shall be withheld for a fifteen-day period, which shall begin on the date of service.

<sup>2</sup> Among the findings of the State Board were that “Respondent permitted his patients to return unused prescription medication to his offices,” and that “Respondent instructed his office staff to place any returned prescription medication in a storage chest” for “future use.” *Id.* at 2. The State Board also found that “Respondent and his office staff routinely administered ‘cocktail’ injections to patients without medical justification[.]” and that “[o]n occasion, \* \* \* Respondent and his office staff denied patients their maintenance medication until the patients agreed to receive ‘cocktail’ injections.” *Id.*

Dated: June 13, 2006.

**Michele M. Leonhart,**

*Deputy Administrator.*

[FR Doc. E6–10780 Filed 7–10–06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–09–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Parole Commission

#### Public Announcement; Pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (Public Law 94–409) (5 U.S.C. 552b)

**AGENCY HOLDING MEETING:** Department of Justice, United States Parole Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** 2 p.m., Friday, July 14, 2006.

**PLACE:** 5550 Friendship Blvd., Fourth Floor, Chevy Chase, MD 20815.

**STATUS:** Open.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** The meeting is being held to discuss the agency’s budget for Fiscal Year 2008.

**AGENCY CONTACT:** Thomas W. Hutchison, Chief of Staff, United States Parole Commission. (301) 492–5959.

Dated: July 6, 2006.

**Rockne Chickinell,**

*General Counsel, U.S. Parole Commission.*

[FR Doc. 06–6154 Filed 7–7–06; 11:17 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410–31–M**

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary

#### Submission for OMB Review: Comment Request

July 3, 2006.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35). A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by contacting Darrin King on 202–693–4129 (this is not a toll-free number) or e-mail: [king.darrin@dol.gov](mailto:king.darrin@dol.gov).

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Department of Labor, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, 202–395–7316 (this is not a toll-free number), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency’s estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

*Agency:* Departmental Management.

*Type of Review:* Extension of currently approved collection.

*Title:* Customer Satisfaction Surveys and Conference Evaluations Generic Clearance.

*OMB Number:* 1225–0059.

*Frequency:* On occasion.

*Affected Public:* Business and other for-profit; Individuals or households; Not-for-profit institutions; Farms; Federal Government; and State, local, or tribal government.

*Number of Respondents:* 200,000.

*Estimated Annual Responses:* 200,000.

*Average Response Time:* 6 minutes.

*Total Annual Burden Hours:* 20,000.

*Total Annualized capital/startup costs:* \$0.

*Total Annual Costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services):* \$0.

*Description:* The Department of Labor (DOL) conducts a variety of voluntary Customer Satisfaction Surveys of regulated/non-regulated entities, which are specifically designed to gather information from a customer’s perspective as prescribed by E.O. 12862, Setting Customer Service Standards, September 11, 1993. These Customer Satisfaction Surveys provide information on customer attitudes about the delivery and quality of agency products/services and are used as part of an ongoing process to improve DOL programs. This generic clearance allows agencies to gather information from both Federal and non-Federal users.

In addition to conducting Customer Satisfaction Surveys, the Department also includes the use of evaluation forms for those DOL agencies conducting conferences. These evaluations are helpful in determining

the success of the current conference, in developing future conferences, and in meeting the needs of the Department's product/service users.

**Ira L. Mills,**

*Departmental Clearance Officer.*

[FR Doc. E6-10766 Filed 7-10-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-26-P**

## NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

### Information Security Oversight Office; Public Interest Declassification Board (PIDB); Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to Section 1102 of the Intelligence Reform and Terrorism Prevention Act of 2004 which extended and modified the Public Interest Declassification Board (PIDB) as established by the Public Interest Declassification Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106-567, title VII, December 27, 2000, 114 Stat. 2856), announcement is made for the following committee meeting:

*Name of Committee:* Public Interest Declassification Board (PIDB).

*Date of Meeting:* Friday, July 14, 2006.

*Time of Meeting:* 9 a.m. to 12 p.m.

*Place of Meeting:* National Archives and Records Administration, 700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Archivist's Reception Room (Room 105), Washington, DC 20408.

*Purpose:* To discuss declassification program issues.

This meeting will be open to the public. However, due to space limitations and access procedures, the name and telephone number of individuals planning to attend must be submitted to the Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO) no later than Monday, July 10, 2006. ISOO will provide additional instructions for gaining access to the location of the meeting.

*For Further Information Contact:* J. William Leonard, Director, Information Security Oversight Office, National Archives Building, 700 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20408, telephone number (202) 357-5250.

Dated: July 6, 2006.

**William Bosanko,**

*Acting Director, Information Security Oversight Office.*

[FR Doc. E6-10783 Filed 7-10-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7515-01-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Science Foundation.

**ACTION:** Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request.

**SUMMARY:** The National Science Foundation (NSF) has submitted the

following information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. This is the second notice for public comment; the first was published in the **Federal Register** at 71 FR 4382, and no comments were received. NSF is forwarding the proposed renewal submission to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance simultaneously with the publication of this second notice. Comments regarding (a) whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; or (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collect techniques or other forms of information technology should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for National Science Foundation, 725-17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503, and to Suzanne Plimpton, Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 295, Arlington, Virginia 22230 or send e-mail to [splimpto@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpto@nsf.gov). Comments regarding these information collections are best assured of having their full effect if received within 30 days of this notification. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling 703-292-7556.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Suzanne Plimpton at (703) 292-7556 or send e-mail to [splimpto@nsf.gov](mailto:splimpto@nsf.gov). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

NSF may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a currently valid OMB control number and the agency informs potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information that such persons are not required to respond to the collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* Evaluation of the Historically Black Colleges and Universities Undergraduate Program.

*OMB Control No.:* 3145-New.

*Abstract:* The National Science Foundation (NSF) funded a program, called Historically Black Colleges and Universities Undergraduate Program (HBCU-UP), designed to help institutions strengthen the quality of their undergraduate STEM programs. The Urban Institute is conducting an evaluation of the HBCU-UP program. Using a mixed-methods approach, UI researchers will conduct an evaluation over three years to study the effectiveness of the program. The evaluation will include both process and summative components. The process component will document how different models within the Program are being implemented, thus helping evaluators to link strategies to outcomes, identify crucial components of different models, and contribute to the construction of general theories to guide future initiatives to increase the diversity of the STEM workforce. The summative component of the evaluation will focus on the extent to which the Program has produced outcomes that meet stated goals for students, faculty and institutions. The process evaluation will rely mainly on qualitative data collected during case study site visits and interviews; the summative evaluation will rely primarily on data collected through a survey of graduates.

*Respondents:* Graduates of undergraduate programs to STEM at Historically Black Colleges and Universities awarded an HBCU-UP grant from NSF.

*Estimated Number of Annual Respondents:* 5,000 (total).

*Burden on the Public:* 1,250 hours.

Dated: July 6, 2006.

**Suzanne H. Plimpton,**

*Reports Clearance Officer, National Science Foundation.*

[FR Doc. 06-6129 Filed 7-10-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-M**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### National Science Board; Committee on Strategy and Budget; Sunshine Act Meeting

**DATE AND TIME:** July 13, 2006 3 p.m.-4 p.m. (ET).

**PLACE:** National Science Foundation, Room 1235, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Robert Webber, (703) 292-7000. <http://www.nsf.gov/nsb>.