

The parties concerned are cooperating in these investigations. Additional time is necessary, however, to complete the preliminary determinations due to the number and complexity of the transactions to be investigated and adjustments to be considered, and the novelty of issues presented.

With respect to India, the Department needs to consider a number of complex sales, cost, and affiliation issues associated with two companies. In regard to Taiwan, on September 19 and September 26, 2001 the Department received allegations that sales were made below the cost of production during the period of investigation. We reviewed those allegations and initiated investigations of sales below cost. Therefore, for both investigations, additional time is required to review the issues and the cost information for purposes of the preliminary determinations. Therefore, pursuant to section 733(c)(1)(B) of the Act, we are postponing the preliminary determinations in these investigations until December 13, 2001. This notice is issued and published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.205(f).

Dated: October 4, 2001.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 01-25712 Filed 10-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-533-810]

#### **Stainless Steel Bar From India; Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India. The period of review is February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001. This extension is made pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 12, 2001.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Melanie Brown or Annika O'Hara in Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington DC 20230; at telephone (202) 482-4987 and 482-3798, respectively.

#### **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act and all citations to the regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

#### **Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act requires the Department to issue the preliminary results of an administrative review within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary results are published. However, if it is not practicable to complete the review within the time period, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend these deadlines to a maximum of 365 days and 180 days, respectively.

#### **Background**

On March 22, 2001, the Department published a notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from India, covering the period February 1, 2000 through January 31, 2001 (66 FR 16037). The preliminary results for the antidumping duty administrative review of stainless steel bar from India are currently due no later than October 31, 2001.

#### **Extension of Time Limits for Preliminary Results**

Due to the complexity of the issues raised by the revocation requests that have been made by two respondents, it is not practicable to complete this review within the originally anticipated time limit (*i.e.*, October 31, 2001). Therefore, the Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results to no later than February 28, 2002, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: October 5, 2001.

**Richard W. Moreland,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for AD/CVD Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 01-25707 Filed 10-11-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-588-854]

#### **Certain Tin Mill Products From Japan: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final results of changed circumstances review.

**SUMMARY:** On May 29, 2001, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published a notice of initiation of a changed circumstances review for a partial revocation of the antidumping duty order on tin mill products from Japan with respect to the merchandise described below at the request of Weirton Steel and the Independent Steelworkers Union, interested parties in this proceeding. *See Certain Tin Mill Products from Japan: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 66 FR 29086 (May 29, 2001). On August 8, 2001, the Department published the preliminary results of the changed circumstances review and preliminarily determined that several interested parties are interested in the maintenance of the order with respect to the merchandise described below, and that there was no reasonable basis to believe that changed circumstances sufficient to warrant revocation exist. *See Certain Tin Mill Products from Japan: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review*, 66 FR 41550 (August 8, 2001). In our preliminary results we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment; however, we did not receive any comments. We are unable to determine that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product have expressed lack of interest in the product in question. Thus we determine that changed circumstances do not exist to warrant revocation of the order in part.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 12, 2001.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Michael Ferrier or Steve Bezirganian, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and