

impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Environmental Review

The FAA has determined that this action qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures," paragraph 5–6.5a. This airspace action is not expected to cause any potentially significant environmental impacts, and no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

- 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

- 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.11E, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated July 21, 2020, and effective September 15, 2020, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 5000 Class D Airspace.

* * * * *

AWP CA D Palmdale, CA [Amended]

Palmdale USAF Plant 42 Airport, CA
(Lat. 34°37'46" N, long. 118°05'04" W)

That airspace extending upward from the surface to and including 5,000 feet MSL within a 4.3-mile radius of Palmdale USAF Plant 42 Airport. This Class D airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established, in advance, by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Chart Supplement.

Paragraph 6004 Class E Airspace Areas Designated as an Extension to a Class D or Class E Surface Area.

* * * * *

AWP CA E4 Palmdale, CA [Amended]

Palmdale USAF Plant 42 Airport, CA
(Lat. 34°37'46" N, long. 118°05'04" W)

That airspace extending upward from the surface within 1 mile each side of the 270° bearing from the airport, extending from the 4.3-mile radius to 7.5 miles west of Palmdale USAF Plant 42 Airport. This Class E airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established, in advance, by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Chart Supplement.

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

* * * * *

AWP CA E5 Palmdale, CA [Amended]

Palmdale USAF Plant 42 Airport, CA
(Lat. 34°37'46" N, long. 118°05'04" W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.8-mile radius of the airport, and within 6.1 miles each side of the 080° bearing from the airport, extending from the 6.8-mile radius to 12.9 miles east of the airport, and within 4 miles north and 8 miles south of the 086° bearing from the airport, extending from the airport to 14.3 miles east of the airport, and within 2 miles each side of the 274° bearing from the airport, extending from the 6.8-mile radius to 13.4 miles west of Palmdale USAF Plant 42 Airport.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on February 16, 2021.

B.G. Chew,

Acting Group Manager, Operations Support Group, Western Service Center.

[FR Doc. 2021–03904 Filed 2–26–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

21 CFR Part 1308

[Docket No. DEA–716]

Schedules of Controlled Substances: Temporary Placement of Brorphine in Schedule I

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice.

ACTION: Temporary amendment; temporary scheduling order.

SUMMARY: The Acting Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration is issuing this temporary order to schedule 1-(1-(1-(4-bromophenyl)ethyl)piperidin-4-yl)-1,3-dihydro-2H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-one (commonly known as brorphine), including its isomers, esters, ethers, salts, and salts of isomers, esters, and ethers whenever the existence of such isomers, esters, ethers, and salts is possible, in schedule I of the Controlled

Substances Act. This action is based on a finding by the Acting Administrator that the placement of brorphine in schedule I of the Controlled Substances Act is necessary to avoid an imminent hazard to the public safety. As a result of this order, the regulatory controls and administrative, civil, and criminal sanctions applicable to schedule I controlled substances will be imposed on persons who handle (manufacture, distribute, reverse distribute, import, export, engage in research, conduct instructional activities or chemical analysis with, or possess), or propose to handle brorphine.

DATES: This temporary scheduling order is effective March 1, 2021, until March 1, 2023. If this order is extended or made permanent, DEA will publish a document in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Terrence L. Boos, Drug and Chemical Evaluation Section, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration; Mailing Address: 8701 Morrisette Drive, Springfield, Virginia 22152; Telephone: (571) 362–3249.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Legal Authority

The Controlled Substances Act (CSA) provides the Attorney General (as delegated to the Administrator of Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) pursuant to 28 CFR 0.100) with the authority to temporarily place a substance in schedule I of the CSA for two years without regard to the requirements of 21 U.S.C. 811(b), if he finds that such action is necessary to avoid an imminent hazard to the public safety. 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(1). In addition, if proceedings to control a substance are initiated under 21 U.S.C. 811(a)(1) while the substance is temporarily controlled¹ under section 811(h), the Administrator may extend the temporary scheduling for up to one year. 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(2).

Where the necessary findings are made, a substance may be temporarily scheduled if it is not listed in any other schedule under 21 U.S.C. 812, or if there is no exemption or approval in effect for the substance under section 505 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, 21 U.S.C. 355. 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(1); 21 CFR part 1308.

Background

The CSA requires the Administrator to notify the Secretary of the

¹ Though DEA has used the term "final order" with respect to temporary scheduling orders in the past, this document adheres to the statutory language of 21 U.S.C. 811(h), which refers to a "temporary scheduling order." No substantive change is intended.

Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) of his intention to temporarily place a substance in schedule I.² 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(4). The Acting Administrator transmitted such notice regarding bromphine to the Assistant Secretary for Health of HHS (Assistant Secretary) by letter dated September 22, 2020. The Assistant Secretary responded to this notice by letter dated October 27, 2020, and advised that based on a review by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), there are currently no investigational new drug applications (INDs) or approved new drug applications (NDAs) for bromphine. The Assistant Secretary also stated that HHS had no objection to the temporary placement of bromphine in schedule I of the CSA.

DEA has taken into consideration the Assistant Secretary's comments as required by subsection 811(h)(4). Bromphine is not currently listed in any schedule under the CSA, and no exemptions or approvals are in effect for bromphine under 21 U.S.C. 355. DEA has found that the control of bromphine in schedule I on a temporary basis is necessary to avoid an imminent hazard to the public safety.

As required by 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(1)(A), DEA published a notice of intent to temporarily schedule bromphine on December 3, 2020. 85 FR 78047. That notice of intent discussed findings from DEA's three-factor analysis dated August 2020, which DEA made available on www.regulations.gov.

To find that placing a substance temporarily in schedule I of the CSA is necessary to avoid an imminent hazard to the public safety, the Administrator is required to consider three of the eight factors set forth in 21 U.S.C. 811(c): The substance's history and current pattern of abuse; the scope, duration and significance of abuse; and what, if any, risk there is to the public health. 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(3). Consideration of these factors includes actual abuse diversion from legitimate channels; and clandestine importation, manufacture, or distribution. 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(3).

A substance meeting the statutory requirements for temporary scheduling may only be placed in schedule I. 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(1). Substances in schedule I have a high potential for abuse, no currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States, and a lack of accepted safety for use under medical supervision. 21 U.S.C. 812(b)(1).

² The Secretary of HHS has delegated to the Assistant Secretary for Health of HHS the authority to make domestic drug scheduling recommendations. 58 FR 35460, July 1, 1993.

Available data and information for bromphine summarized below indicate that it has high potential for abuse, no currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States, and a lack of accepted safety for use under medical supervision. DEA's August 2020 three-factor analysis and the Assistant Secretary's October 27, 2020, letter are available in their entirety under the tab "Supporting Documents" of the public docket of this action at www.regulations.gov.

Bromphine

The availability of synthetic opioids on the illicit drug market continues to pose an imminent hazard to the public safety. Adverse health effects associated with the abuse of synthetic opioids and the increased popularity of these substances have posed serious health concerns in recent years. The presence of new synthetic opioids with no approved medical use exacerbates the unprecedented opioid epidemic in the United States continues to experience. The trafficking and abuse of new synthetic opioids are deadly new trends.

The identification of bromphine on the illicit drug market has been reported in the United States, Canada, Belgium, and Sweden. Data obtained from preclinical pharmacology studies show that bromphine has a pharmacological profile similar to that of other potent opioids such as morphine and fentanyl, schedule II controlled substances. Because of the pharmacological similarities between bromphine and other potent opioids, the use of bromphine presents a high risk of abuse and may negatively affect users and their communities. The positive identification of this substance in law enforcement seizures and post-mortem toxicology reports is a serious concern to the public safety. The abuse of bromphine has been associated with at least seven fatalities between June and July 2020 in the United States. Thus, bromphine poses an imminent hazard to public safety.

Available data and information for bromphine, as summarized below, indicates that this substance has a high potential for abuse, no currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States, and a lack of accepted safety for use under medical supervision. DEA's three-factor analysis is available in its entirety under "Supporting and Related Material" of the public docket for this action at www.regulations.gov under Docket Number DEA-716.

Factor 4. History and Current Pattern of Abuse

Bromphine is part of a structural class of compounds known as substituted piperidine benzimidazolones. The general synthesis of bromphine was first reported in the literature in 2018. Bromphine is not an approved pharmaceutical product and is not approved for medical use anywhere in the world. The Assistant Secretary, by a letter to DEA dated October 27, 2020, stated that there are no FDA-approved NDAs or INDs for bromphine in the United States. Hence, DEA notes there is no legitimate channel for bromphine as a marketed drug product. The appearance of bromphine on the illicit drug market is similar to other designer drugs trafficked for their psychoactive effects.

Since 2014, numerous synthetic opioids structurally related to fentanyl and several synthetic opioids from other structural classes have begun to emerge on the illicit drug market as evidenced by the identification of these drugs in forensic drug exhibits and toxicology samples. Beginning in June 2019, bromphine emerged in the United States illicit, synthetic drug market as evidenced by bromphine's identification in drug seizures. Authorities Between July and September 2019, bromphine was first reported in drug casework in Canada and was first reported in police seizures in Sweden in March 2020.³

Bromphine has been encountered by United States law enforcement in powder form. In the United States, bromphine has been identified as a single substance and in combination with other substances. Between June 2019 and August 2020, there are twenty reports of bromphine in the National Forensic Laboratory Information System (NFLIS) from three different states (see Factor 5).⁴ In several NFLIS encounters, bromphine was found in combination

³ Health Canada Drug Analysis Service (2019); Analyzed Drug Report Canada 2019—Q3 (July to September); European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA) (2020); EU Early Warning System Situation Report, Situation report 1—June 2020.

⁴ NFLIS represents an important resource in monitoring illicit drug trafficking, including the diversion of legally manufactured pharmaceuticals into illegal markets. NFLIS-Drug is a comprehensive information system that includes data from forensic laboratories that handle the nation's drug analysis cases. NFLIS-Drug participation rate, defined as the percentage of the national drug caseload represented by laboratories that have joined NFLIS, is currently 98.5 percent. NFLIS includes drug chemistry results from completed analyses only. While NFLIS data is not direct evidence of abuse, it can lead to an inference that a drug has been diverted and abused. See 76 FR 77330, 77332, December 12, 2011. NFLIS data was queried on August 18, 2020.

with heroin (a schedule I substance) and fentanyl (a schedule II substance). In reports from the Northeastern Illinois Regional Crime Laboratory, suspected heroin/fentanyl powders were analyzed and found to be buprenorphine in combination with flunitrazepam, a non-scheduled benzodiazepine, and diphenhydramine, an over-the-counter antihistamine.⁵

Post-mortem toxicology samples collected and submitted to National Medical Services (NMS) Laboratory⁶ in June and July 2020 verified the identification of buprenorphine. Buprenorphine was first reported by the Center for Forensic Science Research and Education (CFSRE)—Novel Psychoactive Substance (NPS) Discovery Program (under the novel psychoactive substances discovery program, in collaboration with NMS Labs) in July 2020. In seven post-mortem toxicology reports in June and July 2020, buprenorphine was found in combination with fentanyl, flunitrazepam, and heroin. Evidence suggests that individuals are using buprenorphine as a replacement to heroin or other opioids, either knowingly or unknowingly.

Factor 5. Scope, Duration, and Significance of Abuse

Buprenorphine has been described as a potent synthetic opioid, and evidence suggests it is being abused for its opioidergic effects (see Factor 6). According to a recent publication by CFSRE—NPS Discovery Program, buprenorphine has been positively identified in seven death investigation cases spanning between June and July 2020. These cases occurred in three states—Illinois (3), Minnesota (3), and Arizona (1). Most (n=6) of the decedents were male. The decedents' ages ranged between 40's and 60's with an average age of 52 years. Other substances identified in postmortem blood specimens obtained from these decedents include flunitrazepam, a non-scheduled benzodiazepine (n=5), fentanyl, a schedule II substance (n=7), and heroin, a schedule I substance (n=4). The appearance of

benzodiazepines and other opioids is common with polysubstance abuse.

NFLIS registered 20 reports of buprenorphine from Ohio (4), Pennsylvania (1), and Wisconsin (15) in 2019 and 2020. NFLIS was queried on August 18, 2020, for buprenorphine. Due to the rapid appearance of the drug, buprenorphine is most likely under reported as forensic laboratories secure reference standards for the confirmative identification and reporting of this substance.

The population likely to abuse buprenorphine appears to be the same as those abusing prescription opioid analgesics, heroin, tramadol, fentanyl, and other synthetic opioid substances. This is evidenced by the types of other drugs co-identified in samples obtained from buprenorphine seizures and post-mortem toxicology reports. Because abusers of buprenorphine are likely to obtain it through unregulated sources, the identity, purity, and quantity of buprenorphine are uncertain and inconsistent, thus posing significant adverse health risks to the end user. The misuse and abuse of opioids have been demonstrated and are well-characterized. According to the most recent data from the National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH),⁷ as of 2019, an estimated 10.1 million people aged 12 years or older misused opioids in the past year, including 9.7 million prescription pain reliever misusers and 745,000 heroin users. In 2019, an estimated 1.6 million people had an opioid use disorder, which included 1.4 million people with a prescription pain reliever use disorder and 438,000 people with heroin use disorder. In 2018, an estimated 10.3 million people aged 12 years or older misused opioids in the past year, including 9.9 million prescription pain reliever misusers and 808,000 heroin users. In 2018, an estimated 2 million people had an opioid use disorder, which included 1.7 million people with a prescription pain reliever use disorder and 500,000 people with heroin use disorder. This

⁷ NSDUH, formerly known as the National Household Survey on Drug Abuse (NHSDA), is conducted annually by the Department of Health and Human Services Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA). It is the primary source of estimates of the prevalence and incidence of nonmedical use of pharmaceutical drugs, illicit drugs, alcohol, and tobacco use in the United States. The survey is based on a nationally representative sample of the civilian, non-institutionalized population 12 years of age and older. The survey excludes homeless people who do not use shelters, active military personnel, and residents of institutional group quarters such as jails and hospitals. The NSDUH provides yearly national and state level estimates of drug abuse, and includes prevalence estimates by lifetime (*i.e.*, ever used), past year, and past month abuse or dependence.

population abusing opioids is likely to be at risk of abusing buprenorphine. Individuals who initiate use (*i.e.*, use a drug for the first time) of buprenorphine are likely to be at risk of developing substance use disorder, overdose, and death similar to that of other opioid analgesics (*e.g.*, fentanyl, morphine, etc.). Law enforcement reports demonstrate that buprenorphine is being illicitly distributed and abused.

Factor 6. What, if Any, Risk There Is to the Public Health

The increase in opioid overdose deaths in the United States has been exacerbated recently by the availability of potent synthetic opioids on the illicit drug market. Data obtained from pre-clinical studies demonstrate that buprenorphine exhibits a pharmacological profile similar to that of other mu-opioid receptor agonists. Data from *in vitro* studies showed that buprenorphine binds to and activates the mu-opioid receptors. In the [³⁵S]GTPγS cell-based receptor assay, buprenorphine, similar to fentanyl, acted as a mu-opioid receptor agonist. Buprenorphine's activation of the mu-opioid receptor was also shown to involve recruitment of beta-arrestin-2, a regulatory protein whose interaction with the mu-opioid receptor has been implicated in the adverse effects of mu-opioid receptor activation. Buprenorphine binds to and activates the mu-opioid receptor and has efficacy on scale with fentanyl in *in vitro* studies. It is well established that substances that act as mu-opioid receptor agonists have a high potential for addiction and can induce dose-dependent respiratory depression.

As with any mu-opioid receptor agonist, the potential health and safety risks for users of buprenorphine are high. The public health risks associated to the abuse of heroin and other mu-opioid receptor agonists are well established and have resulted in large numbers of drug treatment admissions, emergency department visits, and fatal overdoses. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), opioids, mainly synthetic opioids other than methadone, are predominantly responsible for drug overdose deaths in recent years. A CDC report shows that, from 2013 to 2018, opioid-related overdose deaths in the United States increased from 25,052 to 46,802. Of the drug overdose deaths for 2018, opioids were involved in about 69.5 percent of all drug-involved overdose deaths.

In the United States, the abuse of opioid analgesics has resulted in large numbers of treatment admissions, emergency department visits, and fatal overdoses. The introduction of potent synthetic opioids such as buprenorphine into

⁵ Email communications with Northeastern Illinois Regional Crime Laboratory, dated 7/1/2020 and 6/11/2020.

⁶ NMS Labs, in collaboration with the Center for Forensic Science Research and Education at the Fredric Rieders Family Foundation and the Organized Crime Drug Enforcement Task Force at the United States Department of Justice, has received funding from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention to develop systems for the early identification and notification of novel psychoactive substances in the drug supply within the United States.

the illicit market may serve as a portal to problematic opioid use for those seeking these powerful opioids.

Brorphine has been co-identified with other substances in seven post-mortem toxicology cases in June and July 2020. These substances include other opioids such as fentanyl and heroin, and other substance classes such as benzodiazepines. These deaths occurred in three states: Illinois, Arizona, and Minnesota. Information gathered from case history findings shows that brorphine use is similar to that of classic opioid agonists. As documented by toxicology reports, poly-substance abuse remains common in fatalities associated with the abuse of brorphine.

Finding of Necessity of Schedule I Placement To Avoid Imminent Hazard to Public Safety

In accordance with 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(3), based on the available data and information summarized above, the uncontrolled manufacture, distribution, reverse distribution, importation, exportation, conduct of research and chemical analysis, possession, and abuse of brorphine pose an imminent hazard to the public safety. DEA is not aware of any currently accepted medical uses for brorphine in the United States.⁸ A substance meeting the statutory requirements for temporary scheduling, found in 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(1), may only be placed in schedule I. Substances in schedule I are those that have a high potential for abuse, no currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States, and a lack of accepted safety for use under medical supervision. Available data and information for brorphine indicate that this substance has a high potential for abuse, no currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States, and a lack of accepted safety for use under medical supervision. As required by 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(4), the Acting

Administrator, through a letter dated September 22, 2020, notified the Assistant Secretary of DEA's intention to temporarily place brorphine in schedule I. DEA subsequently published a notice of intent on December 3, 2020. 85 FR 78047.

Conclusion

In accordance with 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(1) and (3), the Acting Administrator considered available data and information, herein set forth the grounds for his determination that it is necessary to temporarily schedule brorphine in schedule I of the CSA and finds that placement of this substance in schedule I of the CSA is necessary in order to avoid an imminent hazard to the public safety.

This temporary order scheduling this substance will be effective on the date the order is published in the **Federal Register** and will be in effect for a period of two years, with a possible extension of one additional year, pending completion of the regular (permanent) scheduling process. 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(1) and (2).

The CSA sets forth specific criteria for scheduling a drug or other substance. Regular scheduling actions in accordance with 21 U.S.C. 811(a) are subject to formal rulemaking procedures done "on the record after opportunity for a hearing" conducted pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 556 and 557. 21 U.S.C. 811. The regular scheduling process of formal rulemaking affords interested parties with appropriate process and the government with any additional relevant information needed to make a determination. Final decisions that conclude the regular scheduling process of formal rulemaking are subject to judicial review. 21 U.S.C. 877. Temporary scheduling orders are not subject to judicial review. 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(6).

Requirements for Handling

Upon the effective date of this temporary order, brorphine will be subject to the regulatory controls and administrative, civil, and criminal sanctions applicable to the manufacture, distribution, reverse distribution, importation, exportation, engagement in research, and conduct of instructional activities or chemical analysis with, and possession of schedule I controlled substances, including the following:

1. *Registration.* Any person who handles (manufactures, distributes, reverse distributes, imports, exports, engages in research, or conducts instructional activities or chemical analysis with, or possesses), or who desires to handle, brorphine must be

registered with DEA to conduct such activities pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 822, 823, 957, and 958, and in accordance with 21 CFR parts 1301 and 1312, as of March 1, 2021. Any person who currently handles brorphine, and is not registered with DEA, must submit an application for registration and may not continue to handle brorphine as of March 1, 2021, unless DEA has approved that application for registration pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 822, 823, 957, and 958, and in accordance with 21 CFR parts 1301 and 1312. Retail sales of schedule I controlled substances to the general public are not allowed under the CSA. Possession of any quantity of this substance in a manner not authorized by the CSA on or after March 1, 2021 is unlawful and those in possession of any quantity of these substances may be subject to prosecution pursuant to the CSA.

2. *Disposal of stocks.* Any person who does not desire or is not able to obtain a schedule I registration to handle brorphine must surrender all currently held quantities of brorphine.

3. *Security.* Brorphine is subject to schedule I security requirements and must be handled and stored pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 821, 823, 871(b) and in accordance with 21 CFR 1301.71–1301.93, as of March 1, 2021. Non-practitioners handling brorphine must also comply with the employee screening requirements of 21 CFR 1301.90–1301.93.

4. *Labeling and Packaging.* All labels, labeling, and packaging for commercial containers of brorphine must be in compliance with 21 U.S.C. 825, 958(e) and be in accordance with 21 CFR part 1302. Current DEA registrants will have 30 calendar days from March 1, 2021 to comply with all labeling and packaging requirements.

5. *Inventory.* Every DEA registrant who possesses any quantity of brorphine on the effective date of this order must take an inventory of all stocks of these substances on hand, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 827 and 958 and in accordance with 21 CFR 1304.03, 1304.04, and 1304.11. Current DEA registrants will have 30 calendar days from the effective date of this order to be in compliance with all inventory requirements. After the initial inventory, every DEA registrant must take an inventory of all controlled substances (including brorphine) on hand on a biennial basis, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 827 and 958 and in accordance with 21 CFR 1304.03, 1304.04, and 1304.11.

6. *Records.* All DEA registrants must maintain records with respect to brorphine, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 827

⁸ Although there is no evidence suggesting that brorphine has a currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States, it bears noting that a drug cannot be found to have such medical use unless DEA concludes that it satisfies a five-part test. Specifically, with respect to a drug that has not been approved by FDA, to have a currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States, all of the following must be demonstrated:

- i. The drug's chemistry must be known and reproducible;
- ii. there must be adequate safety studies;
- iii. there must be adequate and well-controlled studies proving efficacy;
- iv. the drug must be accepted by qualified experts; and
- v. the scientific evidence must be widely available.

57 FR 10499 (1992), *pet. for rev. denied*, *Alliance for Cannabis Therapeutics v. DEA*, 15 F.3d 1131, 1135 (D.C. Cir. 1994).

and 958 and in accordance with 21 CFR parts 1304, 1312, and 1317, and section 1307.11. Current DEA registrants authorized to handle bromphine shall have 30 calendar days from the effective date of this order to be in compliance with all recordkeeping requirements.

7. *Reports.* All DEA registrants who manufacture or distribute bromphine must submit reports pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 827 and in accordance with 21 CFR parts 1304 and 1312 as of March 1, 2021.

8. *Order Forms.* All DEA registrants who distribute bromphine must comply with order form requirements pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 828 and in accordance with 21 CFR part 1305 as of March 1, 2021.

9. *Importation and Exportation.* All importation and exportation of bromphine must be in compliance with 21 U.S.C. 952, 953, 957, and 958, and in accordance with 21 CFR part 1312 as of March 1, 2021.

10. *Quota.* Only DEA registered manufacturers may manufacture bromphine in accordance with a quota assigned pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 826 and in accordance with 21 CFR part 1303 as of March 1, 2021.

11. *Liability.* Any activity involving bromphine not authorized by, or in violation of the CSA, occurring as of March 1, 2021, is unlawful and may subject the person to administrative, civil, and/or criminal sanctions.

Regulatory Matters

The CSA provides for a temporary scheduling action where such action is necessary to avoid an imminent hazard to the public safety. 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(1). As provided in this subsection, the Administrator (as delegated by the Attorney General) by order may schedule a substance in schedule I on a temporary basis. Such an order may not be issued before the expiration of 30 days from: (1) The publication of a notice in the **Federal Register** of the intention to issue such order and the grounds upon which such order is to be issued, and (2) the date that comment requirements of section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. 553, do not apply to this temporary scheduling order. The APA expressly differentiates between an order and a rule, as it defines an “order” to mean a “final disposition, whether affirmative, negative, injunctive, or declaratory in form, of an agency *in a*

matter other than rule making.” 5 U.S.C. 551(6) (emphasis added). The specific language chosen by Congress indicates an intention for DEA to proceed through the issuance of an *order* instead of proceeding by rulemaking. Given that Congress specifically requires the Administrator to follow rulemaking procedures for *other* kinds of scheduling actions, *see* 21 U.S.C. 811(a), note that in 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(1), Congress authorized the issuance of temporary scheduling actions by order rather than by rule.

Alternatively, even if this action was subject to section 553 of the APA, the Acting Administrator finds that there is good cause to forgo the notice-and-comment requirements of section 553, as any further delays in the process for issuance of temporary scheduling orders would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest in view of the manifest urgency to avoid an imminent hazard to the public safety.

Although DEA believes this temporary scheduling order is not subject to the notice-and-comment requirements of section 553 of the APA, DEA notes that in accordance with 21 U.S.C. 811(h)(4), the Acting Administrator took into consideration comments submitted by the Assistant Secretary in response to the notice that DEA transmitted to the Assistant Secretary pursuant to such subsection.

Further, DEA believes that this temporary scheduling action is not a “rule” as defined by 5 U.S.C. 601(2), and accordingly, is not subject to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. The requirements for the preparation of an initial regulatory flexibility analysis in 5 U.S.C. 603(a) are not applicable here, as DEA is not required by section 553 of the APA or any other law to publish a general notice of proposed rulemaking.

In accordance with the principles of Executive Orders (E.O.) 12866 and 13563, this action is not a significant regulatory action. E.O. 12866 directs agencies to assess all costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health, and safety effects; distributive impacts; and equity). E.O. 13563 is supplemental to and reaffirms the principles, structures, and definitions governing

regulatory review as established in E.O. 12866. E.O. 12866 classifies a “significant regulatory action,” requiring review by the Office of Management and Budget, as any regulatory action that is likely to result in a rule that may: (1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy; a sector of the economy; productivity; competition; jobs; the environment; public health or safety; or State, local, or tribal governments or communities; (2) create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency; (3) materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs, or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or (4) raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates, the President’s priorities, or the principles set forth in the E.O. Because this is not a rulemaking action, this is not a significant regulatory action as defined in Section 3(f) of E.O. 12866.

This action will not have substantial direct effects on the states, on the relationship between the national government and the states, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with E.O. 13132 (Federalism), it is determined that this action does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 1308

Administrative practice and procedure, Drug traffic control, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons set out above, DEA amends 21 CFR part 1308 as follows:

PART 1308—SCHEDULES OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 1308 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 811, 812, 871(b), 956(b), unless otherwise noted.

■ 2. In § 1308.11, add paragraph (h)(49) to read as follows:

§ 1308.11 Schedule I
* * * * *
(h) * * *

(49) 1-(1-(4-bromophenyl)ethyl)piperidin-4-yl)-1,3-dihydro-2H-benzo[d]imidazol-2-one, its isomers, esters, ethers, salts and salts of isomers, esters and ethers (Other names: bromphine; 1-[1-[1-(4-bromophenyl)ethyl]-4-piperidinyl]-1,3-dihydro-2H-benzimidazol-2-one)

* * * * *

D. Christopher Evans,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2021-04242 Filed 2-26-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R04-OAR-2019-0613; FRL-10019-20-Region 4]

Air Plan Approval; North Carolina: Revisions to Annual Emissions Reporting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of North Carolina, through the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, Division of Air Quality (DAQ), on July 10, 2019. The SIP revision modifies the State's annual emissions reporting regulation by removing the annual emissions reporting requirement for certain non-Title V facilities in geographic areas that have been redesignated to attainment for the 1979 1-hour ozone national ambient air quality standards ("NAAQS" or "standards") and in the areas listed in the rule that have been redesignated to attainment for the 1997 8-hour ozone NAAQS, with the exception of the geographic areas that have been redesignated to attainment for the 2008 8-hour ozone NAAQS. The SIP revision also makes minor changes that do not significantly alter the meaning of the regulation. EPA is approving this revision pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act).

DATES: This rule is effective March 31, 2021.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket Identification No. EPA-R04-OAR-2019-0613. All documents in the docket are listed on the www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information may not be publicly available, *i.e.*, Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials can either be retrieved electronically via

www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. EPA requests that, if at all possible, you contact the person listed in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section to schedule your inspection. The Regional Office's official hours of business are Monday through Friday 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., excluding Federal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Tiereny Bell, Air Regulatory Management Section, Air Planning and Implementation Branch, Air and Radiation Division, Region 4, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960. The telephone number is (404) 562-9088. Ms. Bell can also be reached via electronic mail at bell.tiereny@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

In 1979, EPA promulgated a NAAQS for ozone, setting the standard at 0.12 parts per million (ppm) averaged over a 1-hour time frame. *See* 44 FR 8202 (February 8, 1979). In 1997, EPA promulgated a revised NAAQS for ozone, setting the standard at 0.08 ppm averaged over an 8-hour time frame. *See* 62 FR 38856 (July 18, 1997).¹ In 2008, EPA revised the level of the 8-hour ozone standard to 0.075 ppm. *See* 73 FR 16436 (March 27, 2008).² The promulgation of a new or revised NAAQS triggers a CAA requirement for EPA to designate as nonattainment any area that violates the NAAQS or contributes to a violation in a nearby area. On November 6, 1991, EPA published designations and classifications for the 1979 1-hour ozone NAAQS.³ *See* 56 FR 56694. EPA initially published designations and classifications for the revised 1997 8-hour and revised 2008 8-hour ozone standards on April 30, 2004 (69 FR 23858) and May 21, 2012 (77 FR 30088),

respectively. The geographic areas designated as nonattainment in North Carolina for the 1997 8-hour ozone standard included the Charlotte-Gastonia-Rock Hill, NC-SC Area (the North Carolina portion is hereinafter the "1997 Charlotte Area").⁴ The geographic areas designated as nonattainment in North Carolina for the 2008 ozone standard are part of an area known as the Charlotte-Rock Hill, NC-SC Area (the North Carolina portion is hereinafter the "2008 Charlotte Area").⁵ EPA redesignated North Carolina's 1979 ozone nonattainment areas to attainment in a series of actions from 1993 to 1995,⁶ redesignated the 1997 Charlotte Area to attainment on December 2, 2013 (78 FR 72036), and redesignated the 2008 Charlotte Area to attainment on July 28, 2015 (80 FR 44873).

North Carolina was required to develop nonattainment SIP revisions addressing the CAA requirements for its ozone nonattainment areas. Among other things, North Carolina was required to address the annual emissions reporting requirement in CAA section 182(a)(3)(B), which requires each state with an ozone nonattainment area to submit a SIP revision requiring stationary sources that emit 25 tons per year (tpy) or more of nitrogen oxides (NO_x) or volatile organic compounds (VOC) within the nonattainment area to provide certified annual emissions statements to the state showing actual annual NO_x and VOC emissions from the sources.

⁴ The geographic areas designated as nonattainment in North Carolina for the 1997 ozone standard included all geographic areas designated as nonattainment for the 1979 ozone standard as well as additional areas. The 1997 Charlotte Area consists of Cabarrus, Gaston, Lincoln, Mecklenburg, Rowan, and Union Counties and Davidson Township and Coddle Creek Township in Iredell County.

⁵ The 2008 Charlotte Area is a subset of the 1997 Charlotte Area and consists of Central Cabarrus Township, Concord Township, Georgeville Township, Harrisburg Township, Kannapolis Township, Midland Township, Mount Pleasant Township, New Gilead Township, Odell Township, Poplar Tent Township, and Rimertown Township in Cabarrus County; Crowders Mountain Township, Dallas Township, Gastonia Township, Riverbend Township, and South Point Township in Gaston County; Davidson Township and Coddle Creek Township in Iredell County; Catawba Springs Township, Ironton Township, and Lincolnton Township in Lincoln County; Atwell Township, China Grove Township, Franklin Township, Gold Hill Township, Litaker Township, Locke Township, Providence Township, Salisbury Township, Steele Township, and Unity Township in Rowan County; and Goose Creek Township, Marshville Township, Monroe Township, Sandy Ridge Township, and Vance Township in Union County.

⁶ *See* 58 FR 47391 (November 9, 1993), 59 FR 18300 (April 18, 1994), and 60 FR 34859 (July 5, 1995).

¹ EPA has revoked the 1979 and 1997 ozone standards. *See* 69 FR 23951 (April 30, 2004) and 80 FR 12264 (March 6, 2015), respectively.

² EPA revised the level of the 8-hour ozone standard to 0.070 ppm in 2015 and designated the entire state as attainment/unclassifiable for that NAAQS in 2017. *See* 80 FR 65296 (October 22, 2015) and 82 FR 54232 (November 16, 2017).

³ EPA designated the following geographic areas in North Carolina as nonattainment for the 1979 ozone standard: Davidson, Durham, Forsyth, Gaston, Guilford, Mecklenburg, and Wake Counties, the Dutchville Township in Granville County, and that part of Davie County bounded by the Yadkin River, Dutchmans Creek, North Carolina Highway 801, Fulton Creek and back to the Yadkin River.