Signed in Washington, DC, this 27th day of May, 2004.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04–12885 Filed 6–7–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54,729A]

Piedmont Industries, Inc., Icard Plant, Connelly Springs, NC; Termination of Investigation

The investigation was initiated on April 16, 2004, in response to a petition filed on behalf of workers at Piedmont Industries, Inc., Connelly Springs, North Carolina. Workers at are in the production of hosiery and separately identifiable only by facility.

The workers of Piedmont Industries at the Icard plant are included in a certification issued by the Department on November 20, 2003, petition number TA–W–53,246. Consequently, further investigation would serve no purpose, and this investigation is terminated.

Signed in Washington, DC, this $21st\ day\ of\ May,\ 2004.$

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04-12881 Filed 6-7-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-52,177]

Redman Knitting, Inc., Ridgewood, NY; Notice of Revised Determination on Remand

The United States Court of International Trade (USCIT) granted the Department of Labor's request for voluntary remand of the negative determination on reconsideration in Former Employees of Redman Knitting, Inc. v. U.S. Secretary of Labor (Court No. 03–00848).

The Department's denial of Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) for the workers of Redman Knitting, Inc., Ridgewood, New York was issued on July 29, 2003 and was published in the **Federal Register** on August 14, 2003 (68 FR 48643). That investigation indicated that Redman Knitting produced knitted fabric, and there were no increased

imports of articles like or directly competitive with knitted fabric by either the subject company or its customers, and no shift of production abroad during the relevant period.

By letter dated September 2, 2003, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the negative determination, alleging that imports of knitted sweaters adversely affected domestic production of knitted fabric. The Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration was issued on September 25, 2003 and was published in the **Federal Register** on October 10, 2003 (68 FR 58716).

The request for reconsideration was denied because a final product (sweaters) is not "like or directly competitive" with its raw material (knitted fabric) and, therefore, any increased imports of the final product cannot be used to certify workers producing the raw material. The Department also determined that the subject company's major declining customers are not TAA-certified, and that the subject worker group is therefore not eligible under secondary impact.

In response to the petitioner's appeal to the U.S. Court of International Trade, the Department requested, and was granted, a voluntary remand.

In the remand investigation, the Department requested from the company information about the article(s) produced at the subject facility, the plant production process, and additional customer information. A review of the information submitted during the remand investigation and previously submitted documents revealed that Redman Knitting, which was thought to have produced only knitted fabric, was in fact engaged in activities related to the production of knitted sweaters.

Since it has been determined that the workers were engaged in the production of sweaters, a customer survey was conducted to determine whether imports of sweaters increased during the relevant time period. The surveyed revealed that the subject company's major declining customers increased their reliance on imports of sweaters during the relevant period.

Conclusion

After careful review of the additional facts obtained on the current remand, I conclude that there were increased imports of knitted sweaters like or directly competitive with those produced at the subject firm, and that the increases contributed importantly to the worker separations and sales or

production declines at the subject facility. In accordance with the provisions of the Trade Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of Redman Knitting, Inc., Ridgewood, New York, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 20, 2002, through two years from the issuance of this revised determination, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of May, 2004.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04–12886 Filed 6–7–04; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-54,222]

Rohm & Haas Company, Elma, WA; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of May 5, 2004, a petitioner requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notice of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance, applicable to workers of the subject firm. The Department's determination notice was signed on March 16, 2004. The Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 6, 2004 (69 FR 18109).

The Department reviewed the request for reconsideration and has determined that the petitioners have provided additional information. Therefore, the Department will conduct further investigation to determine if the workers meet the eligibility requirements of the Trade Act of 1974.

Conclusion

After careful review of the application, I conclude that the claim is of sufficient weight to justify reconsideration of the Department of Labor's prior decision. The application is, therefore, granted.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 25th day of May 2004.

Elliott S. Kushner,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 04–12875 Filed 6–7–04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-30-P