

a return address provided for transmitting any information to the requester.

CONTEST RECORD PROCEDURES:

See "Access procedures" above.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Sources of information contained in this system include investigating reports of federal, state, local, and foreign law enforcement agencies (including investigating reports from a system of records published by Department of Treasury Enforcement Communications System (TECS) TREASURY/CS 00.244 or the National Crime Information Center (NCIC); other non-Department of Justice investigative agencies; client agencies of the Department of Justice; statements of witnesses and parties; and the work product of the staff of the INTERPOL-USNCB working on particular cases. Although the organization uses the name INTERPOL-USNCB for purposes of public recognition, the INTERPOL-USNCB is not synonymous with the International Criminal Police Organization (ICPO-INTERPOL), which is a private, intergovernmental organization headquartered in Lyon, France. The Department of Justice USNCB serves as the United States liaison with the INTERPOL General Secretariat and works in cooperation with the National Central Bureaus of other member countries, but is not an agent, legal representative, nor organization subunit of the International Criminal Police Organization. The records maintained by the INTERPOL-USNCB are separate and distinct from records maintained by INTERPOL and INTERPOL-USNCB does not have custody of, access to, nor control over the records of the International Criminal Police Organization.

SYSTEMS EXEMPTED FROM CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE ACT:

The Attorney General has exempted this system from subsections (c)(3) and (4), (d), (e), (1), (2), and (3), (e)(4)(G) and (H), (e)(5) and (8), (f), and (g) of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2), and (k)(2) and (k)(5). Rules have been promulgated in accordance with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553(b), (c) and (e) and were published in the **Federal Register** on October 7, 1982 (47 FR 44255). See 28 CFR 16.103. [FR Doc. 01-18793 Filed 7-26-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-BC-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Commercenet Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on May 2, 2001, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), CommerceNet Consortium (the "Consortium") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Velocient Technologies, Plainsboro, NJ has joined the Consortium as a sponsor member. Electron Economy, Cupertino, CA; and RAM Consulting Services, Poolesville, MD have joined the Consortium as portfolio members.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and CommerceNet Consortium intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 13, 1994, CommerceNet Consortium filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on August 31, 1994 (59 FR 45012).

The last notification was filed with the Department on June 1, 2000. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act on August 9, 2000 (65 FR 48736).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 01-18794 Filed 7-26-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—HDP User Group International, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 24, 2001, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301

et seq. ("the Act"), HDP User Group International, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Flip Chip Technologies, Phoenix, AZ; Fujitsu Microelectronics, Inc., San Jose, CA and Silicon Bandwidth, Inc., Fremont, CA have been added as parties to this venture. Also, CS2, Zaventem, Belgium and Motorola, Schaumburg, IL have been dropped as parties to the venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and HDP User Group International, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On September 14, 1994, HDP User Group International, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 23, 1995 (60 FR 15306).

The last notification was filed with the Department on February 20, 2001. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** on March 23, 2001 (66 FR 16294).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 01-18796 Filed 7-26-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; IAP Research, Inc.; Electromagnetic Dynamic Compaction

Notice is hereby given that, on June 19, 2001, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), IAP Research, Inc.: Electromagnetic Dynamic Compaction has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing a change in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, A.O. Smith Corporation,