

lease and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above.

Margie Dupre,

Land Law Examiner, Fluids Adjudication Team.

[FR Doc. 2011–25255 Filed 9–29–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–FB–P

lease and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above.

Margie Dupre,

Land Law Examiner, Fluids Adjudication Team.

[FR Doc. 2011–25256 Filed 9–29–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–FB–P

of the lease and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above.

Margie Dupre,

Land Law Examiner, Fluids Adjudication Team.

[FR Doc. 2011–25253 Filed 9–29–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–FB–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLNM920000 L13100000 F10000; NMNM–113397, NMNM–113398]

Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Leases NMNM 113397 and NMNM 113398

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Under the Class II provisions of Title IV, Public Law 97–451, the Bureau of Land Management received a petition for reinstatement of oil and gas leases NMNM 113397 and NMNM 113398 from the lessees Three Rivers Acquisition, LLC, and Cimarex Energy Co., for lands in Lea County, New Mexico. The petition was filed on time and was accompanied by all the rentals due since the date the leases terminated under the law.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Margie Dupre, Bureau of Land Management, New Mexico State Office, P.O. Box 27115, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502–0115 or at (505) 954–2142. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the above individual during business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: No valid lease has been issued that affects the lands. The lessees agree to new lease terms for rentals and royalties of \$10 per acre or fraction thereof, per year, and 16–²/₃ percent, respectively. The lessees paid the required \$500 administrative fee for the reinstatement of the leases and \$166 cost for publishing this Notice in the **Federal Register**. The lessees met all the requirements for reinstatement of the leases as set out in Section 31(d) and (e) of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188). We are proposing to reinstate leases NMNM 113397 and NMNM 113398, effective the date of termination, March 1, 2011, under the original terms and conditions of the

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLNM920000 L13100000 F10000; NMNM 113399]

Notice of Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease NMNM 113399

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Under the Class II provisions of Title IV, Public Law 97–451, the Bureau of Land Management received a petition for reinstatement of oil and gas lease NMNM 113399 from the lessees Three Rivers Acquisition LLC, ABO Petro Corp, MYCO Industries, Inc., OXY Y–1 Co., and Yates Petro Corp., for lands in Eddy County, New Mexico. The petition was filed on time and was accompanied by all the rentals due since the date the lease terminated under the law.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Margie Dupre, Bureau of Land Management, New Mexico State Office, P.O. Box 27115, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502–0115 or at (505) 954–2142. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the above individual during business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: No valid lease has been issued that affects the lands. The lessees agree to new lease terms for rentals and royalties of \$10 per acre or a fraction thereof, per year, and 16–²/₃ percent, respectively. The lessees paid the required \$500 administrative fee for the reinstatement of the lease and \$166 cost for publishing this Notice in the **Federal Register**. The lessees met all the requirements for reinstatement of the lease as set out in Section 31(d) and (e) of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 88). We are proposing to reinstate lease NMNM 113399, effective the date of termination, March 1, 2011, under the original terms and conditions

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[LLAK–963000–L1410000–FQ0000; AA–5964]

Public Land Order No. 7780; Extension and Correction of Public Land Order No. 6892; Alaska

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Public Land Order.

SUMMARY: This order extends the duration of the withdrawal created by Public Land Order No. 6892 for an additional 20-year period. The extension is necessary to continue to protect the recreational values of the United States Forest Service's Sixmile Creek Recreation Area. This order also corrects the acreage discrepancy in the original order.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 18, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert L. Lloyd, Bureau of Land Management, Alaska State Office, 222 West Seventh Avenue, #13, Anchorage, Alaska 99513; 907–271–4682. Persons who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1–800–877–8339 to contact the above individual during normal business hours. The FIRS is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, to leave a message or question with the above individual. You will receive a reply during normal business hours.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose for which the withdrawal was first made requires this extension to continue to protect the recreational values of the Sixmile Creek Recreation Area. The withdrawal extended by this order will expire on October 17, 2031, unless as a result of a review conducted prior to the expiration date pursuant to Section 204(f) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714(f), the Secretary of the Interior determines that the withdrawal shall be further extended. It has been determined that this action is not expected to have any significant effect on subsistence uses and needs pursuant to Section 810 of the Alaska National

Interest Lands Conservation Act, 16 U.S.C. 3120.

Order

By virtue of the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior by Section 204 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 43 U.S.C. 1714, it is ordered as follows:

1. Public Land Order No. 6892 (56 FR 52210 (1991)), which withdrew approximately 834 acres of National Forest System lands from settlement, sale, location, or entry under the general land laws, including the United States mining laws (30 U.S.C. ch 2), but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws, to protect the recreational values of the Sixmile Creek Recreation Area, is hereby extended for an additional 20-year period until October 17, 2031.

Correction

2. Public Land Order No. 6892, published in the **Federal Register** on October 18, 1991, in FR Doc. 291–25194, on page 52210, second column, second line of the “Summary” paragraph “approximately 473 acres” should read “approximately 834 acres”, and in the third column, end of paragraph 1(b), reads: “The areas described aggregate approximately 473 acres.” should read “The areas described aggregate approximately 834 acres.”

Authority: 43 CFR 2310.4.

Dated: September 6, 2011.

Rhea S. Suh,

Assistant Secretary—Policy, Management and Budget.

[FR Doc. 2011–25254 Filed 9–29–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–726]

Certain Electronic Imaging Devices; Notice of Commission Determination To Review-in-Part a Final Determination of No Violation of Section 337; Schedule for Filing Written Submissions on the Issues Under Review and on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined to review certain portions of the final initial determination (“ID”) issued by the presiding administrative law judge

(“ALJ”) on December 16, 2010 finding no violation of section 337 in the above-captioned investigation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jia Chen, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–4737. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on July 8, 2010, based on a complaint filed by Flashpoint Technology, Inc. (“Flashpoint”) of Peterborough, New Hampshire, 75 FR 39971 (Jul. 8, 2010). The complaint alleges violations of Section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain electronic imaging devices by reason of infringement of claims 1, 11, and 21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,134,606 (“the ‘606 patent”), claims 1–7, 11–13, 16–23, 26, 30–32, 40, and 41 of U.S. Patent No. 6,262,769 (“the ‘769 patent”), and claims 1–14 and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,163,816 (“the ‘816 patent”). On April 7, 2011, the ALJ issued Order No. 36 terminating the investigation as to all claims of the ‘606 patent. The proposed respondents are Nokia Corporation of Espoo, Finland and Nokia, Inc. of Irving, Texas (collectively, “Nokia”); Research In Motion of Waterloo, Ontario, Canada and Research In Motion Corp. of Irving, Texas (collectively, “RIM”); LG Electronics, Inc. of South Korea, LG Electronic U.S.A., Inc. of Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, and LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A. of San Diego, CA (collectively, “LG”); and HTC Corporation of Taiwan and HTC America, Inc. of Bellevue, Washington (collectively, “HTC”). Nokia, RIM, and LG were terminated from the investigation on the basis of settlement agreements.

On March 8, 2011, the Commission determined not to review the ALJ’s Order No. 18 granting Flashpoint’s motion for summary determination that it has satisfied the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. On July 28, 2011, the ALJ issued the subject ID finding no violation of Section 337 by HTC. Specifically, the ALJ found that the accused HTC Android smartphones and the accused HTC Windows Phone 7 (“WP7”) smartphones do not infringe the asserted claims of the ‘769 patent or the asserted claims of the ‘816 patent. The ALJ also found that HTC has not established that the asserted claims of the ‘769 patent are invalid for obviousness in view of the prior art and that Flashpoint has not established that the asserted claims of the ‘769 patent are entitled to an earlier date of invention than that of the patent’s filing date. The ALJ further found that HTC has not established that the asserted claims of the ‘816 patent are anticipated by the prior art, but that HTC has established that the asserted claims of the ‘816 patent are invalid under the on-sale bar of 35 U.S.C. 102(b). On July 10, 2011, Flashpoint, HTC and the Commission investigative attorney each filed a petition for review.

Having examined the record of this investigation, including the ALJ’s final ID and the submissions of the parties, the Commission has determined to review (1) infringement of the asserted claims of the ‘769 patent by the accused HTC Android smartphones, (2) infringement of the asserted claims of the ‘769 patent by the accused HTC WP7 smartphones, (3) the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement for the ‘769 patent with respect to the licensed Motorola smartphones, (4) the technical prong of the domestic industry requirement for the ‘769 patent with respect to the licensed Apple smartphones, and (5) the enforceability of the asserted patents under the doctrines of implied license and exhaustion. The Commission has also determined to review and to take no position on (a) anticipation of the asserted claims of the ‘816 patent under 35 U.S.C. 102 in view of the prior art references and (b) obviousness of the asserted claims of the ‘816 patent under 35 U.S.C. 103 in view of the prior art references. Finally, the Commission has determined to deny complainant’s request for oral argument.

The parties should brief their positions on the issues on review with reference to the applicable law and the evidentiary record. In connection with its review, the Commission is particularly interested in responses to the following questions: