

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CboeBYX-2018-012 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeBYX-2018-012. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeBYX-2018-012, and should be submitted on or before August 24, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²⁹

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-16596 Filed 8-2-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-83739; File No. SR-CboeEDGA-2018-013]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe EDGA Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Exchange Rule 11.6, Definitions, To Amend the Operation of the Super Aggressive Order Instruction

July 30, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on July 16, 2018, Cboe EDGA Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "EDGA") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as a "non-controversial" proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6) thereunder,⁴ which renders it effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposed rule change to amend paragraph (n)(2) of Exchange Rule 11.6 related to Super Aggressive order instructions.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange's website at www.markets.cboe.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the description of the Super Aggressive instruction under paragraph (n)(2) of Exchange Rule 11.6, Routing/Posting Instructions to: (i) Specify that an incoming order with a Post Only instruction that would lock a resting order with a Super Aggressive instruction must include a Displayed instruction for the order with a Super Aggressive instruction to engage in a liquidity swap and execute against that incoming order; and (ii) modify language from the description of the Super Aggressive instruction that states if an order that does not contain a Super Aggressive instruction maintains higher priority than one or more Super Aggressive eligible orders, the Super Aggressive eligible order(s) with lower priority would not be converted and the incoming order with a Post Only instruction would be posted or cancelled in accordance with Exchange Rule 11.6(n)(4).⁵

At the outset, the Exchange notes that based on the Exchange's current pricing schedule, because EDGA offers rebates to remove liquidity and charges fees to add liquidity, orders with a Post Only instruction remove liquidity on entry against resting interest and are not booked/displayed if there is contra-side interest. As such, the descriptions below of the changes to Rule 11.6(n)(2), including the examples of the revised operation of the Super Aggressive functionality are currently inapplicable because orders with a Post Only instruction execute against resting liquidity first, before the logic discussed below is triggered. However, consistent with its prior practice, the Exchange is proposing the changes to Rule 11.6(n)(2) related to the Super Aggressive instruction in this filing in order to retain consistent rules and functionality with its affiliated exchanges⁶ to the

⁵ The Exchange also proposes to remove the extraneous word "solely" from the second sentence of Rule 11.6(n)(2). The removal of this word does not alter the operation of the Super Aggressive order instruction.

⁶ The Exchange notes that its affiliates, Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. and Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc., also recently filed to adopt the functionality described in this filing and such functionality is applicable on such exchanges because orders equivalent to orders with a Post Only instruction can be entered on such exchanges and do not always remove against contra-side interest on entry pursuant to such exchanges' fee schedules. See SR-CboeBZX-2018-051 and SR-CboeEDGX-2018-025, each filed July 11, 2018.

²⁹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12) and (59).

extent the Exchange decides to propose changes to its fee structure in the future such that “Post Only” functionality is more relevant to the operation of the Exchange.

Super Aggressive is an optional order instruction that directs the System⁷ to route an order when an away Trading Center locks or crosses the limit price of the order resting on the EDGA Book.⁸ If an order with a Super Aggressive instruction were to be locked by an incoming order with a Post Only instruction that does not remove liquidity pursuant to Rule 11.6(n)(4),⁹ the order with a Super Aggressive instruction would be converted to an executable order and would remove liquidity against such incoming order.

First, the Exchange proposes to modify the Super Aggressive instruction to require that the incoming order with a Post Only instruction that would lock a resting order with a Super Aggressive instruction must include a Displayed instruction for an execution to occur. The Super Aggressive instruction is generally utilized for best execution purposes because it enables the order to immediately attempt to access displayed liquidity on another Trading Center that is either priced equal to or better than the order with a Super Aggressive instruction’s limit price. The Super Aggressive instruction would also enable the order to execute against an equally priced incoming order with a Post Only instruction that would otherwise not execute by being willing to act as the liquidity remover in such a scenario.¹⁰ Under EDGA Rules, the incoming order with a Post Only instruction could include either a Displayed or Non-Displayed instruction for it to engage in a liquidity swap with an order with a Super Aggressive instruction resting on the EDGA Book.

⁷ The term “System” is defined as “the electronic communications and trading facility designated by the Board through which securities orders of Users are consolidated for ranking, execution and, when applicable, routing away.” See Exchange Rule 1.5(cc).

⁸ See Exchange Rule 1.5(d).

⁹ The Exchange will execute an order with a Post Only instruction priced at or above \$1.00 in certain circumstances where the value of such execution when removing liquidity equals or exceeds the value of such execution if the order instead posted to the EDGA Book and subsequently provided liquidity, including the applicable fees charged or rebates provided. See Exchange Rule 11.6(n)(4). As noted above, due to the current EDGA pricing schedule, which offers rebates to remove liquidity, orders with a Post Only instruction are not booked/displayed if there is contra-side interest and instead remove liquidity against resting interest. Accordingly, an order with a Super Aggressive instruction will not be converted under the current fee schedule.

¹⁰ But see *supra* note 9.

Consistent with the Super Aggressive instruction to access liquidity displayed on other Trading Centers, the Exchange proposes to amend the Super Aggressive instruction such that an order with such instruction would execute against an equally priced incoming order with a Post Only instruction only when such order would be displayed on the EDGA Book. The order with a Super Aggressive instruction would act as a liquidity remover in such a scenario. Should an equally priced incoming order with a Post Only instruction not include a Displayed instruction, the resting order with a Super Aggressive instruction would remain on the EDGA Book and await an execution where it may act as a liquidity provider. An incoming order with a Post Only instruction and a Non-Displayed instruction would be posted to the EDGA Book at its limit price, creating an internally locked non-displayed book. As is the case today, an execution would continue to occur where an incoming order with a Post Only instruction is priced more aggressively than the order with a Super Aggressive instruction resting on the EDGA Book, regardless of whether the incoming order included a Displayed or Non-Displayed instruction.¹¹

The Exchange notes that Users seeking to act as a liquidity remover once resting on the EDGA Book in all cases (*i.e.*, seeking to execute against incoming Post Only orders regardless of the display instruction) would be able to attach the Non-Displayed Swap (“NDS”) instruction to their order.¹² The NDS instruction is similar to the Super Aggressive instruction, in that it also would be an optional order instruction that a User may include on an order that directs the Exchange to have such order, when resting on the EDGA Book, execute against an incoming order with a Post Only instruction rather than have it be locked by the incoming order. Under EDGA Rules, because orders with either instruction (*i.e.*, Super Aggressive and NDS) would execute against incoming orders with a Post Only instruction regardless of whether the order is to be displayed, the instructions are currently identical with two exceptions. First, an order with a Super Aggressive instruction would not convert into a liquidity removing order and execute against an order with a Post Only instruction if there is an order on the order book with priority over such order that does not also contain a Super Aggressive instruction. As further described below, the Exchange is

proposing to modify this feature of the Super Aggressive instruction. The second current distinction between the two instructions, which would remain, is that an order with a Super Aggressive instruction can be displayed on the Exchange whereas an order with the NDS instruction must be non-displayed. As amended, the additional distinction between the two instructions would be whether an order would become a liquidity removing order against any order with a Post Only instruction that would lock it (*i.e.*, NDS) or only when the order with a Post Only instruction that would lock it also contains a Displayed instruction (*i.e.*, Super Aggressive).

The below examples illustrate the proposed behavior should the Exchange propose to change its fee schedule such that “Post Only” functionality is more relevant to the operation of the Exchange.¹³ Assume the National Best Bid and Offer (“NBBO”) is \$10.00 by \$10.10. An order to buy is displayed on the EDGA Book at \$10.00 with a Super Aggressive instruction. There are no other orders resting on the EDGA Book. An order to sell at \$10.00 with a Post Only and Displayed instruction is entered. The incoming order to sell would execute against the resting order to buy at \$10.00, the locking price, because the incoming order included a Displayed instruction. The order to buy would act as the liquidity remover and the order to sell would act as the liquidity adder. However, no execution would occur if the incoming order to sell included a Non-Displayed instruction. Instead, the incoming order to sell would be posted non-displayed to the EDGA Book at \$10.00, its limit price, causing the EDGA Book to be internally locked.

Second, the Exchange proposes to enable an incoming order with a Post Only instruction and Displayed instruction to execute against an equally priced non-displayed order with a Super Aggressive instruction where a non-displayed order without a Super Aggressive instruction maintains time priority over the Super Aggressive eligible order at that price. In such case, the non-displayed, non-Super Aggressive order would seek to remain a liquidity provider and would cede time priority to the order with a Super Aggressive instruction, which is willing to act as a liquidity remover to facilitate the execution. The Exchange proposes to effect this change by modifying language in the description of the Super Aggressive instruction to state that if an order *displayed on the EDGA Book* does

¹¹ See *id.*

¹² See Exchange Rule 11.6(n)(7).

¹³ See *supra* note 9.

not contain a Super Aggressive instruction and maintains higher priority than one or more Super Aggressive eligible orders, the Super Aggressive eligible order(s) with lower priority will not be converted and the incoming order with a Post Only instruction will be posted or cancelled in accordance with Exchange Rule 11.6(n)(4). Thus, an order with a Super Aggressive instruction, whether displayed on the Exchange or non-displayed, would never execute ahead of a displayed order that maintains time priority.

Should the Exchange determine to change its fee schedule, the operation of the Super Aggressive instruction with respect to incoming contra-side orders received by the Exchange, would be designed to facilitate executions that would otherwise not occur due to the Post Only instruction requirement to not remove liquidity. Users entering orders with the Super Aggressive instruction tend to be fee agnostic because an order with a Super Aggressive instruction is willing to route to an away Trading Center displaying an equally or better priced order (*i.e.*, pay a fee at such Trading Center). Meanwhile, an order without the Super Aggressive instruction elects to remain on the EDGA Book as the liquidity provider until it may execute against an incoming order that would act as the liquidity remover. Therefore, if the fee schedule is changed in the future, the proposed change to enable the Super Aggressive order to execute against an incoming order, regardless of whether a non-displayed order without a Super Aggressive instruction maintains priority, would be consistent with the User's intent for both orders—one chooses to remain the liquidity provider and forgo the execution while the other is willing to execute irrespective of whether it is the liquidity provider or remover. The Exchange notes that similar behavior occurs for orders utilizing the NDS instruction,¹⁴ which also would seek to engage in a liquidity swap against incoming orders with a Post Only instruction. The Exchange, however, has proposed to retain the existing limitation with respect to orders displayed on the EDGA Book.

The following example illustrates the operation of an order with a Super Aggressive instruction under the proposed rule change should the Exchange propose to change its fee schedule such that "Post Only"

functionality is more relevant to the operation of the Exchange.¹⁵ Assume the NBBO is \$10.00 by \$10.04. There is a non-displayed Limit Order to buy resting on the EDGA Book at \$10.03 ("Order A"). A second non-displayed Limit Order to buy at \$10.03 is then entered with a Super Aggressive instruction and has time priority behind the first Limit Order ("Order B"). An order to sell with a Post Only instruction priced at \$10.03 is entered. Under current behavior, the incoming sell order with a Post Only instruction would not execute against Order A and would post to the EDGA Book¹⁶ because the value of such execution against the resting buy order when removing liquidity does not equal or exceed the value of such execution if the order instead posted to the EDGA Book and subsequently provided liquidity, including the applicable fees charged or rebates provided. Further, the incoming sell order with a Post Only instruction could not execute against Order B because Order A is on the EDGA Book and maintains time priority over Order B. Under the proposed change, the incoming sell order, if it contained a Displayed instruction, would execute against Order B and Order B would become the remover of liquidity while the incoming sell order with a Post Only instruction would become the liquidity provider. In such case, Order A cedes priority to Order B because Order A did not also include a Super Aggressive instruction¹⁷ and thus the User that submitted the order did not indicate the preference to be treated as the remover of liquidity in favor of an execution; instead, by not using Super Aggressive, a User indicates the preference to remain posted on the EDGA Book as a liquidity provider. However, if the incoming sell order was priced at \$10.02, it would receive sufficient price improvement to execute upon entry against all resting buy Limit Orders in time priority at \$10.03.¹⁸ Also, if Order A was displayed on the EDGA Book, no execution would occur, as the proposed

change would only apply to non-displayed liquidity.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act¹⁹ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act²⁰ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The proposed changes to the Super Aggressive order instruction are designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Super Aggressive instruction is an optional feature that is intended to reflect the order management practices of various market participants. The proposal to limit the execution of an order with a Super Aggressive instruction to execute against incoming orders with a Post Only instruction that also contain a Displayed instruction promotes just and equitable principles of trade because it would enable Users to elect an order instruction consistent with their intent to execute only against displayed orders, in part, for best execution purposes. The amended Super Aggressive instruction would ensure executions at the best available price displayed on another Trading Center or against an incoming order that would have been displayed on the EDGA Book. Users seeking to act as a liquidity remover once resting on the EDGA Book and execute against an incoming order with a Post Only and Non-Displayed instruction may attach the NDS instruction to their order.²¹

Should the Exchange determine to change its fee schedule such that "Post Only" functionality is more relevant to the operation of the Exchange, the proposed change to the Super Aggressive instruction would also remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system because it would be designed to facilitate

¹⁵ See *supra* note 9.

¹⁶ Such order would be posted to the EDGA Book in accordance with the Exchange's re-pricing instructions to comply with Rule 610(d) of Regulation NMS. See Exchange Rule 11.6(l)(1). See also 242 CFR 242.610(d).

¹⁷ This behavior is consistent with the operation of the Exchange's NDS instruction. See *supra* note 14.

¹⁸ The execution occurs here because the value of the execution against the buy order when removing liquidity exceeds the value of such execution if the order instead posted to the EDGA Book and subsequently provided liquidity, including the applicable fees charged or rebates provided. See *supra* note 9.

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

²⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

²¹ See Exchange Rule 11.6(n)(7).

¹⁴ See Exchange Rule 11.6(n)(7). See also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83521 (June 26, 2018) (SR-CboeEDGA-2018-011) (including an example where an order cedes execution priority to an order with an NDS instruction).

executions that would otherwise not occur due to the Post Only instruction requirement to not remove liquidity under such amended fee schedule.²² The proposal enables non-displayed Super Aggressive orders to execute against an incoming order, regardless of whether another non-displayed order without a Super Aggressive instruction maintains priority consistent with the User's intent for both orders—one chooses to remain the liquidity provider and forgo the execution while the other is willing to execute irrespective of whether it is the liquidity provider or remover. The non-Super Aggressive order would seek to remain a liquidity provider and would cede its time priority to the order with a Super Aggressive instruction, which would be willing to act as a liquidity remover to facilitate the execution. It also would enable an order without the Super Aggressive instruction to remain on the EDGA Book as a liquidity provider, consistent with the expected operation of their resting order. The Exchange notes that similar behavior occurs for orders utilizing the NDS²³ instruction, which also seeks to engage in a liquidity swap against incoming orders with a Post Only instruction. Finally, by limiting the proposed change to non-displayed orders, the proposal would remain consistent with NDS and also would retain existing functionality with respect to the handling of displayed orders.

For the reasons set forth above, the Exchange believes the proposal removes impediments to and perfects the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, protects investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange notes that there will be no burden on competition based on the Exchange's current fee schedule, because as described above, Post Only Orders remove against resting contra-side interest on entry, and thus, the revised functionality is inapplicable.²⁴ Further, in the event the Exchange modifies its fee schedule, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as amended. On the contrary, the proposed changes to the Super Aggressive order instruction are intended to improve the usefulness of

the instruction and to align its operation with the intention of the User, resulting in enhanced competition through increased usage and execution quality on the Exchange. Thus, to the extent the change is intended to improve functionality on the Exchange to encourage Users to direct their orders to the Exchange, the change is competitive, but the Exchange does not believe the proposed change will result in any burden on intermarket competition as it is a minor change to available functionality. The proposed changes to the Super Aggressive order instruction also promote intramarket competition because they will facilitate the execution of orders that would otherwise remain unexecuted consistent with the intent of the User entering the order, thereby increasing the efficient functioning of the Exchange. Further, the Super Aggressive order instruction will remain available to all Users in the same way it is today. Thus, Users can continue to choose between various optional order instructions, including Super Aggressive, NDS, and others, depending on the order handling they prefer the Exchange to utilize. Therefore, the Exchange does not believe the proposed rule change will result in any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No comments were solicited or received on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (i) Significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate, it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(iii) of the Act²⁵ and subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.²⁶

A proposed rule change filed under Rule 19b-4(f)(6) normally does not

become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing. However, Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii)²⁷ permits the Commission to designate a shorter time if such action is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. In its filing, EDGA requested that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay so that the Exchange can implement the proposed rule change promptly after filing. The proposed changes to the Super Aggressive instruction would not impact trading under the current pricing schedule, but the Exchange noted that it intends to update its systems to implement the proposed changes on a similar schedule to its affiliates.²⁸ EDGA indicated its desire to maintain rules and functionality similar to its affiliated exchanges and noted that the proposed rule changes would be relevant if the Exchange decides to alter its pricing.

Should EDGA determine to change its fee schedule such that the Post Only functionality is more relevant to the operation of the Exchange, EDGA stated that the proposal to allow an order with a Super Aggressive instruction to execute against an incoming Post Only order only if the Post Only order is displayable would be consistent with the use of the Super Aggressive instruction to access liquidity displayed on other Trading Centers. Further, according to the Exchange, users seeking to execute against incoming non-displayable Post Only orders would continue to be able to attach the NDS order instruction, as well as other order instructions that may permit such executions. In addition, the Exchange stated that the proposed priority change where non-displayed orders without a Super Aggressive instruction would cede priority to non-displayed orders with a Super Aggressive instruction is similar to, and consistent with, the Exchange's priority ceding functionality for orders with an NDS instruction and would facilitate executions that would otherwise not occur due to an incoming Post Only order's requirement not to remove liquidity.

The Commission believes that waiver of the 30-day operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest, as such waiver will permit the Exchange to promptly update its rules and systems to maintain consistency with its affiliate exchanges. The Commission also notes that the proposed rule change relates to optional functionality that is consistent with existing functionality and, if selected by Exchange users, may enable

²² See *supra* note 9.

²³ See *supra* note 14.

²⁴ See *supra* note 9.

²⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(iii).

²⁶ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6). In addition, Rule 19b-4(f)(6) requires a self-regulatory organization to give the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission. The Exchange has satisfied this requirement.

²⁷ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

²⁸ See note 6 *supra*.

them to better manage their orders and may increase order interaction on the Exchange in the event the Exchange changes its fee schedule such that the Post Only functionality is more relevant to the operation of the Exchange. Accordingly, the Commission hereby waives the 30-day operative delay and designates the proposed rule change operative upon filing.²⁹

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (i) Necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (ii) for the protection of investors; or (iii) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CboeEDGA-2018-013 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeEDGA-2018-013. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the

Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeEDGA-2018-013, and should be submitted on or before August 24, 2018.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.³⁰

Robert W. Errett,
Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2018-16597 Filed 8-2-18; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-83740; File No. SR-NYSE-2018-33]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; New York Stock Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change To Amend Rule 2 To Remove Requirement That a Registered Broker-Dealer Be a Member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. or Another National Securities Exchange

July 30, 2018.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) ¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") ² and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, ³ notice is hereby given that, on July 25, 2018, New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 2 to remove a requirement that a registered broker-dealer be a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. or another national securities exchange. The proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website at www.nyse.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of those statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend the definition of "member organization" under Rule 2 ("Member," "Membership," "Membership [sic] Firm," etc.) to remove a requirement that a registered broker-dealer seeking to be a member organization be a member of FINRA or another national securities exchange. In 2007, the Exchange amended Rule 2 to require FINRA membership as part of the consolidation of member firm regulatory functions of then NASD and NYSE Regulation, Inc. ("NYSE Regulation") that resulted in a combined self-regulatory organization ("SRO") that is now known as FINRA.⁴ As part of the consolidation, NYSE Regulation and NASD sought to harmonize certain of their member firm rules. At that time, it was anticipated that the rule harmonization would not be completed by the time NASD and NYSE Regulation completed their combination. Therefore, the combination contemplated a transition period during which FINRA would apply to NYSE member organizations

²⁹ For purposes only of waiving the 30-day operative delay, the Commission has also considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

³⁰ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12) and (59).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 15 U.S.C. 78a.

³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 56654 (October 12, 2007), 72 FR 59129 (October 18, 2007) (SR-NYSE-2007-67).