our partners in NAICS, will also influence the ECPC's recommendations on those proposals.

In addition, other criteria may affect recommendations for adoption. From a practical standpoint, industries must be of appropriate size. At the national level, this is generally not a major concern, but there are a variety of statistical programs that produce industry data at the regional, State, metropolitan area, or even county or local level. A proposed industry must include a sufficient number of establishments so that Federal agencies can publish industry data without disclosing information about the operations of individual firms.

The ability of government agencies to classify, collect, and publish data on the proposed basis will also be taken into account. Proposed changes must be such that they can be applied by agencies within their normal processing operations. Any recommendations for change by the ECPC for consideration will also take into account the cost of making the changes. These costs can be considerable and the availability of funding to make changes is critical. The budgetary environment will be considered when the ECPC makes recommendations. As mentioned above, certain proposals may be more adequately addressed through the identification and collection of product

Proposals for new or revised industries should be consistent with the production-oriented conceptual framework incorporated into the principles of NAICS. When formulating proposals, please note that an industry classification system groups the economic activities of producing units, which means that the activities of similar producing units cannot be separated in the industry classification system.

Proposals must include the following information:

- (1) Specific economic activities to be covered by the proposed industry, the proposed industry's production processes, its specialized labor skills, and any unique equipment and materials used. This detail should demonstrate that the proposed industry will group establishments with similar production processes that are unique and clearly separable from the production processes of other industries.
- (2) Relationship of the proposed industry to existing NAICS United States 2022 six-digit national industries and whether the proposed industry would impact trilateral NAICS levels (as

specified by "T" superscripts in the 2022 NAICS structure).

(3) Documentation of the size and recent and projected growth of the proposed industry in the United States.

(4) Information about the size and importance of the proposed industry in Canada and Mexico, if available.

Proposals will be collected, reviewed, and analyzed by the ECPC. As necessary, proposals for change will be negotiated with our partners in Canada and Mexico. When this process is complete, OMB will publish a **Federal Register** notice that contains the ECPC recommendations for revisions for additional public comment prior to a final OMB determination of any changes to NAICS for 2027.

III. Improve Measures of Emerging/ Innovative Industrial Activity, Including the Bioeconomy

Consistent with Executive Order 14081, Advancing Biotechnology and Biomanufacturing Innovation for a Sustainable, Safe, and Secure American Bioeconomy, the Interagency Technical Working Group on Developing, Recommending and Assessing Current Industry and Product Classifications for the Emerging Bioeconomy submitted a report to OMB with recommendations for revisions to NAICS for advancing measurement in this area.1 In this report, the Working Group stated that there has been sustained growth and diversification in biotechnology, biomanufacturing, and the related use of biological resources to supplement, and in some industries, replace more traditional production methods. The report also discussed several challenges with measuring this part of the economy, and included recommendations for revisions to both the NAICS and NAPCS. As mentioned above, especially in this area, some proposals may be more adequately addressed through the identification and collection of product versus

industry data.

The ECPC is soliciting public comments regarding the recommendations included in the report, to support development of related final ECPC recommendations to OMB. In particular, ECPC is interested in the following issues: What impact might these potential changes to the NAICS have on existing industry

measurements, for example economic output and employment, continuity of time series measures? What methodologies should compilers of Federal economic data apply to differentiate bioeconomic processes from current production processes to enable measurement? What potential bioeconomy measurement strategies might be considered other than revisions to and inclusion in the NAICS?

IV. Errors and Omissions in NAICS

No significant errors or omissions have been identified in NAICS 2022. Any errors or omissions that are identified in NAICS in the future will be corrected and posted on the official NAICS website at www.census.gov/naics.

V. Timing for the 2027 NAICS Revision Process

Following this public comment period, the ECPC will review the comments and develop any proposed revisions for the 2027 NAICS. Then OMB will publish ECPC's proposed revisions for public comment in a subsequent Federal Register Notice. The ECPC will then review the comments and develop final recommendations to the Chief Statistician of the United States at OMB on the proposed revisions for the 2027 NAICS. OMB will consider the final recommendations from the ECPC and will publish the updated classification for 2027 NAICS in calendar year 2026. The NAICS 2027 will be available on the Census Bureau website in January 2027.

Karin A. Orvis,

Chief Statistician of the United States. [FR Doc. 2024–30060 Filed 12–19–24; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC-2024-0172]

Information Collection: Human Performance Test Facility

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Proposed information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) invites public comment on this proposed information collection. The information collection is entitled, "Human Performance Test Facility."

DATES: Submit comments by February 18, 2025. Comments received after this

¹Interagency Tech. Working Grp. on Developing, Recommending and Assessing Current Indus. & Product Classifications for the Emerging Bioeconomy, Measuring the Bioeconomy: Recommended Revisions to the NAICS and NAPCS (Sept. 12, 2023), available at www.usda.gov/sites/ default/files/documents/OCE-Measuring-the-Bioeconomy.pdf.

date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but the Commission is able to ensure consideration only for comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods; however, the NRC encourages electronic comment submission through the Federal rulemaking website:

- Federal rulemaking website: Go to https://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC-2024-0172. Address questions about Docket IDs in Regulations.gov to Stacy Schumann; telephone: 301-415-0624; email: Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section of this document.
- Mail comments to: David Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, Mail Stop: T–6 A10M, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

For additional direction on obtaining information and submitting comments, see "Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments" in the SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION section of this document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–2084; email: Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Obtaining Information and Submitting Comments

A. Obtaining Information

Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2024–0172 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information for this action. You may obtain publicly available information related to this action by any of the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking Website: Go to https://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC-2024-0172.
- NRC's Agencywide Documents
 Access and Management System
 (ADAMS): You may obtain publicly
 available documents online in the
 ADAMS Public Documents collection at
 https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/
 adams.html. To begin the search, select
 "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For
 problems with ADAMS, please contact
 the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR)
 reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, at
 301–415–4737, or by email to
 PDR.Resource@nrc.gov. The supporting
 statement is available in ADAMS under
 Accession No. ML24197A213.
- NRC's PDR: The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of

- publicly available documents, is open by appointment. To make an appointment to visit the PDR, please send an email to *PDR.Resource@nrc.gov* or call 1–800–397–4209 or 301–415– 4737, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. eastern time (ET), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.
- NRC's Clearance Officer: A copy of the collection of information and related instructions may be obtained without charge by contacting the NRC's Clearance Officer, David C. Cullison, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–2084; email: Infocollects.Resource@nrc.gov.

B. Submitting Comments

The NRC encourages electronic comment submission through the Federal rulemaking website (https://www.regulations.gov). Please include Docket ID NRC-2024-0172, in your comment submission.

The NRC cautions you not to include identifying or contact information in comment submissions that you do not want to be publicly disclosed in your comment submission. All comment submissions are posted at https://www.regulations.gov and entered into ADAMS. Comment submissions are not routinely edited to remove identifying or contact information.

If you are requesting or aggregating comments from other persons for submission to the NRC, then you should inform those persons not to include identifying or contact information that they do not want to be publicly disclosed in their comment submission. Your request should state that comment submissions are not routinely edited to remove such information before making the comment submissions available to the public or entering the comment into ADAMS.

II. Background

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. chapter 35), the NRC is requesting public comment on its intention to request the OMB's approval for the information collection summarized as follows.

- 1. The title of the information collection: Human Performance Test Facility
- 2. *OMB approval number:* An OMB control number has not yet been assigned to this proposed information collection.
 - 3. Type of submission: New.
- 4. The form number, if applicable: Not applicable.

- 5. How often the collection is required or requested: On occasion.
- 6. Who will be required or asked to respond: The potential respondent universe consists of NRC staff (defined as full-time permanent staff and management level personnel, licensed plant operators, summer interns, and cooperative education students). Respondents may be selected to cover a broad sample or to include specific characteristics (i.e., individual differences such as operator experience) in order to facilitate research aims.
- 7. The estimated number of annual responses: 300.
- 8. The estimated number of annual respondents: 300.
- 9. The estimated number of hours needed annually to comply with the information collection requirement or request: 300.
- 10. Abstract: The NRC is requesting OMB approval of a plan for a generic collection of information. The need and practicality of the collection can be evaluated, but the details of the specific individual collections will not be known until a later time. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the NRC is seeking public comment on approval of data collections for the Human Performance Test Facility. The purpose of the data collections submitted under this generic clearance will be to address research gaps in human performance and human reliability analysis in the nuclear domain and contribute to our understanding of various cognitive and physical elements that facilitate interactions with state-of-the-art technology and support safe control room operation. Research findings from these data collections will support informational needs of the NRC and ensure that our human factors engineering guidance documents and human risk analysis methods support the review and evaluation of "state-ofthe-art" human factors programs.

III. Specific Requests for Comments

The NRC is seeking comments that address the following questions:

- 1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility? Please explain your answer.
- 2. Is the estimate of the burden of the information collection accurate? Please explain your answer.
- 3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
- 4. How can the burden of the information collection on respondents be minimized, including the use of

automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

Dated: December 17, 2024.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David Cullison,

NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.

[FR Doc. 2024–30392 Filed 12–19–24; 8:45~am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 72-70, 50-373, and 50-374; NRC-2024-0205]

Constellation Energy Generation, LLC; LaSalle County Station Units 1 and 2; Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory

Commission.

ACTION: Notice; issuance.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is issuing an environmental assessment (EA) and a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) for an exemption request submitted by Constellation Energy Generation, LLC (CEG), that would permit LaSalle County Station (LSCS) to maintain four loaded and to load four 68M multipurpose canister (MPC) with continuous basket shims (CBS) in the HI–STORM 100 Cask System at its LSCS Units 1 and 2 independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) in a storage condition where the terms, conditions, and specifications in the Certificate of Compliance (CoC) No. 1014, Amendment No. 8, Revision No. 1, are

DATES: The EA and FONSI referenced in this document are available on December 20, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Please refer to Docket ID NRC–2024–0205 when contacting the NRC about the availability of information regarding this document. You may obtain publicly available information related to this document using any of the following methods:

- Federal Rulemaking website: Go to https://www.regulations.gov and search for Docket ID NRC-2024-0205. Address questions about Docket IDs in Regulations.gov to Stacy Schumann; telephone: 301-415-0624; email: Stacy.Schumann@nrc.gov. For technical questions, contact the individual listed in the "For Further Information Contact" section of this document.
- NRC's Agencywide Documents Access and Management System

(ADAMS): You may obtain publicly available documents online in the ADAMS Public Documents collection at https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html. To begin the search, select "Begin Web-based ADAMS Search." For problems with ADAMS, please contact the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR) reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, at 301–415–4737, or by email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov. For the convenience of the reader, instructions about obtaining materials referenced in this document are provided in the "Availability of Documents" section.

• NRC's PDR: The PDR, where you may examine and order copies of publicly available documents, is open by appointment. To make an appointment to visit the PDR, please send an email to PDR.Resource@nrc.gov or call 1–800–397–4209 or 301–415–4737, between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. eastern time (ET), Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martin Ortiz Gonzalez, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001; telephone: 301–415–3637; email:

Martin.OrtizGonzalez@nrc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The NRC is reviewing an exemption request from CEG, dated September 19, 2024. CEG is requesting an exemption, pursuant to section 72.7 of title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR) in paragraphs 72.212(a)(2), 72.212(b)(3), 72.212(b)(5)(i), 72.212(b)(11), and 72.214 that require CEG to comply with the terms, conditions, and specifications of the CoC No. 1014, Amendment No. 8, Revision No. 1. If approved, the exemption would allow CEG to maintain four loaded and to load four new MPC-68M-CBS in the HI-STORM 100 Cask System at the LSCS ISFSI in a storage condition where the terms. conditions, and specifications in the CoC No. 1014, Amendment No. 8, Revision No. 1, are not met.

II. Environmental Assessment

Background

The LSCS site is approximately 75 miles (mi) (120 kilometers (km)) southwest of downtown Chicago, Illinois, and approximately 26 mi (42 km) west of Exelon's Braidwood Station in Braceville, Illinois, and 23 mi (37 km) southwest of the Dresden Nuclear Power Station near Morris, Illinois. Interstate Highway 80 is 8 mi (13 km) north of the site. The LSCS site is located in a rural and agricultural setting. The town of

Seneca lies 6 mi (10 km) northeast of LSCS. The town of Marseilles lies 7 mi (11 km) north-northwest of LSCS. The Illinois River is 5 mi (8 km) north of LSCS. Unit 1 and Unit 2 began operating in 1984. CEG has been storing spent fuel in an ISFSI at LSCS under a general license as authorized by 10 CFR part 72, subpart K, "General License for Storage of Spent Fuel at Power Reactor Sites. CEG currently uses the HI-STORM 100 Cask System under CoC No. 1014, Amendment No. 8, Revision No. 1, for dry storage of spent nuclear fuel in a specific MPC (i.e., MPC-68M) at the LSCS ISFSI.

Description of the Proposed Action

The CoC is the NRC-approved design for each dry cask storage system. The proposed action would exempt the applicant from the requirements of 10 CFR 72.212(a)(2), 72.212(b)(3), 72.212(b)(5)(i), 72.212(b)(11), and 72.214 only as these requirements pertain to the use of the MPC-68M-CBS in the HI–STORM 100 Cask System for the four already loaded canisters and the planned loading of four new canisters. The exemption would allow CEG to maintain four loaded and to load four new MPC-68M-CBS in the HI-STORM 100 Cask System at the LSCS ISFSI, despite the MPC-68M-CBS in the HI-STORM 100 Cask System not being in compliance with the terms, conditions, and specifications in the CoC No. 1014, Amendment No. 8, Revision No. 1.

The HI–STORM 100 Cask System CoC provides the requirements, conditions, and operating limits necessary for use of the system to store spent fuel. Holtec International (Holtec), the designer and manufacturer of the HI-STORM 100 Cask System, developed a variant of the design with continuous basket shims (CBS) for the MPC-68M, known as MPC-68M-CBS. Holtec originally implemented the CBS variant design under the provisions of 10 CFR 72.48, which allows licensees to make changes to cask designs without a CoC amendment under certain conditions (listed in 10 CFR 72.48(c)). After evaluating the specific changes to the cask designs, the NRC determined that Holtec erred when it implemented the CBS variant design under 10 CFR 72.48, as this was not the type of change allowed without a CoC amendment. For this reason, the NRC issued three Severity Level IV violations to Holtec. CEG had previously loaded four MPC-68M-CBS in the HI-STORM 100 Cask System and plans to load four MPC-68M-CBS in the HI-STORM 100 Cask System beginning in June 2025. This exemption considers the storage of the