

publicly available only in hard copy. Except for such material, publicly available docket materials are available electronically in *Regulations.gov*.

**Instructions.** Direct your comments to Docket ID Nos. EPA-HQ-OAR-2025-0162 (Oil and Natural Gas), EPA-HQ-OAR-2002-0083 (Integrated Iron and Steel), EPA-HQ-OAR-2002-0085 (Coke Ovens: Pushing, Quenching, and Battery Stacks source category), EPA-HQ-OAR-2003-0051 (Coke Ovens Batteries source category), or as appropriate. The EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <https://www.regulations.gov/>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit electronically to <https://www.regulations.gov/> any information that you consider to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. This type of information should be submitted as discussed in the *Submitting CBI* section of this document.

The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

The <https://www.regulations.gov/> website allows you to submit your comment anonymously, which means the EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to the EPA without going through <https://www.regulations.gov/>, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the internet. If you submit an electronic comment, the EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any digital storage media you submit. If the EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact

you for clarification, the EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should not include special characters or any form of encryption and should be free of any defects or viruses. For additional information about the EPA's public docket, visit the EPA Docket Center homepage at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

**Submitting CBI.** Do not submit information containing CBI to the EPA through <https://www.regulations.gov/>. Clearly mark the part or all the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information on any digital storage media that you mail to the EPA, note the Docket ID No., mark the outside of the digital storage media as CBI, and identify electronically within the digital storage media the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comments that includes information claimed as CBI, you must submit a copy of the comments that does not contain the information claimed as CBI directly to the public docket through the procedures outlined in the *Instructions* section of this document. If you submit any digital storage media that does not contain CBI, mark the outside of the digital storage media clearly that it does not contain CBI and note the Docket ID No. Information not marked as CBI will be included in the public docket and the EPA's electronic public docket without prior notice. Information marked as CBI will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part 2.

Our preferred method to receive CBI is for it to be transmitted electronically using email attachments, File Transfer Protocol (FTP), or other online file sharing services (*e.g.*, Dropbox, OneDrive, Google Drive). Electronic submissions must be transmitted directly to the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) CBI Office at the email address [oaqps\\_cbi@epa.gov](mailto:oaqps_cbi@epa.gov) and, as described above, should include clear CBI markings and note the Docket ID No. If assistance is needed with submitting large electronic files that exceed the file size limit for email attachments, or if you do not have your own file sharing service, please email [oaqps\\_cbi@epa.gov](mailto:oaqps_cbi@epa.gov) to request a file transfer link. If sending CBI information through the U.S. Postal Service, please send it to the following address: U.S. EPA, Attn: OAQPS Document Control Officer, Mail Drop: C404-02, 109 T.W. Alexander Drive, P.O. Box 12055, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, Attention Docket ID Nos. EPA-HQ-OAR-2025-0162 (Oil and Natural

Gas), EPA-HQ-OAR-2002-0083 (Integrated Iron and Steel), EPA-HQ-OAR-2002-0085 (Coke Ovens: Pushing, Quenching, and Battery Stacks source category), EPA-HQ-OAR-2003-0051 (Coke Ovens Batteries source category). The mailed CBI material should be double wrapped and clearly marked. Any CBI markings should not show through the outer envelope.

**David Cozzie,**

*Acting Director of Sector Policies Programs Division.*

[FR Doc. 2025-15614 Filed 8-14-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 180

[EPA-HQ-OPP-2023-0407; FRL-12919-01-OCSP]

### Mandipropamid; Pesticide Tolerance

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This regulation establishes a tolerance action for residues of mandipropamid in or on papaya. Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC submitted a petition to EPA requesting that EPA establish a maximum permissible level for residues of this pesticide in or on the identified commodities.

**DATES:** This regulation is effective on August 15, 2025. Objections and requests for hearings must be received on or before October 14, 2025 and must be filed in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178 (see also Unit I.C. of this document.)

**ADDRESSES:** The docket for this action, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-HQ-OPP-2023-0407, is available online at <https://www.regulations.gov/> or in-person at the Office of Pesticide Programs Regulatory Public Docket (OPP Docket) in the Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), West William Jefferson Clinton Bldg., Rm. 3334, 1301 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001. The Public Reading Room is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The telephone number for the Public Reading Room is (202) 566-1744, and the telephone number for the OPP Docket is (703) 305-5805. Please review the visitor instructions and additional information about the docket available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Charles Smith, Director, Registration Division (7505T), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (202) 566-1030; email address: [RDFFRNotices@epa.gov](mailto:RDFFRNotices@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**I. Executive Summary**

*A. Does this action apply to me?*

You may be potentially affected by this action if you are an agricultural producer, food manufacturer, or pesticide manufacturer. The following list of North American Industrial Classification System (NAICS) codes is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide to help readers determine whether this document might apply to them. Potentially affected entities may include:

- Crop production (NAICS code 111).
- Animal production (NAICS code 112).
- Food manufacturing (NAICS code 311).
- Pesticide manufacturing (NAICS code 32532).

If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

*B. How can I get electronic access to other related information?*

You may access a frequently updated electronic version of EPA's tolerance regulations at 40 CFR part 180 through the **Federal Register's** e-CFR site at <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-40>.

*C. How can I file an objection or hearing request?*

Under FFDCA section 408(g), 21 U.S.C. 346a(g), any person may file an objection to any aspect of this regulation and may also request a hearing on those objections. You must file your objection or request a hearing on this regulation in accordance with the instructions provided in 40 CFR part 178. To ensure proper receipt by EPA, you must identify the docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2023-0407 in the subject line on the first page of your submission. All objections and requests for a hearing must be in writing and must be received by the Hearing Clerk on or before October 14, 2025. Addresses for mail and hand delivery of objections and hearing requests are provided in 40 CFR 178.25(b).

The EPA's Office of Administrative Law Judges (OALJ), in which the Hearing Clerk is housed, urges parties to

file and serve documents by electronic means only, notwithstanding any other particular requirements set forth in other procedural rules governing those proceedings. See "Revised Order Urging Electronic Filing and Service," dated June 22, 2023, which can be found at <https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2023-06/2023-06-22%20-%20revised%20order%20urging%20electronic%20filing%20and%20service.pdf>. Although the EPA's regulations require submission via U.S. Mail or hand delivery, the EPA intends to treat submissions filed via electronic means as properly filed submissions; therefore, the EPA believes the preference for submission via electronic means will not be prejudicial. When submitting documents to the OALJ electronically, a person should utilize the OALJ e-filing system at <https://yosemite.epa.gov/OA/EAB/EAB-ALJ Upload.nsf/HomePage?ReadForm>.

In addition to filing an objection or hearing request with the Hearing Clerk as described in 40 CFR part 178, please submit a copy of the filing (excluding any Confidential Business Information (CBI)) for inclusion in the public docket. Information not marked confidential pursuant to 40 CFR part 2 may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. Submit the non-CBI copy of your objection or hearing request, identified by docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2023-0407, by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <https://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be CBI or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.
- **Mail:** OPP Docket, Environmental Protection Agency Docket Center (EPA/DC), (28221T), 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001.
- **Hand Delivery:** To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/where-send-comments-epa-dockets>.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

**II. Summary of Petitioned-For Tolerance**

In the **Federal Register** of September 19, 2023 (88 FR 64398 (FRL-10579-08-OCSP)), EPA issued a document pursuant to FFDCA section 408(d)(3), 21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(3), announcing the filing

of a pesticide petition (PP 3E9047) by Syngenta Crop Protection, LLC (P.O. Box 18300, Greensboro, NC 27419). The petition requested that 40 CFR part 180 be amended by establishing tolerances for residues of the fungicide mandipropamid in or on: papaya, whole fruit at 0.8 part per million (ppm); papaya, peel at 3 ppm; and papaya, pulp at 0.015 ppm. That document referenced a summary of the petition that was prepared by Syngenta, the registrant, which is available in the docket, <https://www.regulations.gov>. There were no comments received in response to the notice of filing.

Based upon review of the data supporting the petition, EPA is establishing the tolerance on Papaya at 0.9 ppm. The reason for this change is explained in Unit IV.C.

**III. Final Tolerance Action**

*A. Aggregate Risk Assessment and Determination of Safety*

Section 408(b)(2)(A)(i) of FFDCA allows EPA to establish a tolerance (the legal limit for a pesticide chemical residue in or on a food) only if EPA determines that the tolerance is "safe." Section 408(b)(2)(A)(ii) of FFDCA defines "safe" to mean that "there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue, including all anticipated dietary exposures and all other exposures for which there is reliable information." This includes exposure through drinking water and in residential settings, but does not include occupational exposure. Section 408(b)(2)(C) of FFDCA requires EPA to give special consideration to exposure of infants and children to the pesticide chemical residue in establishing a tolerance and to "ensure that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to infants and children from aggregate exposure to the pesticide chemical residue. . . ."

Consistent with FFDCA section 408(b)(2)(D), and the factors specified therein, EPA has reviewed the available scientific data and other relevant information in support of this action. EPA has sufficient data to assess the hazards of and to make a determination on aggregate exposure for mandipropamid including exposure resulting from the tolerances established by this action. EPA's assessment of exposures and risks associated with mandipropamid follows.

In an effort to streamline its publications in the **Federal Register**, EPA is not reprinting sections that repeat what has been previously published for tolerance rulemakings of

the same pesticide chemical. Where scientific information concerning a particular chemical remains unchanged, the content of those sections would not vary between tolerance rulemaking, and EPA considers referral back to those sections as sufficient to provide an explanation of the information EPA considered in making its safety determination for the new rulemaking.

EPA has previously published several tolerance rulemakings for mandipropamid in which EPA concluded, based on the available information, that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm would result from aggregate exposure to mandipropamid and established tolerances for residues of that chemical. EPA is incorporating previously published sections from these rulemakings as described further in this rulemaking, as they remain unchanged. “*Mandipropamid. Human Health Risk Assessment for Proposed Label Amendments for the Tuberous and Corm Vegetable Crop Subgroup (1C) and Tobacco, a Tolerance Without U.S. Registration for Papaya, and Non-Food Status for Non-Bearing Strawberries and Non-Bearing Members of the Tree Nut Crop Group 14–12,*” which is available in the docket for this action at <https://www.regulations.gov>.

#### B. Toxicological Profile

For a discussion of the Toxicological Profile of mandipropamid, see Unit III.A. of the rulemaking published in the **Federal Register** of March 22, 2019 (84 FR 10695) (FRL–9987–25). In 2020 the Agency published a draft risk assessment for the registration review of mandipropamid, but this assessment did not result in any changes to the conclusions from the 2019 rulemaking.

#### C. Toxicological Points of Departure/ Levels of Concern

A summary of the toxicological endpoints for mandipropamid used for human health risk assessment is discussed in Unit III.B of the March 22, 2019 final rule.

#### D. Exposure Assessment

In evaluating dietary exposure to mandipropamid, EPA considered exposure under the petitioned-for tolerances as well as all existing tolerances in 40 CFR 180.637. An acute dietary risk assessment was not performed since no endpoint attributable to a single exposure (dose) was identified from the available oral toxicity database. In conducting the chronic dietary exposure assessment, EPA used the Dietary Exposure Evaluation Model software using the

Food Commodity Intake Database (DEEM–FCID), Version 4.02, which uses the 2005–2010 food consumption data from the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, What We Eat in America (NHANES/WWEIA). The chronic dietary exposure assessment is unrefined, assuming tolerance level residues and 100 percent crop treated (PCT). Based on the lack of evidence of carcinogenicity or genotoxicity, the Agency has classified mandipropamid as “Not Likely to be Carcinogenic to Humans” and therefore, there is no concern for cancer risk.

#### I. Dietary Exposure From Drinking Water

Since this request is for a tolerance without U.S. registration the action will not impact drinking water exposure estimates. The estimated drinking water concentrations (EDWCs) included all residues of concern in drinking water: mandipropamid, SYN504851, and SYN500003. EPA used the Pesticide in Water Calculator (PWC) to determine both the groundwater and surface water EDWCs. For the chronic dietary risk assessment, the mandipropamid EDWCs are 21.9 ppb in surface water and 62.8 ppb in groundwater. The groundwater value was based on the Wisconsin corn scenario. Because the groundwater value is higher than the surface water value, it was used in the chronic dietary exposure assessment. It was incorporated into the DEEM–FCID model in the food categories “water, direct, all sources” and “water, indirect, all sources.”

#### II. From Non-Dietary Exposure

There are no residential (non-occupational) uses proposed or currently registered for mandipropamid. Therefore, residential exposures were not assessed.

#### III. Cumulative Effects From Substances With a Common Mechanism of Toxicity

Section 408(b)(2)(D)(v) of FFDCA requires that, when considering whether to establish, modify, or revoke a tolerance, the Agency consider “available information” concerning the cumulative effects of a particular pesticide’s residues and “other substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity.” Unlike other pesticides for which EPA has followed a cumulative risk approach based on a common mechanism of toxicity, EPA has not made a common mechanism of toxicity finding as to mandipropamid and any other substances and mandipropamid does not appear to produce a toxic metabolite produced by

other substances. Therefore, for the purposes of this action, EPA has not assumed that mandipropamid has a common mechanism of toxicity with other substances.

#### E. Safety Factor for Infants and Children

FFDCA section 408(b)(2)(C) provides that EPA shall apply an additional tenfold (10X) margin of safety for infants and children in the case of threshold effects to account for prenatal and postnatal toxicity and the completeness of the database on toxicity and exposure unless EPA determines based on reliable data that a different margin of safety will be safe for infants and children. This additional margin of safety is commonly referred to as the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) Safety Factor (SF). In applying this provision, EPA either retains the default value of 10X, or uses a different additional safety factor when reliable data available to EPA support the choice of a different factor.

EPA continues to conclude that there are reliable data to support the reduction of the Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) safety factor from 10X to 1X. See Unit III.D. of the March 22, 2019, rulemaking for a discussion of the Agency’s rationale for that determination.

#### F. Aggregate Risk and Determination of Safety

EPA determines whether acute and chronic dietary pesticide exposures are safe by comparing dietary exposure estimates to the acute population adjusted dose (aPAD) and the chronic population adjusted dose (cPAD). Short-, intermediate-, and chronic term aggregate risks are evaluated by comparing the estimated total food, water, and residential exposure to the appropriate points of departure to ensure that an adequate margin of exposure (MOE) exists.

An acute dietary exposure assessment was not performed as there were no indications of an adverse effect attributable to a single dose. Chronic dietary risks (food and water) are below the Agency’s level of concern of 100% of the cPAD; they are 44% of the cPAD for children 1 to less than 2 years old, the population subgroup receiving the highest exposure. As there are no residential uses for mandipropamid, the short-term and chronic aggregate risk estimates are equivalent to the chronic dietary risk estimates, which are not of concern.

Cancer risk was not assessed for mandipropamid because it is classified as “Not Likely to be Carcinogenic to Humans.”

Therefore, based on the risk assessments and information described above, EPA concludes there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result to the general population, or to infants and children, from aggregate exposure to mandipropamid residues, including its metabolites and degradates. More detailed information about the Agency's analysis can be found at <https://www.regulations.gov> in the document titled "Mandipropamid. Human Health Risk Assessment for Proposed Label Amendments for the Tuberous and Corm Vegetable Crop Subgroup (1C) and Tobacco, a Tolerance Without U.S. Registration for Papaya, and Non-Food Status for Non-Bearing Strawberries and Non-Bearing Members of the Tree Nut Crop Group 14-12" in docket ID number EPA-HQ-OPP-2023-0407.

#### IV. Other Considerations

##### A. Analytical Enforcement Methodology

Under a previous action, Syngenta submitted an updated version of Method 415/01. HED reviewed the updated method, Method 415/02 (W. Drew, D428278, 2/23/2016). This method is essentially the same as Method RAM 415/01, with the exception that it was modified by the addition of a second ion transition for determination of mandipropamid, inclusion of specific LC/MS/MS conditions, and modification to include analysis of hops. Method 415/02 underwent a successful independent laboratory validation, has a validated LOQ of 0.010 ppm, and is adequate for enforcement of mandipropamid tolerances.

##### B. International Residue Limits

In making its tolerance decisions, EPA seeks to harmonize U.S. tolerances with international standards whenever possible, consistent with U.S. food safety standards and agricultural practices. EPA considers the international maximum residue limits (MRLs) established by the Codex Alimentarius Commission (Codex), as required by FFDCA section 408(b)(4). The Codex Alimentarius is a joint United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization food standards program, and it is recognized as an international food safety standards-setting organization in trade agreements to which the United States is a party. EPA may establish a tolerance that is different from a Codex MRL; however, FFDCA section 408(b)(4) requires that EPA explain the reasons for departing from the Codex level. The Codex does

not have established MRLs for mandipropamid on papaya.

##### C. Revisions to Petitioned-For Tolerances

Based upon review of the data supporting the petition and in accordance with its authority under FFDCA section 408(d)(4)(A)(i), EPA is establishing a tolerance that varies from what was requested. The petitioner proposed a tolerance of 0.8 ppm for Papaya, Whole Fruit; 3 ppm for Papaya, Peel; and 0.015 ppm for Papaya, Pulp. Although the proposed tolerances are for Papaya, Whole Fruit; Papaya, Peel; and Papaya, Pulp, EPA has determined that a tolerance for Papaya only is appropriate because papaya is the only commodity of the three petitioned-for commodities for which the U.S. establishes tolerances, based on the OCSPP residue chemistry guideline 860.1000. Using papaya only, the OECD MRL calculation procedures generated a value of 0.9 ppm as the appropriate tolerance. As a result, the EPA-recommended tolerance for papaya is higher than the tolerance proposed by the petitioner.

#### V. Conclusion

Therefore, a tolerance is established for residues of mandipropamid (4-chloro-N-[2-[3-methoxy-4-(2-propyn-1-yloxy)phenyl]ethyl]- $\alpha$ -(2-propyn-1-yloxy)benzeneacetamide) in or on Papaya at 0.9 ppm.

#### VI. Statutory and Executive Order Reviews

Additional information about these statutes and Executive orders can be found at <https://www.epa.gov/laws-regulations/laws-and-executive-orders>.

##### A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review

This action is exempt from review under Executive Order 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993), because it establishes or modifies a pesticide tolerance or a tolerance exemption under FFDCA section 408 in response to a petition submitted to the Agency. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has exempted these types of actions from review under Executive Order 12866.

##### B. Executive Order 14192: Unleashing Prosperity Through Deregulation

Executive Order 14192 (90 FR 9065, February 6, 2025) does not apply because actions that establish a tolerance under FFDCA section 408 are exempted from review under Executive Order 12866.

##### C. Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA)

This action does not impose an information collection burden under the PRA 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*, because it does not contain any information collection activities.

##### D. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)

Since tolerance actions that are established on the basis of a petition under FFDCA section 408(d), such as the tolerance in this final rule, do not require the issuance of a proposed rule, the requirements of the RFA, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*, do not apply to this action.

##### E. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA)

This action does not contain an unfunded mandate of \$100 million or more (in 1995 dollars and adjusted annually for inflation) as described in UMRA, 2 U.S.C. 1531-1538, and does not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. The action imposes no enforceable duty on any state, local or tribal governments or on the private sector.

##### F. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

This action does not have federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999), because it will not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

##### G. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

This action does not have tribal implications as specified in Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000), because it will not have substantial direct effects on tribal governments, on the relationship between the Federal government and the Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal government and Indian tribes.

##### H. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks

This action is not subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997) because tolerance actions like this one are exempt from review under Executive Order 12866.

*I. Executive Order 13211: Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution or Use*

This action is not subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355) (May 22, 2001) because it is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866.

*J. National Technology Transfer Advancement Act (NTTAA)*

This action does not involve technical standards that would require Agency consideration under NTTAA section 12(d), 15 U.S.C. 272.

*K. Congressional Review Act (CRA)*

This action is subject to the CRA, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, and EPA will submit a rule report to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. This action is not a “major rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

**List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180**

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: August 6, 2025.

**Charles Smith,**

*Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, EPA is amending 40 CFR chapter I as follows:

**PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICAL RESIDUES IN FOOD**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 180 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 21 U.S.C. 321(q), 346a and 371.

■ 2. Amend § 180.637 by:

■ a. In the table in paragraph (a):

■ i. Adding the table heading, “Table 1 to Paragraph (a)”;

■ ii. Adding in alphabetical order an entry for “Papaya”.

The additions read as follows:

**§ 180.637 Mandipropamid; tolerances for residues.**

(a) \* \* \*

TABLE 1 TO PARAGRAPH (a)

Commodity					Parts per million
*	*	*	*	*	
Papaya <sup>2</sup> .....					0.9
*	*	*	*	*	

<sup>1</sup> There are no U.S. registrations allowing use of mandipropamid on cacao as of October 28, 2019.

<sup>2</sup> There is no U.S. registration for use of this pesticide on papaya as of August 15, 2025.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2025–15555 Filed 8–14–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration**

**50 CFR Part 223**

**[Docket No. 250709–0123]**

**RIN 0648–BJ52**

**Endangered and Threatened Species; Designation of Critical Habitat for Five Species of Threatened Indo-Pacific Corals; Correction**

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** NMFS is correcting a final rule that appeared in the **Federal Register** of July 15, 2025. The document

designated critical habitat for five species of Indo-Pacific corals that are listed as threatened under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). As part of the final rule, NMFS added a section describing the critical habitat areas being designated and amended a table to cross-reference the newly added section for each of the five species. In amending the table, NMFS inadvertently used the incorrect section number as the cross-reference. This document corrects those errors.

**DATES:** The rule is effective August 14, 2025.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Lance Smith, NMFS, Pacific Islands Regional Office, 808–725–5131, [Lance.Smith@noaa.gov](mailto:Lance.Smith@noaa.gov); John Rippe, NMFS, Office of Protected Resources, 301–427–8467, [John.Rippe@noaa.gov](mailto:John.Rippe@noaa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In FR Doc. 2025–13238 appearing on page 31800 in the **Federal Register** of Tuesday, July 15, 2025, the following corrections are made:

**§ 223.102 [Corrected]**

■ 1. On page 31823, in § 223.102(e), in the table, in the fifth column under the heading “Critical habitat,” in each of the entries for “*Acropora globiceps*,” “*Acropora retusa*,” “*Acropora speciosa*,” “*Fimbriaphyllia paradviva*,” and “*Isopora crateriformis*,” the cross-reference to “226.230” is corrected to read “226.232.”

**Authority:** 16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*

Dated: August 11, 2025.

**Samuel D. Rauch III,**

*Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, National Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 2025–15609 Filed 8–14–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–22–P