TA-W No.	Subject firm	Location	Impact date
81,670	ABM Janitorial Services, Northeast, Inc.	East Syracuse, NY	

I hereby certify that the aforementioned determinations were issued during the period of *June 18*, 2012 through *June 22*, 2012. These determinations are available on the Department's Web site tradeact/taa/taa search form.cfm under the searchable listing of determinations or by calling the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance toll free at 888–365–6822.

Dated: June 28, 2012.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2012-16744 Filed 7-9-12; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Investigations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

Petitions have been filed with the Secretary of Labor under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") and are identified in the Appendix to this notice. Upon receipt of these petitions, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, has instituted investigations pursuant to Section 221(a) of the Act.

The purpose of each of the investigations is to determine whether the workers are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act. The investigations will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved.

The petitioners or any other persons showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigations may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than July 20, 2012.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of the investigations to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than July 20, 2012.

The petitions filed in this case are available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–5428, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC, 20210.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of June 2012.

Michael W. Jaffe,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

APPENDIX

15 TAA petitions instituted between 6/18/12 and 6/22/12

TA-W	Subject firm (petitioners)	Location	Date of institution	Date of petition
81728	Tellabs (State/One-Stop)	Petaluma, CA	06/18/12 06/18/12 06/18/12 06/19/12 06/19/12 06/20/12 06/21/12	06/14/12 06/14/12 06/15/12 06/18/12 06/15/12 06/13/12 06/20/12 06/19/12
81736	pany). Sandberg and Sikorski Corporate (Workers)	New York, NY Palatka, FL Palo Alto, CA Corvallis, OR Vandalia, IL Tulsa, OK Omaha, NE	06/21/12 06/21/12 06/21/12 06/21/12 06/21/12 06/21/12 06/22/12	05/31/12 06/20/12 06/20/12 06/20/12 06/20/12 06/20/12 06/21/12

[FR Doc. 2012–16745 Filed 7–9–12; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-80,511]

Specialty Bar Products Company; A Subsidiary of Doncasters, Inc., Blairsville, PA; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On January 25, 2012, the Department of Labor issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of Specialty Bar Products Company, a subsidiary of Doncasters, Inc., Blairsville, Pennsylvania (subject firm). The Department's Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on February 8, 2012 (77 FR 6584). Workers at the subject firm are engaged in activities related to the production of pins, bushings, and gun blanks.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.18(c), reconsideration may be granted under the following circumstances:

- (1) If it appears on the basis of facts not previously considered that the determination complained of was erroneous;
- (2) If it appears that the determination complained of was based on a mistake in the determination of facts not previously considered; or
- (3) If in the opinion of the Certifying Officer, a mis-interpretation of facts or of the law justified reconsideration of the decision.

The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination based on the findings that the subject firm did not shift the production of pins, bushings, or gun blanks (or like or directly competitive articles) to a foreign country or acquire the production of such articles from a foreign country. The investigation also revealed that neither the subject firm nor its customers imported articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm.

The initial investigation also revealed that with respect to Section 222(b)(2) of the Act, the subject firm is neither a Supplier nor Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a).

The request for reconsideration stated that the subject firm is owned by a company located in the United Kingdom, the subject firm "provided products to international companies such as William Cook Defense, Sheffield England" and due to "the international corporate company in the United Kingdom, a significant decrease in production orders resulted in reduction of work force within Specialty Bar Products."

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation confirmed that the subject workers are engaged in activities related to the production of pins, bushings, and shotgun blanks, and clarified that the subject firm does not produce firearms, vehicles, or equipment that utilizes these articles.

Information obtained during the reconsideration investigation also confirmed that the subject firm is owned by Doncasters Group Ltd in Centrum, United Kingdom.

The reconsideration investigation also confirmed that the subject firm did not shift the production of pins, bushings, or shotgun blanks (or like or directly competitive articles) to a foreign country or acquire the production of such articles from a foreign country.

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department obtained information which reflects that while William Cook Defense is a customer, it was not a major declining customer. The customer surveyed during the initial investigation constituted a significant majority of the subject firm's sales declines.

During the reconsideration, the Department confirmed that neither the subject firm nor its major declining customer imported articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the subject firm. Specifically, the Department surveyed the subject firm's major declining customer in regard to imports of pins, bushings, and shotgun blanks (or like or directly competitive articles). The investigation revealed no such imports.

The investigation also revealed that with respect to Section 222(b)(2) of the Act, the subject firm is neither a Supplier nor Downstream Producer to a firm that employed a group of workers who received a certification of eligibility under Section 222(a) of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272(a).

Based on a careful review of information obtained during the initial and reconsideration investigations, the Department determines that 29 CFR 90.18(c) has not been met.

Conclusion

After careful review, I determine that the requirements of Section 222 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2272, have not been met and, therefore, deny the petition for group eligibility Specialty Bar Products Company, a subsidiary of Doncasters, Inc., Blairsville, Pennsylvania, to apply for adjustment assistance, in accordance with Section 223 of the Act, 19 U.S.C. 2273.

Signed in Washington, DC, on this 26th day of June, 2012.

Del Min Amy Chen,

Certifying Officer, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 2012–16735 Filed 7–9–12; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4510–FN–P**

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-81,047, TA-W-81,047A]

ERA Systems, LLC, Formerly ERA
Systems Corporation, a Subsidiary of
Systems Research and Applications
Corporation, 6647 Old Thompson
Road, Syracuse, NY; ERA Systems,
LLC, Formerly ERA Systems
Corporation, a Subsidiary of Systems
Research and Applications
Corporation, 6712 Brooklawn Parkway,
Suite 106, Syracuse, NY; Notice of
Revised Determination on
Reconsideration

The initial investigation, initiated on November 2, 2011, resulted in a negative determination, issued on January 13, 2012. The determination was applicable to workers and former workers of Era Systems, LLC, formerly Era Systems Corporation, a subsidiary of Systems Research and Applications Corporation, Syracuse, New York. The notice of the Affirmative Determination Regarding the Application for Reconsideration was published in the **Federal Register** on February 28, 2012 (77 FR 12080).

During the reconsideration investigation, the Department clarified the worker group. Specifically, the Department determined that the subject worker group consists of workers and former workers of Era Systems, LLC, formerly Era Systems Corporation, a subsidiary of Systems Research and Applications Corporation, 6647 Old Thompson Road, Syracuse, New York (TA-W-81,047) and Era Systems, LLC, formerly Era Systems Corporation, a subsidiary of Systems Research and Applications Corporation, 6712 Brooklawn Parkway, Suite 106, Syracuse, New York (TA-W-81,047A). Both locations are engaged in activities related to the supply of research and development services for air traffic components and software used for tracking and transmitting flight-related data.