inspections have been repaired. This information is intended to ensure that HUD Program Participants maintain HUD properties in a condition that is decent, safe, and sanitary and in good repair.

Agency form numbers, if applicable: None.

Estimation of the total numbers of hours needed to prepare the information collection including number of respondents, frequency of response, and hours of response: The number of burden hours annually is 32,953. The number of respondents annually is 10,576, the number of responses annually is 10,554, the frequency of response is on occasion, and the burden hour per response is about 6.3.

Status of the proposed information collection: This is a request for extension of a currently approved collection.

Authority: The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C., Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: March 12, 2013.

#### Laura M. Marin,

Acting General Assistant Secretary for Housing—Acting General Deputy Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 2013-06109 Filed 3-15-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-67-P

### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R8-ES-2013-N063; FXES11130800000-134-FF08E00000]

# **Endangered Species Recovery Permit Applications**

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of receipt of permit applications; request for comment.

SUMMARY: We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, invite the public to comment on the following applications to conduct certain activities with endangered species. With some exceptions, the Endangered Species Act (Act) prohibits activities with endangered and threatened species unless a Federal permit allows such activity. The Act also requires that we invite public comment before issuing these permits.

**DATES:** Comments on these permit applications must be received on or before April 17, 2013.

ADDRESSES: Written data or comments should be submitted to the Endangered Species Program Manager, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Region 8, 2800 Cottage Way, Room W–2606, Sacramento, CA 95825 (telephone: 916–414–6464; fax: 916–414–6486). Please refer to the respective permit number for each application when submitting comments.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel Marquez, Fish and Wildlife Biologist; see ADDRESSES (telephone: 760–431–9440; fax: 760–431–9624).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The following applicants have applied for scientific research permits to conduct certain activities with endangered species under section 10(a)(1)(A) of the Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.). We seek review and comment from local, State, and Federal agencies and the public on the following permit requests.

## **Applicant**

Permit No. TE-94965A

Applicant: Susan E. Townsend, Oakland, California

The applicant requests a permit to take (harass by survey, capture, handle, and release) the California tiger salamander (Santa Barbara County DPS and Sonoma County DPS) (Ambystoma californiense) in conjunction with surveys and population studies throughout the range of the species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-221411

Applicant: Center For Natural Lands Management, Temecula, California

The applicant requests an amendment to take (harass by survey, capture, and release) the Pacific pocket mouse (Perognathus longimembris pacificus), take (harass by survey) the Quino checkerspot butterfly (Euphydryas editha quino), and take (survey, capture, handle, and release) the tidewater goby (Eucyclogobius newberryi) in conjunction with surveys, population monitoring, and land management activities throughout the range of each species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-94977A

Applicant: Theodore D. Robertson, Walnut Creek, California

The applicant requests a permit to take (harass by survey, capture, handle, and release) the California tiger salamander (Santa Barbara County DPS and Sonoma County DPS) (Ambystoma californiense) in conjunction with surveys and population studies throughout the range of the species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-110373

Applicant: Eric F. Kline, San Diego, California

The applicant requests a permit renewal to take (survey by pursuit) the Quino checkerspot butterfly (Euphydryas editha quino) in conjunction with surveys throughout the range of the species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-94998A

Applicant: Leonard Y. Liu, Oakland, California

The applicant requests an amendment to a permit to take (harass by survey) the California clapper rail (*Rallus longirostris obsoletus*) in conjunction with survey activities throughout the range of the species in in Marin, Sonoma, Napa, Solano, Contra Costa, Alameda, Santa Clara, San Mateo, and San Francisco Counties, California, for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-198922

Applicant: LaTisha M. Sarre, Pleasant Grove, California

The applicant requests a permit renewal to take (capture, collect, and collect vouchers) the Conservancy fairy shrimp (Branchinecta conservatio), longhorn fairy shrimp (Branchinecta longiantenna), Riverside fairy shrimp (Streptocephalus woottoni), San Diego fairy shrimp (Branchinecta sandiegonensis), and vernal pool tadpole shrimp (Lepidurus packardi) in conjunction with surveys throughout the range of each species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-190302

Applicant: Mitch C. Siemens, Arroyo Grande, California

The applicant requests a permit renewal to take (harass by survey, capture, handle, and release) the California tiger salamander (Santa Barbara County DPS) (Ambystoma californiense) in conjunction with surveys and population studies throughout the range of the species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-008031

Applicant: David W. Flietner, San Diego, California

The applicant requests a permit renewal to take (survey by pursuit) the Quino checkerspot butterfly (Euphydryas editha quino) in

conjunction with surveys throughout the range of the species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-221290

Applicant: Lee Ripma, San Diego, California

The applicant requests a permit renewal to take (survey by pursuit) the Quino checkerspot butterfly (Euphydryas editha quino) and take (capture, collect, and collect vouchers) the Conservancy fairy shrimp (Branchinecta conservatio), longhorn fairy shrimp (Branchinecta longiantenna), Riverside fairy shrimp (Streptocephalus woottoni), San Diego fairy shrimp (Branchinecta sandiegonensis), and vernal pool tadpole shrimp (Lepidurus packardi) in conjunction with survey activities throughout the range of each species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-96471A

Applicant: Mason Holmes, San Ramon, California

The applicant requests a permit to take (harass by survey, capture, handle, mark, take biological samples, and release) the California tiger salamander (Santa Barbara County DPS and Sonoma County DPS) (Ambystoma californiense) in conjunction with surveys and population studies throughout the range of the species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-019949

Applicant: Vipul R. Joshi, San Diego, California

The applicant requests a permit renewal to take (survey by pursuit) the Quino checkerspot butterfly (Euphydryas editha quino) and take (capture, collect, and collect vouchers) the Conservancy fairy shrimp (Branchinecta conservatio), longhorn fairy shrimp (Branchinecta longiantenna), Riverside fairy shrimp (Streptocephalus woottoni), San Diego fairy shrimp (Branchinecta sandiegonensis), and vernal pool tadpole shrimp (Lepidurus packardi) in conjunction with survey activities throughout the range of each species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-021929

Applicant: California Native Plant Society, Mather, California

The applicant requests a permit renewal to take (capture, collect, and

collect vouchers) the Conservancy fairy shrimp (Branchinecta conservatio), longhorn fairy shrimp (Branchinecta longiantenna), and vernal pool tadpole shrimp (Lepidurus packardi) in conjunction with educational tours and population monitoring in Sacramento County, California, for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-96483A

Applicant: Elizabeth A. Kempton, Glendora, California

The applicant requests a permit to take (capture, collect, and collect vouchers) the Conservancy fairy shrimp (Branchinecta conservatio), longhorn fairy shrimp (Branchinecta longiantenna), Riverside fairy shrimp (Streptocephalus woottoni), San Diego fairy shrimp (Branchinecta sandiegonensis), and vernal pool tadpole shrimp (Lepidurus packardi) in conjunction with surveys throughout the range of each species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-012973

Applicant: ECORP Consulting, Inc., Rocklin, California

The applicant requests an amendment to an amendment to take (survey, capture, handle, measure, and release) the giant kangaroo rat (*Dipodomys ingens*) and take (survey, capture, handle, measure, mark, and release) the salt marsh harvest mouse (*Reithrodontomys raviventris*) in conjunction with survey, demographic study, and restoration activities throughout the range of each species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-168927

Applicant: Drew C. Stokes, San Diego, California

The applicant requests a renewal to take (survey, capture, handle, mark, and take biological samples) and an amendment to take (pitfall trap) the arroyo toad (arroyo southwestern) (Anaxyrus californicus (Bufo microscaphus c.)) in conjunction with survey, population monitoring, and upland use study in San Diego County, California, for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-221295

Applicant: Angelica Mendoza, Fontana, California

The applicant requests a permit renewal to take (survey by pursuit) the Quino checkerspot butterfly (Euphydryas editha quino) in conjunction with surveys throughout the range of the species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-185611

Applicant: CuriOdyssey Corporation, San Mateo, California

The applicant requests a permit to take (harass by capture, handle, transport, and captive rear) the California tiger salamander (Sonoma County DPS) (Ambystoma californiense) in conjunction with public outreach display and ecological research studies within the geographic jurisdiction of the Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office, California, for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-200339

Applicant: Sarah M. Foster, Sacramento, California

The applicant requests a permit renewal to take (harass by survey, capture, handle, and release) the California tiger salamander (Santa Barbara County DPS and Sonoma County DPS) (Ambystoma californiense) in conjunction with surveys and population studies throughout the range of each species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-97709A

Applicant: Kathryn L. Riley, San Diego, California

The applicant requests a permit to take (harass by survey) the southwestern willow flycatcher (*Empidonax traillii extimus*) in conjunction with survey activities throughout the range of the species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-074955

Applicant: Susan R. Scatolini, San Diego, California

The applicant requests a permit renewal to take (capture, collect, and collect vouchers) the Riverside fairy shrimp (*Streptocephalus woottoni*) and San Diego fairy shrimp (*Branchinecta sandiegonensis*) in conjunction with survey activities throughout the range of each species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

Permit No. TE-97717A

Applicant: Melissa Blundell, Encinitas, California

The applicant requests a permit to take (capture, handle, band, monitor nests, and release) the least Bell's vireo (*Vireo bellii pusillus*) in conjunction

with surveys and population monitoring activities throughout the range of the species in California for the purpose of enhancing the species' survival.

#### **Public Comments**

We invite public review and comment on each of these recovery permit applications. Comments and materials we receive will be available for public inspection, by appointment, during normal business hours at the address listed in the ADDRESSES section of this notice.

Before including your address, phone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

#### Michael Long,

Acting Regional Director, Pacific Southwest Region, Sacramento, California.

[FR Doc. 2013-06122 Filed 3-15-13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

#### Fish and Wildlife Service

[FWS-R8-R-2013-N039; FXRS12610800000V2-134-FF08RSRC00]

Llano Seco Riparian Sanctuary Unit Restoration and Pumping Plant/Fish Screen Facility Protection Project, CA; Final Environmental Impact Statement and Environmental Impact Report

**AGENCY:** Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** We, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) and the California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW), announce that the final environmental impact statement and environmental impact report (EIS/ EIR) for the Llano Seco Riparian Sanctuary Unit Restoration and Princeton, Codora, Glenn & Provident Irrigation Districts (PCGID-PID) Pumping Plan/Fish Screen Facility Protection Project is now available. The final EIS/EIR, which we prepared and now announce in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), describes the alternatives identified to protect the pumping plant and fish screen facility located at river mile 178.5 on the Sacramento River, and to restore the Riparian Sanctuary Unit of the Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge.

**ADDRESSES:** The final EIS/EIR is available at:

- Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge Complex, 752 County Road 99 W, Willows, CA 95988; telephone 530– 934–7814.
- River Partners Office, 580 Vallombrosa Avenue, Chico, CA 95926; telephone 530–894–5401.
- Orland Free Library, 333 Mill Street, Orland, CA 95963.
- Chico Branch Library, 1108 Sherman Avenue, Chico, CA 95926.
- CDFW Office, 629 Entler Ave, Suite 12, Chico, CA 95928.
- PCGID-PID Office, 258 South Butte Street, Willows, CA 95988; telephone 530-934-4801.
- Internet: www.fws.gov/ sacramentovalleyrefuges/ and http:// www.riverpartners.org/where-we-work/ sanctuary/documents.html.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kelly Moroney, Refuge Manager, Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge, 530–934–2801 (phone); kelly\_moroney@fws.gov (email), or; Helen Swagerty, River Partners, 530– 894–5401 (phone);

hswagerty@riverpartners.org (email).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

# Background

The Llano Seco Riparian Sanctuary Unit was acquired by the Service in 1991 and added to the Sacramento River National Wildlife Refuge. The Service acquired the Llano Seco Riparian Sanctuary Unit as part of the Joint Management Agreement between Parrot Investment Co., The Nature Conservancy, California Department of Fish and Game, and the Service to cooperatively manage lands on the Llano Seco Ranch. The Llano Seco Riparian Sanctuary Unit is one piece of the larger Llano Seco Ranch, and was cleared of riparian vegetation for agricultural production by the previous landowner during the 1970s. Although the property has been out of agricultural production for close to 15 years, the habitat remains dominated by nonnative and invasive noxious weeds. Currently, just over 200 acres is farmed to dryland row crops to help control nonnative

Prior to acquisition by the Service, rock revetment was placed on the north end of the Llano Seco Riparian Sanctuary Unit by the Department of Water Resources in 1985 and 1986. The rock was placed in order to lock the Sacramento River in place, ensuring that flood flows would continue to be diverted from the Sacramento River

through the Goose Lake overflow structure and into the Butte Basin. When the Service acquired the ranch property in 1991, we did so with the understanding that our management activities would not impact the Goose Lake overflow structure that diverts flood water into the Butte Basin.

Since the placement of rock revetment in 1986, the natural riverbank that is south of the revetment has eroded approximately 600 feet. The erosion on refuge property is directly across from the PCGID-PID pumping plant and fish screening facility. In 1999, the PCGID-PID consolidated three pumping plants into one new facility equipped with state-of-the-art fish screens. The fishscreening efficiency of the new PCGID-PID pumping plant is now endangered by the bank erosion on the refuge property and the migration of the Sacramento River. Although the rock revetment on the north edge of refuge property is decades old and eroding, it plays a key role in protecting the PCGID–PID pumping plant. As the bank erodes, the angle of flow and velocity of the water passing the screens will change, trapping fish against the screen rather than sweeping them past. Without some type of protection, it is likely the bank will continue to erode and the pumping plant facility will fail to meet guidelines for operation of the pumping-plant fish screens that were published by the National Marine Fisheries Service of National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (Department of Commerce).

The Draft EIS/EIR was available for a 45-day public review and comment period, which we announced via several methods, including public notices in local newspapers and a notice in the **Federal Register** (77 FR 26569, May 4, 2012). We held a public meeting to solicit comments on the Draft EIS/EIR on May 30, 2012. We identified and analyzed four alternatives in the Draft EIS/EIR:

Alternative 1: No-Action Alternative

Under the No-Action Alternative, only the ongoing removal and management of invasive plant species would occur at the Riparian Sanctuary. No active restoration of native plants would occur. Maintenance activities for the PCGID–PID pumping plant and fish screens would continue, but no new actions would be taken to prevent river meander.

Alternative 2: Spur Dikes and Site-Specific Plantings

Under Alternative 2, bank protection measures would consist of installing eight rock spur dikes along the