DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-277-AD] RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Raytheon Model Hawker 800XP Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Raytheon Model Hawker 800XP airplanes. This proposal would require replacement of certain existing pitot probes with new probes. This action is necessary to prevent loss or fluctuation of indicated airspeed, which could result in seriously misleading information being provided to the flightcrew. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition. DATES: Comments must be received by November 28, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM—114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002—NM—277—AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055—4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227—1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address:

9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov.
Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2002–NM–277–AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate.
Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 or 2000 or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Raytheon Aircraft Company,
Department 62, P.O. Box 85, Wichita,
Kansas 67201–0085. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind
Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification
Office, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100,
Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Chris B. Morgan, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Propulsion Branch, ACE— 116W, FAA, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209; telephone (316) 946–4154; fax (316) 946–4407.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.
- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this action must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2002–NM–277–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2002–NM-277–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received several reports of in-flight loss of airspeed indication on Raytheon Model Hawker 800XP airplanes. One report indicated that the operator reported two occurrences of the following indications while flying the airplane at 41,000 feet in the vicinity of clouds: (1) Mach trim warning, (2) autopilot disconnect, and (3) airspeed indication (lost airspeed indication was on both sides the first time, and was lost on one side with an inaccurate reading on the other side the second time).

The cause of the loss of airspeed indication has been attributed to the freezing over of pitot probes in icing conditions above the 29,000-foot parameter defined in Appendix C of part 25 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 25). The heater inside the pitot probes is not powerful enough to prevent the accumulation of ice on the probes during conditions of high moisture content and lower temperatures. As ice forms on the pitot probes, it blocks the airflow into the instruments. This condition, if not corrected, could result in loss or fluctuation of indicated airspeed, which could result in seriously misleading information being provided to the flightcrew.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved Raytheon Service Bulletin SB 34–3412, dated March 2001, which describes procedures for replacement of certain existing pitot probes with new probes having increased heating capability. The replacement includes installing a new ammeter, two new shunts, and improved electrical wiring. Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed AD

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletin described previously, except as discussed below.

Differences Between the Service Bulletin and This Proposed AD

The service bulletin recommends accomplishing the replacement "no later than the next 24 month inspection"; however, this proposed AD would require that the replacement be done at the next 24-month inspection, but no later than 6 months after the effective date of the AD. In developing an appropriate compliance time for this proposed AD, we have considered the degree of urgency associated with the

subject unsafe condition, in addition to the fact that maintenance schedules vary among operators, depending on the average utilization of the affected fleet and the time necessary to perform the actions. In light of these factors, we find that this compliance time represents an appropriate interval of time for affected airplanes to continue to operate without compromising safety.

Although the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin describe procedures for reporting accomplishment of the service bulletin to Raytheon Aircraft Company, this proposed AD would not require that action.

Changes to 14 CFR Part 39/Effect on the Proposed AD

On July 10, 2002, we issued a new version of 14 CFR part 39 (67 FR 47997, July 22, 2002), which governs the FAA's airworthiness directives system. The regulation now includes material that relates to altered products, special flight permits, and alternative methods of compliance (AMOCs). Because we have now included this material in part 39, only the office authorized to approve AMOCs is identified in each individual

Labor Rate Increase

We have reviewed the figures we have used over the past several years to calculate AD costs to operators. To account for various inflationary costs in the airline industry, we find it necessary to increase the labor rate used in these calculations from \$60 per work hour to \$65 per work hour. The cost impact information, below, reflects this increase in the specified hourly labor rate.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 224 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 155 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 50 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$65 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$11,425 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$2,274,625, or \$14,675 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this proposed AD were not adopted. The

cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions. The manufacturer may cover the cost of replacement parts associated with this proposed AD, subject to warranty conditions. Manufacturer warranty remedies may also be available for labor costs associated with this proposed AD. As a result, the costs attributable to the proposed AD may be less than stated above.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS **DIRECTIVES**

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Raytheon Aircraft Company: Docket 2002-NM-277-AD.

Applicability: Model Hawker 800XP airplanes having serial number 258266 and serial numbers 258277 through 258500 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent loss or fluctuation of indicated airspeed, which could result in seriously misleading information being provided to the flightcrew, accomplish the following:

Replacement

(a) At the next scheduled 24-month inspection, but no later than 6 months after the effective date of this AD: Replace the existing Rosemount Aerospace 853JF pitot probes with new Rosemount Aerospace 853JF1 pitot probes (includes installing a new ammeter, two new shunts, and improved electrical writing), by doing all the actions in paragraph 3.A. of the Accomplishment Instructions of Raytheon Service Bulletin SB 34-3412, dated March 2001. Do the actions per the service bulletin.

Parts Installation

(b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person shall install a Rosemount Aerospace 853JF pitot probe, or an ammeter having P/ N 2132-01-0017, on any airplane.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this

Issued in Renton, Washington, on October 7, 2003.

Ali Bahrami,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 03-25867 Filed 10-10-03; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001-NM-275-AD] RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model MD-90-30 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the supersedure of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model MD-90-30