

You may submit comments on issues and planning criteria in writing to the BLM using one of the methods listed in the **ADDRESSES** section above. To be most helpful, you should submit comments by the close of the 30-day scoping period. See the **DATES** section above.

### Summary of Expected Impacts

Federal oil and gas comprise approximately 9 percent of all oil and gas produced in California and is mostly located in western Kern County within the Bakersfield Field Office. Completion of this supplemental EIS will allow the BLM to resume oil and gas leasing within the planning area, which could result in the development of 10 to 40 new oil and gas wells per year on new leases, as described in the reasonably foreseeable development scenario for the Bakersfield RMP completed in the 2012 final EIS. The BLM expects the impacts associated with oil and gas production will be similar to those identified in the 2012 final EIS and the 2019 supplemental EIS for the Bakersfield RMP.

### Schedule for the Decision-Making Process

The BLM will provide additional opportunities for public participation consistent with NEPA and land use planning processes. If the BLM prepares an RMP amendment, additional opportunities will include a 90-day comment period on the draft RMP amendment/draft supplemental EIS, a concurrent 30-day public protest period, and a 60-day Governor's consistency review on the proposed RMP amendment/final supplemental EIS. The draft RMP amendment/draft supplemental EIS is anticipated to be available for public review in late summer/early fall 2025 and the proposed RMP amendment/final supplemental EIS is anticipated to be available for public review in early winter 2025 with an approved RMP amendment and record of decision in late winter 2026.

### Public Scoping Process

All public scoping comments must be submitted by email or by mail to the addresses listed under **ADDRESSES**. The purpose of the public scoping process is to determine relevant issues that will influence the scope of the environmental analysis, including alternatives if necessary, and guide the planning process.

### Responsible Official

The California State Director is the deciding official for this planning effort.

### Nature of Decision To Be Made

The nature of the decision to be made will be the State Director's selection of land use planning decisions pursuant to this potential RMP amendment for managing BLM-administered lands under the principles of multiple use and sustained yield in a manner that best addresses the purpose and need.

### Additional Information

The BLM will utilize and coordinate the NEPA and land use planning processes for this planning effort to help support compliance with applicable procedural requirements under the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1536) and section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. 306108) as provided in 36 CFR 800.2(d)(3), including public involvement requirements of section 106. The information about historic and cultural resources and threatened and endangered species within the area potentially affected by the proposed plan amendment will assist the BLM in identifying and evaluating impacts to such resources.

The BLM will consult with Indian Tribal Nations on a government-to-government basis in accordance with Executive Order 13175, BLM MS 1780, and other Departmental policies. Tribal concerns, including impacts on Indian trust assets and potential impacts to cultural resources, will be given due consideration. Federal, State, and local agencies, along with Indian Tribal Nations and other stakeholders that may be interested in or affected by the proposed action that the BLM is evaluating, are invited to participate in the scoping process and, if eligible, may request or be requested by the BLM to participate in the development of the environmental analysis as a cooperating agency.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

(Authority: 43 CFR 46.435 and 43 CFR 1610.2)

**Joseph Stout,**  
State Director.

[FR Doc. 2025-11481 Filed 6-20-25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4331-15-P**

### INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1422 & Investigation No. 337-TA-1425]

### Certain Topcon Solar Cells, Modules, Panels, Components Thereof, and Products Containing Same; Notice of a Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainants' Motion To Amend Complaint and Notice of Investigation To Reflect Corporate Name Change

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission ("Commission") has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") granting Complainants' motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to reflect a corporate name change by Trina Solar US Manufacturing Module 1, LLC to T1 G1 Dallas Solar Module (Trina) LLC.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Benjamin S. Richards, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5453. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted Investigation No. 337-TA-1422 ("the 1422 investigation") on November 5, 2024, and instituted Investigation No. 337-TA-1425 ("the 1425 investigation") on December 9, 2024, based on complaints filed by Trina Solar (U.S.), Inc., Trina Solar US Manufacturing Module 1, LLC, and Trina Solar Co., Ltd. (collectively, "Trina" or "Complainants"). 89 FR 87889 (Nov. 5, 2024); 89 FR 97653 (Dec. 9, 2024). The complaints, as supplemented, collectively allege violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the

sale within the United States after importation of certain TOPCon solar cells, modules, panels, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of claims 1–11 of the U.S. Patent No. 9,722,104 and claims 1–17 of U.S. Patent No. 10,230,009. The complaints further allege that a domestic industry exists. The Commission's notices of investigation collectively named as respondents Runergy USA Inc., of Pleasanton, CA; Runergy Alabama Inc., of Huntsville, AL; Jiangsu Runergy New Energy Technology, Co., Ltd., of Yangcheng City, China; Adani Solar USA Inc., of Irving, TX; Adani Green Energy Ltd., of Ahmedabad, India; CSI Solar Co., Ltd., of Suzhou, China; Canadian Solar Inc., of Guelph, Canada; Canadian Solar (USA) Inc., of Walnut Creek, CA; Canadian Solar Manufacturing (Thailand) Co., Ltd., of Bo Win, Thailand; Canadian Solar US Module Manufacturing Corporation, of Mesquite, TX; Recurrent Energy Development Holdings, LLC, of Austin, TX. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is participating in the investigations. *Id.*

On January 21, 2025, the Commission consolidated the 1422 investigation and the 1425 investigation. Inv. No. 337–TA–1422, Order No. 5 (Dec. 20, 2024) and Inv. No. 337–TA–1425, Order No. 4 (Dec. 20, 2024), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Jan. 21, 2025).

On January 31, 2025, the Commission determined not to review Order No. 8 granting Trina's unopposed motion to terminate the investigation as to Adani Green Energy Ltd. and to add Mundra Solar PV Ltd. as a respondent. *See* Order No. 8 (January 14, 2025), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Jan. 31, 2025).

On February 12, 2025, the Commission determined not to review Order No. 9 amending the target date to May 20, 2026. *See* Order No. 9 (Jan. 15, 2025), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (Feb. 12, 2025).

On February 13, 2025, the Commission determined not to review Order No. 7 granting Trina's unopposed motion to withdraw the complaint and terminate the investigation as to respondent Recurrent Energy Development Holdings LLC. *See* Order No. 8 (Jan. 14, 2025), *unreviewed by Comm'n Notice* (February 13, 2025).

On May 12, 2025, Complainants filed an unopposed motion seeking leave to amend the Complaint and the Notice of Institution of Investigation to reflect a corporate name change by Trina Solar US Manufacturing Module 1, LLC to T1 G1 Dallas Solar Module (Trina) LLC, a name change that was effective as of April 21, 2025.

On May 23, 2025, the presiding administrative law judge issued the subject ID granting Complainants' motion. Order No. 15. The ID found that Complainants demonstrated good cause for the amendment consistent with Commission Rule 210.14(b).

No petitions for review of the ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The complaint and notice of investigation are amended to replace complainant Trina Solar US Manufacturing Module 1, LLC with the correct party name of T1 G1 Dallas Solar Module (Trina) LLC.

The Commission vote for these determinations took place on June 17, 2025.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 17, 2025.

**Sharon Bellamy,**

*Supervisory Hearings and Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2025–11434 Filed 6–20–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## **INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION**

**[Investigation No. 337–TA–1378]**

### **Certain Organic Light-Emitting Diode Display Modules and Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Amending the Complaint and Notice of Investigation**

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 63) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”), to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to change the name of one of the respondents.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sidney A. Rosenzweig, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–2532. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS)

at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on December 6, 2023, based on a complaint filed by Samsung Display Company, Ltd. of the Republic of Korea (“Samsung”). 88 FR 84829 (Dec. 6, 2023). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), in the importation into the United States, or in the sale of certain organic light-emitting diode display modules and components thereof by reason of misappropriation of trade secrets, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure a domestic industry or to prevent the establishment of an industry in the United States. *Id.* The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents BOE Technology Group Co., Ltd. of Beijing, China; Mianyang BOE Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Mianyang, China; Ordos Yuansheng Optoelectronics Co., Ltd. of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, China; Chengdu BOE Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Chengdu, China; Chongqing BOE Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Chongqing, China; Wuhan BOE Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. of Wuhan, China; BMOT f/k/a Kunming BOE Display Technology of Yunnan Dianzhong New Area, China (“BMOT”); and BOE Technology America Inc. of Santa Clara, California. *Id.* The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is participating in the investigation. *Id.*

On May 21, 2025, Samsung and all respondents filed a “Joint Notice of Name Change,” because respondent BMOT had changed its name to Yunnan Invensight Optoelectronics Technology Co., Ltd. (“Yunnan”).

On May 27, 2025, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 63) amending the complaint and notice of investigation to replace BMOT with Yunnan. The ALJ treated the notice as a joint motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation pursuant to Commission Rule 210.14(b)(1) (19 CFR 210.14(b)(1)). The ID finds that good cause for the amendment exists because Yunnan is the proper party in interest.