

Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

#### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of information collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

(2) *The title of the Form/Collection:* The Annual Survey of Jails (ASJ).

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: CJ-5, CJ-5A, CJ-5B, CJ-5B Addendum, and CJ-5C, Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Primary: County and City jail authorities and Tribal authorities. This form is the only collection effort that provides an ability to maintain important jail statistics in the years between the jail censuses. The ASJ enables the Bureau; Federal, State, and local correctional administrators; legislators; researchers; and planners to track growth in the number of jails and their capacities nationally; as well as track changes in the demographics and supervision status of jail population and the prevalence of crowding.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* Nine hundred and forty-six respondents each taking an average of 75 minutes to respond for collection forms CJ-5, CJ-5A, and, CJ-5B. Seventy respondents each taking an average of

30 minutes to respond for collection form CJ-5B Addendum. One hundred and twenty respondents each taking an average of 4 hours to respond for collection form CJ-5C.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 1,698 annual total burden hours associated with the collection.

If additional information is required, contact: Mrs. Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 601 D Street, NW., Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: September 23, 2003.

**Brenda E. Dyer,**

*Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 03-24665 Filed 9-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-52,509]

##### A.T. Cross Company, Lincoln, RI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, an investigation was initiated on August 11, 2003 in response to a worker petition which was filed by a company official on behalf of workers at A.T. Cross Company, Lincoln, Rhode Island.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, further investigation in this case would serve no purpose, and the investigation has been terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC this 26th day of August 2003.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-24715 Filed 9-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-52,595]

##### ACS Industries, Inc., Woonsocket, RI; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on August 18, 2003 in response to a worker

petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers at ACS Industries, Inc., Woonsocket, Rhode Island.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 22nd day of August, 2003.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-24693 Filed 9-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

#### DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

##### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-51,275]

##### State of Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission, Permit Number SO4T65905; Dillingham, AK; Notice of Revised Determination on Reconsideration

By letter of April 25, 2003, the company official requested administrative reconsideration of the Department's Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility for Workers under State of Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission Permit Number SO4T65905, Dillingham, Alaska, to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 29, 2003 (68 FR 16834).

The initial petition was denied because there had been no employment decline. The company official however has provided information showing that workers are no longer producing salmon under State of Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission, Permit Number SO4T65905, Dillingham, Alaska. All workers have been separated.

The salmon processor to which the subject firm sold its salmon is no longer in business. Workers of the salmon processor are certified eligible to apply for adjustment assistance.

#### Conclusion

After careful review of the facts obtained in the reconsideration, I determine that workers covered by State of Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission, Permit Number SO4T65905, Dillingham, Alaska, qualify as adversely affected secondary workers under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended.

In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following revised determination:

All workers of State of Alaska Commercial Fisheries Entry Commission, Permit Number SO4T65905, Dillingham, Alaska, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 21, 2002, through two years from the date of certification, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 26th day of August, 2003.

**Linda G. Poole,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-24702 Filed 9-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-U

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-50,643]

#### **Aran Mold and Die Company, Inc., Elmwood Park, NJ; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration on Remand**

The United States Court of International Trade (USCIT) granted the Secretary of Labor's motion for a voluntary remand for further investigation in *Former Employees of Aran Mold and Die Company, Inc. v. Elaine Chao, U.S. Secretary of Labor*, No. 03-00362.

The Department's initial denial for the workers of Aran Mold and Die Company, Inc., Elmwood, New Jersey (hereafter "Aran Mold and Die"), issued on February 12, 2003 and published in the **Federal Register** on March 26, 2003 (68 FR 14708), was based on the finding that workers did not produce an article within the meaning of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. It was determined that the subject worker group were not engaged in the production of an article, but provided support services to workers producing plastic injection molding, and that production at the subject plant ceased more than one year prior to the date of the petition (January 14, 2003).

On April 2, 2003, the petitioner requested administrative reconsideration, asserting that workers were engaged in production work and, therefore, were not service providers.

On April 15, 2003, the Department issued a Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration, published in the **Federal Register** on April 24, 2003 (68 FR 20179), stating that the workers did produce a product (plastic injection molds).

The Department's Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration was issued on April 21, 2003 and published in the **Federal Register** on May 7, 2003 (68 FR 24505). During the reconsideration investigation, the Department conducted a survey of two of the subject company's customers regarding their purchases of plastic injection molds during 2001 and 2002. The survey revealed no import purchases of plastic injection molds during the surveyed time periods.

On remand, the petitioner requested that the Department review its reconsideration determination, stating that the subject company produced plastic injection molded components, not plastic injection molds as determined in the reconsideration investigation. The Department contacted the subject company official, requesting additional and detailed information regarding the subject plant's sales and production during 2001 and 2002, the closure of the subject plant, and the subject company's plastic injection molded components customers.

The remand investigation revealed that the subject plant ceased production of plastic injection molded components in October 2001 and that sales continued until February 2002.

A careful review of a survey conducted of the subject company's two major customers revealed that neither customer imported in 2001, one customer shifted reliance from the subject company to other domestic sources, and both customers did not purchase from the subject company in 2002.

However, even if there had been increased imports during the relevant time periods, the workers would not be eligible to apply for trade adjustment assistance because there was no production within the relevant period. Because production at the subject plant ceased in October 2001, no production occurred at the subject plant during the relevant time period.

#### **Conclusion**

After reconsideration on remand, I affirm the original notice of negative determination of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance for workers and former workers of Aran Mold and Die Company, Inc., Elmwood Park, New Jersey.

Signed at Washington, DC this 11th day of September 2003.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-24716 Filed 9-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-M

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-52,582]

#### **AT&T Wireless Services, Inc., Call Center, Livermore, CA; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on August 18, 2003 in response to a worker petition filed by a state agency representative on behalf of workers at AT&T Wireless Services, Inc., Call Center, Livermore, California.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 3rd day of September, 2003.

**Richard Church,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-24694 Filed 9-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-52,640]

#### **Bend TEC, Inc., Duluth, MN; Notice of Termination of Investigation**

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on August 21, 2003, in response to a petition filed by an authorized State of Minnesota representative on behalf of workers at Bend Tec, Inc., Duluth, Minnesota.

The petitioner has requested that the petition be withdrawn. Consequently, the investigation has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC this 25th day of August 2003.

**Elliott S. Kushner,**

*Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc. 03-24708 Filed 9-29-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-U