

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE****Forest Service****Tehama County Resource Advisory Committee****AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Tehama County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will meet in Red Bluff, California. Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Introductions, (2) Approval of Minutes, (3) Public Comment, (4) Discussion of Funding Projects for next year, (5) Chairman's Perspective, (6) General Discussion, (7) Next Agenda.

**DATES:** The meeting will be held on June 8, 2006 from 9 a.m. and end at approximately 12 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** The meeting will be held at the Lincoln Street School, Conference Room A, 1135 Lincoln Street, Red Bluff, CA. Individuals wishing to speak or propose agenda items must send their names and proposals to Janet Flanagan, Acting DFO, 825 N. Humboldt Ave., Willows, CA 95988.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bobbin Gaddini, Committee Coordinator, USDA, Mendocino National Forest, Grindstone Ranger District, P.O. Box 164, Elk Creek, CA 95939. (530) 968-5329; e-mail [ggaddin@fs.fed.us](mailto:ggaddin@fs.fed.us).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting to the public. Committee discussion is limited to Forest Service staff and Committee members. However, persons who wish to bring matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meeting. Public input sessions will be provided and individuals who made written requests by June 6, 2006 will have the opportunity to address the committee at those sessions.

Dated: May 23, 2006.

**Janet Flanagan,**

*Acting Designated Federal Official.*

[FR Doc. 06-4971 Filed 5-30-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-11-M**

**ANTITRUST MODERNIZATION COMMISSION****Request for Public Comment**

**AGENCY:** Antitrust Modernization Commission.

**ACTION:** Request for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The Antitrust Modernization Commission requests comments from

the public regarding specific questions relating to the issues selected for Commission study.

**DATES:** Comments are due by June 30, 2006.

**ADDRESSES:** By electronic mail: [comments@amc.gov](mailto:comments@amc.gov). By mail: Antitrust Modernization Commission, Attn: Public Comments, 1120 G Street, NW., Suite 810, Washington, DC 20005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrew J. Heimert, Executive Director & General Counsel, Antitrust Modernization Commission. Telephone: (202) 233-0701; e-mail: [info@amc.gov](mailto:info@amc.gov). Internet: <http://www.amc.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Antitrust Modernization Commission was established to "examine whether the need exists to modernize the antitrust laws and to identify and study related issues." Antitrust Modernization Commission Act of 2002, Public Law No. 107-273, § 11053, 116 Stat. 1856. In conducting its review of the antitrust laws, the Commission is required to "solicit the views of all parties concerned with the operation of the antitrust laws." *Id.* By this request for comments, the Commission seeks to provide a full opportunity for interested members of the public to provide input regarding certain issues selected for Commission study. From time to time, the Commission may issue additional requests for comment on issues selected for study.

Comments should be submitted in written form. Comments should identify the topic to which it relates. Comments need not address every question within the topic. Comments exceeding 1500 words should include a brief (less than 250 word) summary. Commenters may submit additional background materials (such as articles, data, or other information) relating to the topic by separate attachment.

Comments should identify the person or organization submitting the comments. If comments are submitted by an organization, the submission should identify a contact person within the organization. Comments should include the following contact information for the submitter: An address, telephone number, and e-mail address (if available). Comments submitted to the Commission will be made available to the public in accordance with Federal laws.

Comments may be submitted either in hard copy or electronic form. Electronic submissions may be sent by electronic mail to [comments@amc.gov](mailto:comments@amc.gov). Comments submitted in hard copy should be delivered to the address specified above,

and should enclose, if possible, a CD-ROM or a 3½ inch computer diskette containing an electronic copy of the comment. The Commission prefers to receive electronic documents (whether by e-mail or on CD-ROM/diskette) in portable document format (.pdf), but also will accept comments in Microsoft Word format.

The AMC has issued this request for comments pursuant to its authorizing statute and the Federal Advisory Committee Act. Antitrust Modernization Commission Act of 2002, Public Law No. 107-273, § 11053, 116 Stat. 1758, 1856; Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App., § 10(a)(3).

**Topic for Comment**

The Commission requests comment on the following topic.

*Criminal Remedies*

1. Some observers have opined that application of 18 U.S.C. 3571(d) consistent with the Constitution may be difficult in all but the most unusual circumstances after *United States v. Booker*, 543 U.S. 220 (2005), given *Booker's* requirement that the gain or loss be proven to a jury beyond a reasonable doubt. Should 18 U.S.C. 3571(d) be amended so that it is not applicable in Sherman Act prosecutions? If Section 3571(d) were made inapplicable to Sherman Act prosecutions, should the maximum fine under the Sherman Act be increased? If so, what should be the revised fine amount?

2. In responding to the first question, please also comment on the following:

a. What is the practical difficulty of proving gain or loss from an antitrust violation beyond a reasonable doubt?

b. If evaluation of the amount of gain or loss requires or warrants expert testimony, can it be said as a matter of law that gain or loss cannot, in such a case, be proven beyond a reasonable doubt?

c. Why do businesses agree, post-*Booker*, to pay fine amounts in excess of the \$10 million (now \$100 million) statutory maximum?

d. Is the threat of criminal prosecution of a greater number of individuals employed by a business, or of more serious sentences for the business's individuals, a factor that leads some businesses to agree to pay fine amounts in excess of the \$10 million or \$100 million maxima?

Dated: May 24, 2006.

By direction of the Antitrust  
Modernization Commission.

**Andrew J. Heimert,**

*Executive Director and General Counsel,  
Antitrust Modernization Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6-8313 Filed 5-30-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6820-YH-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

#### Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Request for Revocation in Part

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Initiation of  
Antidumping and Countervailing Duty

Administrative Reviews and Request for  
Revocation in Part

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with April anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews. The Department of Commerce also received a request to revoke one antidumping duty order in part.

**DATES:** May 31, 2006.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Sheila E. Forbes, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Office 4, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4697.

## **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

### **Background**

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(2002), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with April anniversary dates. The Department also received timely requests to revoke in part the antidumping duty order on Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars from Turkey with respect to two exporters.

### **INITIATION OF REVIEWS:**

In accordance with section 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than April 30, 2007.

Antidumping Duty Proceedings	Period to be Reviewed
RUSSIA: Magnesium Metal. A-821-819 ..... PSC VSMPO-AVISMA Corporation. Solikamsk Magnesium Works.	10/4/04 - 3/31/06
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Brake Rotors <sup>1</sup> . A-570-846 ..... National Automotive Industry Import & Export Corporation or China National Automotive Industry Import & Export Corporation, and manufactured by <b>any company other than</b> Shandong Laizhou CAPCO Industry ("Laizhou CAPCO"). Laizhou CAPCO, and manufactured by <b>any company other than</b> Laizhou CAPCO. Laizhou Luyuan Automobile Fittings Co., and manufactured by <b>any company other than</b> Laizhou Luyuan Automobile Fittings Co., or Shenyang Honbase Machinery Co., Ltd.. Shenyang Honbase Machinery Co., Ltd., and manufactured by <b>any company other than</b> Laizhou Luyuan Automobile Fittings Co., or Shenyang Honbase Machinery Co., Ltd.. China National Industrial Machinery Import & Export Company. Laizhou Auto Brake Equipment Factory. Laizhou Auto Brake Equipment Company. Qingdao Gren Co.. Yantai Winhere Auto-Part Manufacturing Co., Ltd.. Longkou Haimeng Machinery Co., Ltd.. Zibo Luzhou Automobile Parts Co., Ltd.. Laizhou Hongda Auto Replacement Parts Co., Ltd.. Hongfa Machinery (Dalian) Co., Ltd.. Qingdao Meita Automotive Industry Co., Ltd.. Shangdong Huanri Group Co., Ltd.. Shangdong Huanri Group General Company. Longkou TLC Machinery Co., Ltd.. Zibo Golden Harvest Machinery Limited Company. Shanxi Zhongding Auto Parts Co., Ltd.. Xianghe Xumingyuan Auto Parts Co., Ltd.. Xiangfen Hengtai Brake System Co., Ltd.. Laizhou City Luqi Machinery Co., Ltd.. Qingdao Rotec Auto Parts Co., Ltd.. Shenyang Yinghao Machinery Co.. Longkou Jinzheng Machinery Co.. Laizhou Wally Automobile Co., Ltd.. Laizhou Huanri Automobile Parts Co., Ltd.. THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Non-Malleable Cast Iron Pipe Fittings <sup>2</sup> . A-570-875 ..... Buxin Myland (Foundry) Ltd.. Jinan Meide Corporation. Myland Industrial Co., Ltd.. SFTEC.	4/1/05 - 3/31/06
TURKEY: Certain Steel Concrete Reinforcing Bars.	