Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta and upstream from the Central San Francisco Bay. The Suisun Marsh falls into the Suisun Marshlands and Bay Ecological Management Unit of the Bay-Delta Program's Suisun Marsh and North San Francisco Bay Ecological Management Zone. The proposed Suisun Marsh Plan would serve as the Bay-Delta Program's regional implementation plan for the Suisun Marsh portion of the Suisun Marsh Ecological Management Zone. The Plan would address Bay-Delta Program implementation in the Suisun Marsh over the next 30 or more years with an emphasis on Bay-Delta Program Stage 1, formally defined as the first 7 years of Bay-Delta Program implementation.

The PEIS/EÏR is expected to analyze the beneficial and adverse effects of implementing a Suisun Marsh Plan on environmental resources including: water quality, fisheries, wildlife, vegetation, special-status species, land use, land use development patterns, population, housing, economics, and public services (fire protection, vector control), cultural resources, air quality, noise, recreation, energy, visual impacts, and socioeconomic condition. Analysis in the PEIS/EIR would also determine if environmental justice issues are associated with the Suisun Marsh Plan. An initial review for the presence of Indian Trust Assets in Solano, Contra Costa, and San Joaquin Counties indicates that there are no trust lands or other assets in those counties held for federally recognized tribes. This review also indicates that there are no Public Domain Allotments (lands held in trust for individual Indians) near the vicinity of the Suisun Marsh Plan. The environmental effects of certain specific projects would also be analyzed at a site-specific level of detail in the PEIS/ EIR, and would constitute the final CEQA or NEPA document for those projects. Specific projects proposed to be analyzed at the site-specific level include an amendment to the Suisun Marsh Preservation Agreement. The Plan would also present strategies to resolve permitting issues related to past and ongoing maintenance and management activities, and identify strategies to resolve other interagency conflicts related to the management of the Suisun Marsh. Specific alternatives to the proposed Suisun Marsh Plan have not been identified at this time and will be developed following scoping.

DFG is publishing a Notice of Preparation in accordance with CEQA. Persons needing reasonable accommodations in order to attend and

accommodations in order to attend and participate in the public meetings should contact Dan Buford at (916) 414– 6600 or TDD (800) 735–2922 as soon as possible. Information regarding this proposed action is available in alternative formats upon request.

It is Reclamation's practice to make comments in response to a Notice of Intent, including names and home addresses of respondents, available for public review. Individual respondents may request that we withhold their home address from public disclosure, which we will honor to the extent allowable by law. There may also be circumstances in which we would withhold a respondent's identity from public disclosure, as allowable by law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. We will make all submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public disclosure in their entirety.

Dated: October 8, 2003.

Frank Michny,

Regional Environmental Manager, Mid-Pacific Region, Bureau of Reclamation.

Dated: October 7, 2003.

Steve Thompson,

Manager, California/Nevada Operations Office, Fish and Wildlife Service. [FR Doc. 03–27922 Filed 11–7–03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–MN–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [AZ-910-0777-26-241A]

State of Arizona Resource Advisory Council Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Arizona Resource Advisory Council meeting notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the Arizona Resource Advisory Council (RAC).

The business meeting will be held on December 4, 2003, at the Islander RV Resort, 751 Beachcomer Blvd. in Lake Havasu City, Arizona. It will begin at 8 a.m. and conclude at 3 p.m. The agenda items to be covered include: Review of the September 17, 2003, meeting minutes; BLM State Director's Update on Statewide Issues; Presentations on Recreation Opportunities on the Lower Colorado River; Lake Havasu Fisheries Improvement Project, and new Wilderness Planning Guidance, Land Use Planning Updates; RAC Questions on Written Reports from BLM Field

Office Managers; Field Office Rangeland Resource Team Proposals; Reports by the Standards and Guidelines, Recreation and Tourism, Public Relations, Land Use Planning, and Wild Horse and Burro Working Groups; Reports from RAC members; and Discussion of future meetings. A public comment period will be provided at 11 a.m. on December 4, 2003, for any interested publics who wish to address the Council.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Deborah Stevens, Bureau of Land Management, Arizona State Office, 222 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85004–2203, (602) 417–9215.

Elaine Y. Zielinski,

Arizona State Director.

[FR Doc. 03–28155 Filed 11–7–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-32-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management [NV-014-2810-DU]

Notice of Availability of the Elko/Wells Resource Management Plans Proposed Fire Management Amendment, Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact and Initiation of a 30-day Public Protest Period

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability and initiation of public protest period for the Elko/Wells Resource Management Plans Proposed Fire Management Amendment.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Elko Field Office, gives notice of the availability of a Proposed Fire Management Amendment (Amendment) to the Elko/Wells Resource Management Plans (RMPs). The document, which includes an associated Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), is subject to a 30-day public protest period to the Nevada State Director by participants in the planning process. See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION for filing and content requirements of a letter of protest.

The Proposed Amendment/EA/FONSI has been prepared to address current issues and provide long-term direction for fire management on lands administered by the BLM's Elko Field Office. The Elko District is located in northeastern Nevada, and includes Elko County and portions of Eureka and Lander counties.

DATES: Protests must be filed on or before December 10, 2003.

ADDRESSES: The Proposed Amendment/ EA/FONSI may be obtained from the Elko Field Office at 3900 East Idaho Street, Elko, NV 89801. A protest letter must be addressed to the State Director, and be mailed to P.O. Box 12000, Reno, NV 89520-0006. For hand deliveries. the address of BLM's Nevada State Office is 1340 Financial Blvd., Reno, NV 89502-7147.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Planning and analysis for the Proposed Elko/ Wells RMPs Fire Management Amendment and associated EA/FONSI follow regulations at 43 CFR part 1610 for the Federal Land Management and Policy Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1610), and at 40 CFR part 1500-1508 for the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended (Pub. L. 91-90, 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.). Public scoping for preparation of the Amendment/EA was conducted in March 2000 (66 FR 20830-20831, April 25, 2001).

Amendment of the RMPs is needed due to recent above-normal wildfire seasons; concerns about critical habitat for wild horses; wildlife, and domestic livestock; implementation of the National Fire Plan; and increased interest from local publics, cooperators and interest groups. The major emphasis of the amendment is to provide a framework to:

a. Improve effectiveness of initial attack on fires that should be suppressed;

b. Increase options for vegetation management in advance of wildfires to reduce the scale, cost, and adverse

impacts of large fires;

- c. Minimize damage to other resources through coordinated planning in advance on suppression strategy and tactics based on each discipline involved: and
- d. Lessen the impact of wildfire in habitat and public land-based sectors of the local economy (recreation, hunting, grazing);

Four alternatives for the amendment are described and analyzed in the EA. They were developed based on existing national, state, and local policy, as well as best available science and the desires of various affected interests:

Limited Suppression or Fire Use— This alternative significantly reduces the suppression response and associated costs necessary for wildfires. It assumes that most fires result in acceptable impacts on the landscape.

Full Suppression—This alternative would treat all wildfire as an undesirable event and assumes that effectiveness of initial attack is

approximately 100 percent. Cost of this alternative would be highest of the considered alternatives.

Existing Management—This "no action" alternative has several elements of the first two, but places less emphasis on vegetation treatment, potential for fire use, or emerging issues for impacts on the landscape.

Proposed Action—BLM's "preferred" alternative includes a mix of management actions to increase preparedness for initial attack, treat fuels and use fire where appropriate to achieve resource benefits.

A draft Amendment/EA was provided to participants in the planning process for review and comment; this comment period ended November 15, 2002. The Proposed Amendment/EA/FONSI has been prepared based on input received.

Protest procedures in 43 CFR 1610.5-2 allow the public an opportunity to review BLM's proposed land use plan decision. Any participant in the planning process who has an interest that is or may be adversely affected may file a protest. The protester may raise only issues submitted for the record during the planning process. A letter of protest must be filed within 30 days of publication of this notice. The protest must be in writing and fulfill content requirements established in 43 CFR 1610.5–2(a)(2). The State Director must receive a protest letter as specified in the DATES and ADDRESSES sections of this notice. No extension of time to file a protest is allowed. Any letters from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses will be available for public inspection in their entirety upon request.

The Proposed Fire Management RMP Amendment, EA, and FONSI is available from the BLM Elko Field Office, 3900 E. Idaho St, Elko NV 89801, telephone 775-753-0200. This document is being mailed to all interested parties who have provided comments or requested they be included the mailing list for this planning effort.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Fire Management: Joe Freeland, Fire Management Officer, 775-753-0308.

Planning: Lorrie West, 775-753-0266.

David Stout,

Associate Field Manager. [FR Doc. 03-28081 Filed 11-7-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310-HC-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-1058 (Preliminary)]

Wooden Bedroom Furniture from China

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution of antidumping investigation and scheduling of a preliminary phase investigation.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the institution of an investigation and commencement of preliminary phase antidumping investigation No. 731–TA–1058 (Preliminary) under section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673b(a)) (the Act) to determine whether there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury, or the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of imports from China of wooden bedroom furniture, provided for in subheading 9403.50.90 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS),1 that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value. Unless the Department of Commerce extends the time for initiation pursuant to section 732(c)(1)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673a(c)(1)(B)), the Commission must reach a preliminary determination in antidumping investigations in 45 days, or in this case by December 15, 2003. The Commission's views are due at Commerce within five business days thereafter, or by December 22, 2003.

For further information concerning the conduct of this investigation and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 207). **EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 31, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred

H. Fischer (202-205-3179 or ffischer@usitc.gov), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000.

¹ Subject merchandise may also be provided for in HTS subheadings 7009.92.50 and 9403.90.70.