

RCRA–2007–0932, to: (1) EPA online using www.regulations.gov (our preferred method), by email to rcra-docket@epa.gov, or by mail to: EPA Docket Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 28221T, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460, and (2) OMB via email to oir_submission@omb.eop.gov. Address comments to OMB Desk Officer for EPA.

EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes profanity, threats, information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kristin Fitzgerald, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: 202–566–0512; email address: fitzgerald.kristin@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Supporting documents which explain in detail the information that the EPA will be collecting are available in the public docket for this ICR. The docket can be viewed online at www.regulations.gov. Out of an abundance of caution for members of the public and our staff, the EPA Docket Center and Reading Room is closed to the public, with limited exceptions, to reduce the risk of transmitting COVID–19. Our Docket Center staff will continue to provide remote customer service via email, phone and webform. For further information about the EPA's public docket, Docket Center services and the current status, please visit us online at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>. The telephone number for the Docket Center is 202–566–1744.

Pursuant to section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the PRA, the EPA is soliciting comments and information to enable it to: (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility; (ii) evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (iii) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other

technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. The EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval. At that time, the EPA will issue another **Federal Register** notice to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB.

Abstract: Some pharmaceuticals are regulated as hazardous waste under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) when discarded. This final rule added regulations for the management of hazardous waste pharmaceuticals by healthcare facilities and reverse distributors. Healthcare facilities (for both humans and animals) and reverse distributors now manage their hazardous waste pharmaceuticals under a new set of sector-specific standards in lieu of the existing hazardous waste generator regulations. These regulations are found in 40 CFR 266, Subpart P, and are mandatory. The new requirements include labeling containers holding non-creditable hazardous waste pharmaceuticals and evaluated hazardous waste pharmaceuticals with the words "Hazardous Waste Pharmaceuticals". Healthcare facilities and reverse distributors must also track or manage rejected shipments by sending a copy of the manifest to the designated facility that returned or rejected the shipment. Additionally, healthcare facilities and reverse distributors must submit exception reports for a missing copy of a manifest. Reverse distributors are required to amend their contingency plan under 40 CFR 262 Subpart M. A reverse distributor must submit an unauthorized hazardous waste report if it receives waste it is not authorized to receive.

Form Numbers: None.

Respondents/affected entities: Entities potentially affected by this action are the private sector.

Respondent's obligation to respond: Mandatory (RCRA Section 3001).

Estimated number of respondents: 13,373.

Frequency of response: Annual.

Total estimated burden: 43,577 hours. Burden is defined at 5 CFR 1320.03(b).

Total estimated cost: \$2,543,409, which includes \$2,543,409 annualized labor costs and \$0 annualized capital or O&M costs.

Changes in Estimates: The burden hours are expected to decrease as some of the burden associated with the rule have been incorporated into other existing ICRs.

Dated: October 5, 2021.

Carolyn Hoskinson,

Director, Office of Resource Conservation and Recovery.

[FR Doc. 2021–22097 Filed 10–8–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[WC Docket No. 17–97; DA 21–1103; FR ID 50347]

Call Authentication Trust Anchor

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Wireline Competition Bureau (Bureau) addresses a statutory obligation under the Pallone-Thune Telephone Robocall Abuse Criminal Enforcement and Deterrence (TRACED) Act relating to the Commission's caller ID authentication rules. Specifically, the Bureau seeks comment on STIR/SHAKEN implementation extensions granted by the Commission and associated burdens and barriers to the implementation of STIR/SHAKEN.

DATES: Comments are due on or before November 12, 2021; reply comments are due on or before November 26, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Pursuant to sections 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates indicated in this document. Comments and reply comments may be filed using the Commission's Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS). See *Electronic Filing of Documents in Rulemaking Proceedings*, 63 FR 24121 (1998). Interested parties may file comments or reply comments, identified by WC Docket No. 17–97 by any of the following methods:

- **Electronic Filers:** Comments may be filed electronically using the internet by accessing ECFS: <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/>.

- **Paper Filers:** Parties who choose to file by paper must file an original and one copy of each filing.

- Filings can be sent by commercial overnight courier, or by first-class or overnight U.S. Postal Service mail. All filings must be addressed to the Commission's Secretary, Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

- Commercial overnight mail (other than U.S. Postal Service Express Mail and Priority Mail) must be sent to 9050

Junction Drive, Annapolis Junction, MD 20701.

- U.S. Postal Service first-class, Express, and Priority mail must be addressed to 45 L Street NE, Washington, DC 20554.
- Effective March 19, 2020, and until further notice, the Commission no longer accepts any hand or messenger delivered filings. This is a temporary measure taken to help protect the health and safety of individuals, and to mitigate the transmission of COVID-19. See *FCC Announces Closure of FCC Headquarters Open Window and Change in Hand-Delivery Policy*, Public Notice, 35 FCC Rcd 2788 (March 19, 2020), <https://www.fcc.gov/document/fcc-closes-headquarters-open-window-and-changes-hand-delivery-policy>.

Ex Parte Rules. This proceeding shall be treated as a “permit-but-disclose” proceeding in accordance with the Commission’s *ex parte* rules. Persons making *ex parte* presentations must file a copy of any written presentation or a memorandum summarizing any oral presentation within two business days after the presentation (unless a different deadline applicable to the Sunshine period applies). Persons making oral *ex parte* presentations are reminded that memoranda summarizing the presentation must: (1) List all persons attending or otherwise participating in the meeting at which the *ex parte* presentation was made; and (2) summarize all data presented and arguments made during the presentation. If the presentation consisted in whole or in part of the presentation of data or arguments already reflected in the presenters’ written comments, memoranda, or other filings in the proceeding, the presenter may provide citations to such data or arguments in his or her prior comments, memoranda, or other filings (specifying the relevant page and/or paragraph numbers where such data or arguments can be found) in lieu of summarizing them in the memorandum. Documents shown or given to Commission staff during *ex parte* meetings are deemed to be written *ex parte* presentations and must be filed consistent with section 1.1206(b) of the Commission’s rules. In proceedings governed by section 1.49(f) of the rules or for which the Commission has made available a method of electronic filing, written *ex parte* presentations and memoranda summarizing oral *ex parte* presentations, and all attachments thereto, must be filed through the electronic comment filing system available for that proceeding, and must be filed in their native format (e.g., .doc, .xml, .ppt, searchable .pdf). Participants

in this proceeding should familiarize themselves with the Commission’s *ex parte* rules.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information, please contact Michael Nemcik, Competition Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, at (202) 418–2343 or by email at Michael.Nemcik@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Bureau’s Public Notice seeking comment on STIR/SHAKEN implementation extensions granted by the Commission and associated burdens and barriers to the implementation of STIR/SHAKEN in WC Docket No. 17–97, DA 21–1103, released on September 3, 2021. The full text of this document is available for public inspection at the following internet address: <https://docs.fcc.gov/public/attachments/DA-21-1103A1.pdf>. To request materials in accessible formats for people with disabilities (e.g. braille, large print, electronic files, audio format, etc.), send an email to fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at (202) 418–0530 (voice), or (202) 418–0432 (TTY).

Synopsis

When Congress directed the Commission to mandate implementation of STIR/SHAKEN in the TRACED Act, it also required the Commission to assess burdens and barriers to implementation, and gave the Commission discretion to extend compliance with the implementation mandate upon a public finding of undue hardship. The Commission performed this assessment and granted three categorical extensions of the STIR/SHAKEN mandate on the basis of undue hardship: (1) Small voice service providers; (2) voice service providers unable to obtain the “token” necessary to participate in STIR/SHAKEN; and (3) services scheduled for section 214 discontinuance.

The TRACED Act further requires the Commission to assess burdens and barriers to implementation “as appropriate” after that initial assessment, and directs the Commission to, “not less frequently than annually after the first [extension] is granted,” reevaluate and potentially revise any extensions granted on the basis of undue hardship. It requires the Commission to issue a public notice explaining “why such [extension] remains necessary” and “when the Commission expects to achieve the goal of full participation” in caller ID authentication. To comply with these obligations in the TRACED Act, the Commission directed the Bureau to

annually assess burdens and barriers to implementation and reevaluate the Commission’s granted extensions and revise or extend them as necessary. In its directions to the Bureau, the Commission permitted the Bureau to further extend an extension to which voice service providers are already subject, but prohibited us from terminating an extension prior to the extension’s originally set end date. The Commission did not permit us to grant extensions to any voice service providers or services not already subject to one; should we further extend a granted extension, we are permitted to decrease, but not expand, the scope of entities entitled to that extension based on our assessment of burdens and barriers.

We now seek comment in turn on the Commission’s granted extensions and associated burdens and barriers to the implementation of STIR/SHAKEN.

Small Voice Service Provider

Extension. We seek comment on the Commission’s extension for small voice service providers. The Commission granted a two-year extension for small voice service providers, defined as “a provider that has 100,000 or fewer voice service subscriber lines.” The Commission found that this extension was appropriate because small voice service providers may face substantial costs to implement STIR/SHAKEN—in addition to resource constraints—and that they confront unique equipment availability issues. In May, the Commission released a Third Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposing to shorten the extension for small voice service providers that originate an especially large number of calls by one year. It did so due to new evidence indicating that certain small voice service providers are originating a high and increasing share of illegal robocalls relative to their subscriber base.

We seek comment on burdens and barriers to small voice service provider implementation and whether we should revise or extend their extension. Have the burdens or barriers affecting small providers that were originally discussed in the *Second Caller ID Authentication Report and Order* changed since adoption, and if so how? Have new burdens or barriers to implementation emerged that affect small providers? Should the Bureau extend the extension beyond its current June 30, 2023 date? If so, why, and is there a reason to extend it at this time and not in next year’s annual review? Alternatively, is the extension no longer necessary and should we recommend that the Commission terminate it? If so, why?

How should the Commission's recent *Third Further Notice* and any subsequent order inform or impact our reevaluation of the small voice service provider extension? How close are small voice service providers to "full participation," and what steps, if any, could the Commission take to promote that goal?

Extension for Voice Service Providers That Cannot Obtain a SPC Token. We seek comment on the Commission's extension for voice service providers that cannot obtain the Service Provider Code (SPC) token necessary to participate in STIR/SHAKEN. The Commission granted voice service providers that are incapable of obtaining a SPC token due to Governance Authority policy an extension until they are capable of obtaining said token. The Commission granted this extension because "it is impossible for a voice service provider to participate in STIR/SHAKEN without access to [a SPC token] and because some voice service providers are unable to obtain [one] at this time."

In May, the Governance Authority revised the STI-GA Token Access Policy to enable token access by some voice service providers previously unable to receive a token. How has this change impacted the barrier presented by an inability to access a SPC token? Has it resolved the token access barrier? Does this change affect the need for the implementation extension and, if so, how? Does the extension remain necessary? Conversely, is this extension

no longer necessary and should we recommend it be terminated or revised by the Commission going forward? If so, why? Finally, how does token access affect the TRACED Act goal of full participation in caller ID authentication? Are there steps the Commission could take regarding token access to better promote full participation?

Extension for Services Scheduled for Section 214 Discontinuance. We seek comment on the Commission's extension for services scheduled for section 214 discontinuance. The Commission granted an extension to services which are subject to a pending application for permanent discontinuance of service filed as of June 30, 2021 for one year, until June 30, 2022. Under this extension, a voice service provider has until June 30, 2022, to either discontinue the service subject to the application or, alternatively, implement STIR/SHAKEN on that service. The Commission granted this extension to "obviate the need to upgrade components of a voice service provider's network that will be sunset." Is there any reason we should lengthen this extension and give affected voice service providers additional time, beyond June 30, 2022, to either discontinue the service or implement STIR/SHAKEN? Is it reasonable for a voice service provider to take longer than one year to complete discontinuance and, if so, how much additional time is appropriate? Alternatively, is a protracted

discontinuance evidence of "bad faith" and should we decline to grant any additional time before a voice service provider is obligated to choose between discontinuance and STIR/SHAKEN implementation? To account for bad faith filers while acknowledging potential reasonable delays, should we lengthen the extension but limit the scope of entities entitled to any further extension? Do services scheduled for 214 discontinuance meaningfully impact the goal of full participation in caller ID authentication?

Federal Communications Commission.

Pamela Arluk,

Chief, Competition Policy Division.

[FR Doc. 2021-22106 Filed 10-8-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[FR ID 52205]

Deletion of Items From September 30, 2021 Open Meeting

September 30, 2021.

The following items have been adopted by the Commission and deleted from the list of items scheduled for consideration at the Thursday, September 30, 2021, Open Meeting. These items were previously listed in the Commission's Notice of Thursday, September 23, 2021.

3	OFFICE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY.	TITLE: Authorizing 6 GHz Band Automated Frequency Coordination Systems (ET Docket No. 21-352). SUMMARY: The Commission will consider a Public Notice beginning the process for authorizing Automated Frequency Coordination Systems to govern the operation of standard-power devices in the 6 GHz band (5.925-7.125 GHz).
4	OFFICE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY.	TITLE: Spectrum Requirements for the Internet of Things (ET Docket No. 21-353) SUMMARY: The Commission will consider a Notice of Inquiry seeking comment on current and future spectrum needs to enable better connectivity relating to the Internet of Things (IoT).

* * * * *

The meeting will be webcast with open captioning at: www.fcc.gov/live. Open captioning will be provided as well as a text only version on the FCC website. Other reasonable accommodations for people with disabilities are available upon request. In your request, include a description of the accommodation you will need and a way we can contact you if we need more information. Last minute requests will be accepted but may be impossible to fill. Send an email to: fcc504@fcc.gov or call the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau at 202-418-0530.

Additional information concerning this meeting may be obtained from the Office of Media Relations, (202) 418-0500. Audio/Video coverage of the meeting will be broadcast live with open captioning over the internet from the FCC Live web page at www.fcc.gov/live.

Marlene Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2021-22110 Filed 10-8-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[OMB 3060-0719; FR ID 52030]

Information Collection Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, and as required by the Paperwork Reduction