(Source Material License Amendment)

The hearing will be conducted pursuant to 10 CFR part 2, subpart L, of the Commission's Regulations, "Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudications in Materials and Operator Licensing Proceedings." This proceeding concerns a December 15, 2001 hearing request submitted by William E. Love. The request was filed in response to an NRC staff proposal, following a staff environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact, to authorize an amendment to the International Uranium (USA) Corporation (IUSA) source material license for its Blanding, Utah White Mesa Uranium Mill that would permit IUSA to receive and process alternate feed materials from the Molycorp site located in Mountain Pass, California. The notice of final finding of no significant impact and opportunity for a hearing was published in the Federal Register on December 11, 2001 (66 FR 64,064).

The Presiding Officer in this proceeding is Administrative Judge Alan S. Rosenthal. Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.722, 2.1209, Administrative Judge Richard F. Cole has been appointed to assist the Presiding Officer in taking evidence and in preparing a suitable record for review.

All correspondence, documents, and other materials shall be filed with Judges Rosenthal and Cole in accordance with 10 CFR 2.1203. Their addresses are:

Administrative Judge Alan S. Rosenthal, Presiding Officer, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001

Dr. Richard F. Cole, Special Assistant, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Issued at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of January 2002.

## G. Paul Bollwerk, III,

Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 02–496 Filed 1–8–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 72-17]

Portland General Electric Company; Trojan Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation Notice of Docketing of Materials License SNM-2509 Amendment Application

By letter dated October 26, 2001, Portland General Electric Company (PGE) submitted an application to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or the Commission), in accordance with 10 CFR part 72, requesting the amendment of the Trojan independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI) license (SNM-2509) and the technical specifications for the ISFSI located in Columbia County, Oregon. PGE is seeking Commission approval to amend the materials license and the ISFSI technical specifications to reflect a change in supplier to Holtec International for portions of the Trojan ISFSI.

This application was docketed under 10 CFR part 72; the ISFSI Docket No. is 72–17 and will remain the same for this action. The amendment of an ISFSI license is subject to the Commission's approval.

The Commission may issue either a notice of hearing or a notice of proposed action and opportunity for hearing in accordance with 10 CFR 72.46(b)(1) or, if a determination is made that the amendment does not present a genuine issue as to whether public health and safety will be significantly affected, take immediate action on the amendment in accordance with 10 CFR 72.46(b)(2) and provide notice of the action taken and an opportunity for interested persons to request a hearing on whether the action should be rescinded or modified.

The NRC maintains an Agencywide Documents Access and Management System (ADAMS), which provides text and image files of NRC's public documents. These documents may be accessed through the NRC's Public Electronic Reading Room on the Internet at <a href="http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html">http://www.nrc.gov/NRC/ADAMS/index.html</a>. If you do not have access to ADAMS or if there are problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, contact the NRC Public Document Room (PDR) Reference staff at 1–800–397–4209, 301–415–4737 or by e-mail to <a href="mailto:pdr@nrc.gov">pdr@nrc.gov</a>.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of December, 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Charles L. Miller,

Acting Director, Spent Fuel Project Office, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 02–497 Filed 1–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-338]

Virginia Electric and Power Company; North Anna Power Station, Unit 1, Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License (FOL) No. NPF–4, issued to Virginia Electric and Power Company (the licensee), for operation of the North Anna Power Station, Unit 1, located in Louisa County, Virginia. As required by 10 CFR 51.21, the NRC is issuing this environmental assessment and finding of no significant impact.

#### **Environmental Assessment**

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would revise the FOL and Technical Specifications (TS) to remove expired license conditions, make editorial changes, relocate license conditions, remove redundant license conditions that are covered elsewhere in the license, and remove license conditions and TS associated with completed modifications.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated January 9, 2001.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed because some requirements in the North Anna, Unit 1, FOL have become obsolete. In addition, the need for editorial changes has been identified.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The NRC has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed license amendment and associated changes to the TS are administrative in nature and have no effect on plant equipment or plant operation.

The proposed action will not significantly increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of effluents that may be released off site, and there is no significant increase in occupational or public radiation exposure. Therefore, there are no

significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential non-radiological impacts, the proposed action does not have a potential to affect any historic sites. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Accordingly, the NRC concludes that there are no significant environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Environmental Impacts of the Alternatives to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action (i.e., the "no-action" alternative). Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

## Alternative Use of Resources

The action does not involve the use of any different resource than those previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the North Anna Power Station, Unit 1, dated April 1973.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

On December 20, 2001, the staff consulted with the Virginia State official, Mr. Les Foldesi of the Virginia Department of Health, Bureau of Radiological Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

On the basis of the environmental assessment, the NRC concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the NRC has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated January 9, 2001. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. Publicly available records will be accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, http://www.nrc.gov (the Public Electronic Reading Room). Persons who do not

have access to ADAMS or who encounter problems in accessing the documents located in ADAMS, should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1–800–397–4209, or 301–415–4737, or by e-mail at pdr@nrc.gov.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of January, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

#### Stephen R. Monarque,

Project Manager, Section 1, Project Directorate II, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 02–498 Filed 1–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

### **COMMISSION ON OCEAN POLICY**

### **Public Meeting**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy.

**ACTION:** Notice; change of meeting time.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy will hold its first regional meeting, the Commission's third public meeting, to hear and discuss issues of concern to the Southeastern Region of the United States, covering the coastal area from Delaware to Georgia. Notice of this meeting was originally published on December 20, 2001. The purpose of this second notice is to provide new meeting times.

**DATES:** Meetings will now be held Tuesday, January 15, 2002 from 8:30 a.m. to 6:20 p.m. and Wednesday, January 16, 2002 from 8:45 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting location is the Physicians Memorial Auditorium, College of Charleston, 66 George Street, Charleston, SC, 29424.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Terry Schaff, U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy, 1120 20th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20036, 202–418–3442, tschaff@nsf.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This** meeting is being held pursuant to requirements under the Oceans Act of 2000 (Public Law 106-256, Section 3(e)(1)(E)). The agenda will include presentations by invited speakers representing local and regional government agencies and nongovernmental organizations, comments from the public and any required administrative discussions and executive sessions. Invited speakers and members of the public are requested to submit their statements for the record electronically by January 10, 2002 to the meeting Point of Contact. Additional meeting information, including a draft

agenda, will be posted as available on the Commission's web site at http:// www.oceancommission.gov.

Dated: January 3, 2002.

#### Admiral James D. Watkins,

USN (ret.), Chairman, U.S. Commission on Ocean Policy.

[FR Doc. 02–484 Filed 1–8–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6820-WM-P

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

### **Comment Request**

Upon Written Request, Copies Available From: Securities and Exchange Commission, Office of Filings and Information Services, Washington, DC 20549

Extensions:

Rule 701—OMB Control No. 3235–0522, SEC File No. 270–306 Regulations 14D and 14E—OMB Control No. 3235–0102, SEC File No. 270–114 Schedule 14D–9

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") is soliciting comments on the collections of information summarized below. The Commission plans to submit these existing collections of information to the Office of Management and Budget for extension and approval.

Securities Act rule 701 requires when offerings in excess of \$5 million are made under the employee benefit plan exemptive rule, the issuers must provide the employees with risk and financial statement disclosures among other things. The purpose of rule 701 is to ensure that the basic level of information is available to employees and others when substantial amounts of securities are issued in compensatory arrangements. Approximately 300 companies annually rely on rule 701 exemption and it takes an estimated .5 hours to prepare and review. It is estimated that 25% of the 600 total annual burden hours (150 hours) is prepared by the company.

Regulations 14D and 14E and Schedule 14D–9 require information important to security holders in deciding how to respond to tender offers. Approximately 310 companies annually file Schedule 14D–9 and it takes 64.43 hours to prepare and review. It is estimated that 25% of the 79,803 total burden hours (19,973 burden hours) is prepared by the company.

Written comments are invited on: (a) Whether these collections of information are necessary for the proper