

TABLE 2—REGISTRANTS OF CANCELLED PRODUCTS—Continued

EPA Company number	Company name and address
48520	Phoenix Products Company, 5 Roger Ave., Milford, CT 06460–6436.
62719 (AL020006; AR020003; KY050001; MI040003; MN030003; MS030003; ND020003; NY050002; OH030003)	Dow AgroSciences, LLC, 9330 Zionsville Rd., Indianapolis, IN 46268–1054.
66330	Arysta Life Science North America, LLC, 15401 Weston Parkway, Suite 150, Cary, NC 27513.
74655	Hercules Incorporated, A Wholly Owned Subsidiary of Ashland, Inc., 7910 Baymeadows Way, Suite 100, Jacksonville, FL 32256.
NV000005	AMVAC Chemical Corporation, 4695 MacArthur Ct., Suite 1200, Newport Beach, CA 92660–1706.

III. Summary of Public Comments Received and Agency Response to Comments

During the public comment period provided, EPA received no comments in response to the September 19, 2012 **Federal Register** notice announcing the Agency's receipt of the requests for voluntary cancellations of products listed in Table 1 of Unit II.

IV. Cancellation Order

Pursuant to FIFRA section 6(f), EPA hereby approves the requested cancellations of the registrations identified in Table 1 of Unit II. Accordingly, the Agency hereby orders that the product registrations identified in Table 1 of Unit II. are canceled. The effective date of the cancellations that are the subject of this notice is April 24, 2013. Any distribution, sale, or use of existing stocks of the products identified in Table 1 of Unit II. in a manner inconsistent with any of the provisions for disposition of existing stocks set forth in Unit VI. will be a violation of FIFRA.

V. What is the agency's authority for taking this action?

Section 6(f)(1) of FIFRA provides that a registrant of a pesticide product may at any time request that any of its pesticide registrations be canceled or amended to terminate one or more uses. FIFRA further provides that, before acting on the request, EPA must publish a notice of receipt of any such request in the **Federal Register**. Thereafter, following the public comment period, the EPA Administrator may approve such a request. The notice of receipt for this action was published for comment in the **Federal Register** issue of September 19, 2012 (77 FR 58136) (FRL–9361–2). The comment period closed on March 18, 2013.

VI. Provisions for Disposition of Existing Stocks

Existing stocks are those stocks of registered pesticide products which are

currently in the United States and which were packaged, labeled, and released for shipment prior to the effective date of the cancellation action. The existing stocks provisions for the products subject to this order are as follows.

The registrants may continue to sell and distribute existing stocks of products listed in Table 1 of Unit II. until April 24, 2014, which is 1 year after the publication of the Cancellation Order in the **Federal Register**. Thereafter, the registrants are prohibited from selling or distributing products listed in Table 1 of Unit II., except for export in accordance with FIFRA section 17, or proper disposal. Persons other than the registrants may sell, distribute, or use existing stocks of products listed in Table 1 of Unit II. until existing stocks are exhausted, provided that such sale, distribution, or use is consistent with the terms of the previously approved labeling on, or that accompanied, the canceled products.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: April 9, 2013.

Richard P. Keigwin, Jr.,

Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2013–09553 Filed 4–23–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Docket No. 13–04]

Streak Products, Inc. v. UTi, United States, Inc.; Notice of Filing of Complaint and Assignment

Notice is given that a complaint has been filed with the Federal Maritime Commission (Commission) by Streak Products, Inc. (“Streak”), hereinafter “Complainant,” against UTi, United States, Inc. (“UTi”), hereinafter “Respondent.” Complainant states that it is a Delaware Corporation and

manufacturer of computer storage devices. Complainant alleges that Respondent is an FMC licensed NVOCC with its primary place of business in Long Beach, CA.

Complainant alleges that Respondent “has overcharged it by billing amounts in excess of lawful tariff from 2003 until present,” and therefore, has violated 46 U.S.C. 41104(2). Complainant also alleges that “UTi engaged in an unfair or unjustly discriminatory practice in violation of 46 U.S.C. 41104(4) by charging Streak rates greater than those it charged other shippers,” and that “UTi violated 46 U.S.C. 40501 by failing to keep open to public inspection in its tariff system, tariffs showing all its rates, charges, classifications, rules and practices between all points or ports on its own route and on any through transportation route that has been established.”

Complainant requests that the Commission issue the following relief: “(1) an order be entered commanding UTi to pay Streak reparations for violations of the Shipping Act, plus interest, costs, and attorneys’ fees [sic] any other damages to be determined; and (2) that such other and further relief be granted as the Commission determines to be proper, fair and just in the circumstances.”

The full text of the complaint can be found in the Commission’s Electronic Reading Room at www.fmc.gov/13-04.

This proceeding has been assigned to the Office of Administrative Law Judges. The initial decision of the presiding officer in this proceeding shall be issued by April 18, 2014 and the final decision of the Commission shall be issued by August 18, 2014.

Karen V. Gregory,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2013–09726 Filed 4–23–13; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730–01–P