

variables and may be recognized many times during a single day, or sporadically during the course of one or several months.

212A

The 212A module is also part of the signal lighting circuits within Electro Code 4 and Electro Code 4 *Plus* equipment. AC signals from the processor modules are combined on the 212A to provide the excitation voltages to the 211 converter modules. It has been recognized that several of the electrolytic capacitors on the 212A modules have failed, resulting in symmetry distortion of the AC signal passed to the 211 modules. This distortion may exaggerate the noise condition as described with the 211S and 211SRP above.

Harmon further stated that “[on the 211S and 211SRP modules, several components in addition to the resistor described above, will be replaced and added to the base design. This upgrade offer applies only to 211S and 211SRP converter modules * * * manufactured between March 1994 and March 1998 * * *”

Recommendation

In recognition of the need to assure safe reliable railroad signal operations, FRA strongly recommends that:

1. Each railroad having a signal system which uses any “Electro Code 4” or “Electro Code 4 Plus” Intermediate signal unit immediately identify each 211S, 211SRP, and 212A module within their signal system.
2. Each railroad replace or upgrade every 211S, 211SRP, or 212A module within their signal system as soon as possible.
3. Each railroad having 211S, 211SRP, or 212A modules contact Harmon Industries Riverside Operations, Attention Repair and Return, 7337 Central Avenue, Riverside, California 92504, phone no.: 800-854-4752 for further information pertaining to upgrades.

Issued in Washington, DC on May 25, 2000.

George Gavalla,

Associate Administrator for Safety.

[FR Doc. 00-13838 Filed 6-1-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-06-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA-2000-7268]

Denial of Petition for Import Eligibility Decision

This notice sets forth the reasons for the denial of a petition submitted to the National Highway Traffic Safety

Administration (NHTSA) under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A). The petition, which was submitted by Champagne Imports, Inc. of Lansdale, Pennsylvania (“Champagne”), a registered importer of motor vehicles, requested NHTSA to decide that 1995-1996 Audi Cabriolet passenger cars that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States. In the petition, Champagne contended that these vehicles are eligible for importation on the basis that (1) they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards (the U.S. certified version of the 1995-1996 Audi Cabriolet), and (2) they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

NHTSA published a notice in the **Federal Register** on December 13, 1999 (64 FR 69583) that contained a thorough description of the petition, and solicited public comments upon it. One comment was received in response to the notice, from Volkswagen of America, Inc. (“Volkswagen”), the United States representative of Audi AG, the vehicle’s manufacturer. In this comment, Volkswagen contended that non-U.S. certified 1995-1996 Audi Cabriolet passenger cars are ineligible for importation because they are not substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured and certified for sale in the United States and are not capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards. Specifically, Volkswagen observed that the non-U.S. certified 1995-1996 Audi Cabriolet passenger cars that are the subject of the petition are equipped with a 2.6 liter V6 engine rated at 150 hp with front wheel drive and a manual 5-speed transmission. Volkswagen stated that the only engine installed on 1995-1996 Audi Cabriolet passenger cars certified for the U.S. market was a 2.8 liter V6 rated at 172 hp. As a consequence, Volkswagen asserted that the engine components of the non-U.S. certified 1995-1996 Audi Cabriolet were not certified to any of the Federal motor vehicle safety standards containing requirements that relate to engines. Volkswagen identified those standards as including Standard Nos. 103 *Windshield Defrosting and Defogging Systems*, 105 *Hydraulic Brake Systems*, 124 *Accelerator Control Systems*, and insofar as they require the dynamic crash testing of a vehicle, Standard Nos. 208 *Occupant Crash Protection*, 212

Windshield Mounting, 219 *Windshield Zone Intrusion*, and 301 *Fuel System Integrity*. Volkswagen additionally noted that the petitioner erroneously claimed that non-U.S. certified 1995-1996 Audi Cabriolet passenger cars comply with the Bumper Standard found at 49 CFR Part 581. Volkswagen observed that the bumper components on these vehicles differ from those installed on U.S. certified models.

NHTSA accorded Champagne an opportunity to respond to Volkswagen’s comments. In its response, Champagne did not address any of the issues raised by Volkswagen, and requested that its petition be withdrawn. Because it had already solicited public comments on the petition, NHTSA could not accede to this request.

In light of Volkswagen’s comments, NHTSA has concluded that the petition does not clearly demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 1995-1996 Audi Cabriolet passenger cars are eligible for importation. The petition must therefore be denied under 49 CFR 593.7(e).

In accordance with 49 U.S.C.

30141(b)(1), NHTSA will not consider a new import eligibility petition covering this vehicle until at least three months from the date of this notice.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.7; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: May 30, 2000.

Marilynne Jacobs,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance.

[FR Doc. 00-13886 Filed 6-1-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Docket No. AB-33 (Sub-No. 153X)]

Union Pacific Railroad Company— Abandonment Exemption—in Monroe County, IA

On May 15, 2000, Union Pacific Railroad Company (UP), filed with the Surface Transportation Board (Board) a petition under 49 U.S.C. 10502 for exemption from the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10903-10905 to abandon a line of railroad known as the Oskaloosa Subdivision, extending between milepost 312.1 near Eddyville and milepost 322.9 near Maxon, a distance of 10.8 miles in Monroe County, IA. The line traverses U.S. Postal Service Zip Codes 52531 and 52553, and includes the non-agency stations of Bridgeport (milepost 313) and Maxon (milepost 322.9).