

file its latest update to the BVPS–2 FSAR by April 25, 2001.

The need for the proposed action is based on taking advantage of the efficiencies of the NRC’s recently commenced electronic information exchange (EIE) process which allows licensee’s to voluntarily submit documents to the NRC over the internet or on a CD–ROM. The NRC issued Regulatory Issue Summary 2001–05, “Guidance on Submitting Documents to the NRC by Electronic Information Exchange or on CD–ROM,” on January 25, 2001 (ADAMS Accession No. ML003768343). The proposed action is requested due to the extensive nature of the planned FSAR revision (expected to exceed 1000 pages). Use of licensee and NRC resources to update the numerous hardcopies of the FSAR can be substantially reduced by providing an electronic version of the revised FSAR in its entirety. An additional 120 days from the currently required filing date reflects the licensee’s estimated time required for production of an electronic version in lieu of the hardcopies.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed action is administrative in nature and is unrelated to plant operations.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve any historic sites. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action
As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the “no-action” alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources
This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for BVPS–2, dated September 30, 1985 (Nuclear Documents System (NUDOCS) Accession No. 8509300559).

Agencies and Persons Consulted
In accordance with its stated policy, on March 23, 2001, the NRC staff consulted with the Pennsylvania State official, Mr. L. Ryan, of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection Bureau, Division of Nuclear Safety, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact
On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee’s letter dated March 13, 2001. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC’s Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room).

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 17th day of April 2001.
For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Lawrence J. Burkhart,
Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate I, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
[FR Doc. 01–9955 Filed 4–20–01; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

Cumulative Report on Rescissions and Deferrals

April 1, 2001.
Section 1014(e) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344) requires a monthly report listing all budget authority for the current fiscal year for which, as of the first day of the month, a special message had been transmitted to Congress.

This report gives the status, as of April 1, 2001, of two deferrals contained in one special message for FY 2001. The message was transmitted to Congress on January 18, 2001.

Deferrals (Attachments A and B)

As of April 1, 2001, \$1.6 billion in budget authority was being deferred from obligation. Attachment B shows the status of each deferral reported during FY 2001.

Information from Special Message

The special message containing information on the deferrals that are covered by this cumulative report is printed in the edition of the **Federal Register** cited below:
66 FR 8985, Monday, February 5, 2001

Mitchell E. Daniels, Jr.,
Director.

ATTACHMENT A—STATUS OF FY 2001 DEFERRALS
[In millions of dollars]

	Budgetary resources
Deferrals proposed by the President	1,946.7
Routine Executive releases through April 1, 2001	– 343.6
Overtaken by the Congress	
Currently before the Congress	1,603.1

ATTACHMENT B
Status of FY 2001 Deferrals - As of April 1, 2001
(In thousands of dollars)

Agency/Bureau/Account	Deferral Number	Amounts Transmitted		Date of Special Message	Releases (-)		Congressional Action	Cumulative Adjustments	Amount Deferred as of 4/1/01
		Original Request	Subsequent Change (+)		Cumulative OMB/Agency	Congressionaly Required			
DEPARTMENT OF STATE									
Other									
United States Emergency Refugee and Migration Assistance Fund.....	D01-1	145,310		1/18/01	40,033				105,277
INTERNATIONAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS									
International Security Assistance Economic Support Fund.....	D01-2	1,801,382		1/18/01	303,521				1,497,861
TOTAL, DEFERRALS.....		1,946,692			343,554				1,603,138