

a “neighborhood, vicinity, or locality,”<sup>25</sup> does not exactly evoke an image of a customer paying wholesale transmission rates. Rather, one imagines local retail customers paying the local utility to deliver electricity on a distribution line to power one’s business or dwelling.

7. I wonder what we expect to hear back in response. Under what circumstances would a wholesaler *ever* engage with and inform a retail customer? Would we expect a wholesale food vendor, Sysco, for example, to engage with a restaurant’s retail customers on how it plans for potential disruptions of the beef supply, and to then inform those customers when supplies have been disrupted and then further consult with them on how limited supplies will be allocated? No. Put in the terms of the FPA, would engaging retail customers in forecasting or informing retail customers of risks and mitigation measures render otherwise unlawful wholesale transmission rates just and reasonable? Doubtful. Could it be that the Commission envisions that transmission providers will submit information on some type of “flex alert” initiative that encourages retail customers to voluntarily conserve electricity, which may relate to the adequate reliability of the bulk-power system under FPA section 215? Perhaps. But if so, why not just make that clear.

8. The Commission ought to be more judicious in use of FPA section 304. Its powers are not without limit. Congress has declared that the burdens of these reports should be minimized, and that the usefulness of information collected by the government maximized.<sup>26</sup> We should better explain why we are asking for this data or not collect it at all. The Commission should not require transmission providers to file information for which it has no use or is unwilling to explain why it is being asked for in the first place.

For these reasons, I respectfully concur in the result.

James P. Danly,  
Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 2023–13268 Filed 6–26–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Internal Revenue Service

#### 26 CFR Part 1

[TD 9972]

RIN 1545–BN36

#### Electronic-Filing Requirements for Specified Returns and Other Documents; Correction

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

**ACTION:** Correcting amendment.

**SUMMARY:** This document contains corrections to final regulations (TD 9972) that were published in the **Federal Register** on Thursday, February 23, 2023. Those final regulations amend the rules for filing electronically and affect persons required to file partnership returns, corporate income tax returns, unrelated business income tax returns, withholding tax returns, certain information returns, registration statements, disclosure statements, notifications, actuarial reports, and certain excise tax returns. The final regulations reflect changes made by the Taxpayer First Act (TFA) and are consistent with the TFA’s emphasis on increasing electronic filing.

**DATES:** This correction is effective on June 27, 2023 and is applicable beginning February 23, 2023.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Casey R. Conrad of the Office of the Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration), (202) 317–6844 (not a toll-free number). The phone number above may also be reached by individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing or who have speech disabilities through the Federal Relay Service toll-free at (800) 877–8339.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The final regulations included in TD 9972 (88 FR 11754, Feb. 23, 2023) that are the subject of this correction are under section 6050I of the Internal Revenue Code. Both the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) that was published in the **Federal Register** on July 23, 2021 (86 FR 39910), and TD 9972 inadvertently omitted two sentences from § 1.6050I–1(a)(3)(ii) and (c)(1)(iv) in the drafting process, which resulted in the two sentences being removed from the Code of Federal Regulations. The first omitted sentence has been included in § 1.6050I–1 since the original publication of the final regulation (TD 8098) on September 4, 1986 (51 FR 31611). The second omitted sentence was added to § 1.6050I–1 in final regulations (TD 8373) published on

November 15, 1991 (56 FR 57976, 57977). The 1986 and 1991 final regulations that included these two sentences were both submitted as NPRMs for public comments and a public hearing was held for both NPRMs before they were published as final regulations.

The inadvertent omission of these two sentences has no material impact on TD 9972 or the electronic-filing rules included in the regulation. The omitted sentences are favorable to cash recipients and provide safe-harbors to cash recipients who receive cash in excess of \$10,000 but who may be exempt from reporting under § 1.6050I–1(a)(1)(i). The removal of these safe-harbors may cause a cash recipient who would otherwise be exempt from reporting under § 1.6050I–1(a)(1)(i) to report the cash transaction out of an abundance of caution, which would impose additional burdens on the cash recipient and the IRS.

The Treasury Department and the IRS received one public comment on the NPRM that addressed the proposed amendments to the regulations under section 6050I. The comment addressed the situation of certain filers for whom using the technology required to file electronically conflicts with their religious beliefs being nevertheless obligated to file Form 8300, Report of Cash Payments Over \$10,000 Received in a Trade or Business, electronically. The final regulations acknowledge that comment and adopt a rule that a waiver granted under § 301.6011–(c)(6) for any return required to be filed under § 301.6011–2(b)(1) or (2) will be deemed to have waived the electronic-filing requirement for any Form 8300 the filer is required to file during the calendar year. See § 301.6011–2(c)(6)(i).

The Treasury Department and the IRS believe that the inclusion of these two sentences in the NPRM would not have resulted in substantive comments from the public that recommended the sentences be removed from the regulation.

#### List of Subjects in 26 CFR Part 1

Income taxes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

#### Correction of Publication

Accordingly, 26 CFR part 1 is corrected by making the following correcting amendments:

#### PART 1—INCOME TAXES

■ **Paragraph 1.** The authority citation for part 1 continues to read in part as follows:

**Authority:** 26 U.S.C. 7805 \* \* \*

<sup>25</sup> *Community*, Black’s Law Dictionary (11th ed. 2019).

<sup>26</sup> See 44 U.S.C. 3501.

■ **Par. 2.** Section 1.6050I–1 is amended by adding a sentence to the end of paragraphs (a)(3)(ii) and (c)(1)(iv) to read as follows:

**§ 1.6050I–1 Returns relating to cash in excess of \$10,000 received in a trade or business.**

(a) \* \* \*

(3) \* \* \*

(ii) \* \* \* An agent will be deemed to have met the disclosure requirements of this paragraph (a)(3)(ii) if the agent discloses only the name of the principal and the agent knows that the recipient has the principal's address and taxpayer identification number.

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*

(1) \* \* \*

(iv) \* \* \* The recipient may rely on a copy of the loan document, a written statement from the bank, or similar documentation (such as a written lien instruction from the issuer of the instrument) to substantiate that the instrument constitutes loan proceeds.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Oluwafunmilayo A. Taylor,**

*Branch Chief, Legal Processing Division,  
Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure and Administration).*

[FR Doc. 2023–13555 Filed 6–26–23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4830–01–P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### Coast Guard

#### 33 CFR Part 165

[Docket Number USCG–2023–0509]

**RIN 1625–AA00**

#### **Safety Zone; City of Toledo Fireworks; Maumee River; Toledo, OH**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DHS.

**ACTION:** Temporary final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is establishing a temporary safety zone for navigable waters on the Maumee River in Toledo, OH. The safety zone is needed to protect marine traffic and spectators from hazards associated with the City of Toledo Fireworks. Entry of vessels or persons into this zone is prohibited unless specifically authorized by the Captain of the Port Detroit, or his designated representative. This temporary safety zone is necessary to protect marine traffic and spectators from hazards associated with fireworks.

**DATES:** This rule is effective from 9 through 11:30 p.m. on July 1, 2023.

**ADDRESSES:** To view documents mentioned in this preamble as being available in the docket, go to <https://www.regulations.gov>, type USCG–2023–0509 in the “SEARCH” box and click “SEARCH.” Click on Open Docket Folder on the line associated with this rule.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have questions on this rule, call or email MST1 Karl Dirksmeyer, Marine Safety Unit Toledo, Coast Guard; telephone (419) 392–0324, email [D09-SMB-MSUToledo-WWM@uscg.mil](mailto:D09-SMB-MSUToledo-WWM@uscg.mil).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Table of Abbreviations**

CFR Code of Federal Regulations  
DHS Department of Homeland Security  
FR Federal Register  
NPRM Notice of proposed rulemaking  
§ Section  
U.S.C. United States Code

##### **II. Background Information and Regulatory History**

The Coast Guard is issuing this temporary rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment pursuant to authority under section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553(b)). This provision authorizes an agency to issue a rule without prior notice and opportunity to comment when the agency for good cause finds that those procedures are “impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest.” Under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for not publishing a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) with respect to this rule because the event sponsor notified the Coast Guard with insufficient time to accommodate the comment period. Thus, delaying the effective date of this rule to wait for the comment period to run would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest because it would prevent the Captain of the Port Detroit from keeping marine traffic and spectators safe from hazards associated with the City of Toledo Fireworks.

Under 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), the Coast Guard finds that good cause exists for making this rule effective less than 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register**. Delaying the effective date of this rule would be impracticable because immediate action is needed to ensure the safety of marine traffic and spectators from hazards associated with the City of Toledo Fireworks.

##### **III. Legal Authority and Need for Rule**

The Coast Guard is issuing this rule under authority in 46 U.S.C. 70034 (previously 33 U.S.C. 1231). The Captain of the Port Detroit (COTP) has

determined that potential hazards associated with the City of Toledo Fireworks on July 1, 2023, will be a safety concern within a 500 foot radius of the launch site along the Maumee River from 9 to 11:30 p.m. This rule is needed to protect marine traffic and spectators in the navigable waters within the safety zone while the event is taking place.

##### **IV. Discussion of the Rule**

This rule establishes a safety zone that will be enforced from 9 through 11:30 p.m. on July 1, 2023. The safety zone will encompass all U.S. navigable waters of the Maumee River within a 500 foot radius of the launch site at 41°38'44" N, 083°31'51" W. The duration of the zone is intended to protect marine traffic and spectators in the navigable waters while the City of Toledo Fireworks are taking place. Entry into, transiting, or anchoring within the safety zone is prohibited unless authorized by the Captain of the Port, Sector Detroit or his designated representative. The Captain of the Port, Sector Detroit or his designated representative may be contacted via VHF Channel 16.

##### **V. Regulatory Analyses**

We developed this rule after considering numerous statutes and Executive orders related to rulemaking. Below we summarize our analyses based on a number of these statutes and Executive orders, and we discuss First Amendment rights of protestors.

##### **A. Regulatory Planning and Review**

Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 direct agencies to assess the costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits. This rule has not been designated a “significant regulatory action,” under Executive Order 12866. Accordingly, this rule has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB).

This regulatory action determination is based on the size, location, duration and time-of-day of the safety zone. This safety zone would impact a small designated area of the Maumee River for a short duration, during the evening when vessel traffic is normally low. Vessel traffic will be able to transit after the time of the event. Moreover, the Coast Guard would issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners via VHF–FM marine channel 16 about the zone, and the rule would allow vessels to seek permission to enter the zone.