

## Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we verified the information submitted by the mandatory respondents for use in our final determination. We used standard verification procedures including examination of relevant accounting and production records, and original source documents provided by the respondents. For changes from the *Preliminary Determination* as a result of verification, see the respective analysis memoranda.

## Continuation of Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 735(c)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act, we are directing the Customs Service to continue to suspend liquidation of entries of subject merchandise from the PRC (except certain merchandise exported by Baosteel and Weifang) that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after December 31, 2001. We will instruct the Customs Service to require a cash deposit or the posting of a bond equal to the weighted-average amount by which the normal value exceeds the U.S. price, as indicated in the chart below. These suspension-of-liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

Under the Department's NME methodology, the rate for each mandatory exporter is based on a comparison of the exporter's U.S. price and NV based on the factors of production of a specific producer (which may be a different party). Therefore, the exclusion of the above mentioned companies from an antidumping duty order (should one be issued) applies only to subject merchandise exported by Baosteel and produced by its suppliers during the period of investigation and to subject merchandise produced and exported by Weifang. As Baosteel's supplier names are proprietary, they have been identified as Supplier A and Supplier B for this public document. However, the supplier names have been identified in *Analysis Memo for the Preliminary Determination of Certain Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the People's Republic of China ("PRC")*: Baosteel (May 15, 2002). Merchandise that is exported by Baosteel or Weifang, but manufactured by producers not noted below for that exporter will be subject to the order, if one is issued. See *Notice of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Brake Drums and Brake Rotors from the People's Republic of China*, 62 FR 916 (February

28, 1997). Entries of such merchandise will be subject to the "China-wide" rate.

### CERTAIN CIRCULAR WELDED CARBON-QUALITY STEEL PIPE

Producer/Manufacturer/Exporter	Weight-Averaged Margin (Percent)
Baosteel/Supplier A or Supplier B .....	0
Shuang Jie .....	3.87
Weifang .....	0
Tai Feng Qiao .....	3.87
ZhuHai .....	3.87
Pangang International .....	3.87
Jinzhou .....	3.87
Walsall .....	3.87
PRC-Wide .....	36.42

## International Trade Commission Notification

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission ("ITC") of our determination. As our final determination is affirmative, the ITC will, within 45 days, determine whether these imports are materially injuring, or threaten material injury to, the U.S. industry. If the ITC determines that material injury, or threat of material injury does not exist, the proceeding will be terminated and all securities posted will be refunded or canceled. If the ITC determines that such injury does exist, the Department will issue an antidumping duty order directing Customs officials to assess antidumping duties on all imports of subject merchandise entered for consumption on or after the effective date of the suspension of liquidation.

This determination is issued and published in accordance with sections 735(d) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: May 15, 2002

**Faryar Shirzad,**

*Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

## Appendix

*Comment 1:* Market Economy Purchases from Country X and Country Y

*Comment 2:* Valuing a Respondent's Factors of Production using the other Respondent's Market Economy Purchases

*Comment 3:* Surrogate Value for Hot-Rolled Coil

*Comment 4:* Calculation of Zinc Usage Ratio

*Comment 5:* Surrogate Companies used for the Financial Ratios Calculation

*Comment 6:* Iran's Market Status in the Surrogate Value Calculation

*Comment 7:* Treatment of Foreign Inland Freight and Brokerage and Handling in Normal Value Calculation [FR Doc. 02-13147 Filed 5-23-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-836]

### Glycine from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Antidumping New Shipper Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a timely request from Tianjin Tiancheng Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (Tiancheng) to conduct a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China (PRC). In accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.214(d) of the Department's regulations, we are initiating this new shipper review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 24, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Matthew Renkey, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement VII, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2312.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2002).

#### Background

On March 29, 2002, the Department received a timely request from Tiancheng, in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(c), for a new shipper review of this antidumping duty order on glycine from the People's Republic of China ("PRC"), which has a March anniversary date. On April 29, 2002, the Department returned the submission because it did not meet the filing requirements of section 351.304(c) of the Department's regulations. See the Memorandum to the File entitled "Initiation of New Shipper Review of Glycine from the People's

Republic of China," (May 17, 2002), which is on file in the Central Records Unit of the Department of Commerce. We requested that Tiancheng refile its request within two days in accordance with section 351.304(c) of the regulations. On May 1, 2002, Tiancheng properly filed its request for a new shipper review.

As required by 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(i), (ii), and (iii)(A), Tiancheng has certified that it is both an exporter and producer of glycine. It has also certified that it did not export glycine to the United States during the period of investigation ("POI"), and that it has never been affiliated with any exporter or producer which exported glycine to the United States during the POI. See "Glycine from the People's Republic of China; Request for New Shipper Administrative Review," Exhibit 1, (March 29, 2002). Tiancheng has further certified that its export activities are not controlled by the central government of the PRC, pursuant to the requirements of 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iii)(B). See *Id.* Pursuant to the Department's regulations at 19 CFR 351.214(b)(2)(iv)(A), Tiancheng submitted documentation establishing the date of its first and only shipment of the subject merchandise to the United States, the date of entry of that first shipment, the volume of that shipment, and the date of the first sale to an unaffiliated customer in the United States. See *Id.* at Exhibit 2.

#### Initiation of Review

Because Tiancheng has provided the required certifications and documentation under section 351.303(g) of the regulations, we are initiating a new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on glycine from the PRC in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B)(ii) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(d).

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(g)(1)(i)(A) of the Department's regulations, the period of review (POR) for a new shipper review, filed in the the annual anniversary month, will be the one-year period immediately preceding the anniversary month. Therefore, the POR for this new shipper review is:

Antidumping duty proceeding	Period to be reviewed
Glycine from the PRC: ... Tianjin Tiancheng Pharmaceutical Tiancheng Co., Ltd .....	03/01/01–02/28/02

We will instruct the Customs Service to allow, at the option of the importer, the posting, until the completion of the review, of a bond or security in lieu of

a cash deposit for each entry of the merchandise exported by Tiancheng. This action is in accordance with 19 CFR 351.214(e).

The interested parties that need access to proprietary information in this new shipper review should submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 and 351.306.

This initiation and notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.214(d).

Dated: May 17, 2002

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.*

[FR Doc. 02–13149 Filed 5–23–02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–856]

#### Synthetic Indigo from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On March 7, 2002, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on synthetic indigo from the People's Republic of China with respect to China Jiangsu International Economic Technical Cooperation Corp., and Wonderful Chemical Industrial Ltd./Jiangsu Taifeng Chemical Industry. The period of review is September 15, 1999, through May 31, 2001. No interested party submitted comments on and we have made no changes to our preliminary results. Therefore, the final results do not differ from the preliminary results. The final margin is listed below in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** May 24, 2002.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David J. Goldberger, Office 2, AD/CVD Enforcement Group I, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4136.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

### The Applicable Statute:

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the "Department's") regulations are to 19 CFR Part 351 (2001).

### Background

This review covers the exporters China Jiangsu International Economic Technical Cooperation Corp. (CJIETCC) and Wonderful Chemical Industrial Ltd./Jiangsu Taifeng Chemical Industry (Wonderful/Jiangsu Taifeng).

On March 7, 2002, the Department of Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on synthetic indigo from the People's Republic of China (PRC) (67 FR 10386) (*Preliminary Results*).

We invited parties to comment on the preliminary results of the review. No interested party submitted comments. The Department has conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

### Scope of Order

The products subject to this order are the deep blue synthetic vat dye known as synthetic indigo and those of its derivatives designated commercially as "Vat Blue 1." Included are Vat Blue 1 (synthetic indigo), Color Index No. 73000, and its derivatives, pre-reduced indigo or indigo white (Color Index No. 73001) and solubilized indigo (Color Index No. 73002). The subject merchandise may be sold in any form (e.g., powder, granular, paste, liquid, or solution) and in any strength. Synthetic indigo and its derivatives subject to this order are currently classifiable under subheadings 3204.15.10.00, 3204.15.40.00 or 3204.15.80.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under the order is dispositive.

### Period of Review

The period of review covers the period September 15, 1999, through May 31, 2001.