

and guidelines are available on the website. It is available 24 hours each day, 365 days each year.

FAA Order JO 7400.11G, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at [www.faa.gov/air\\_traffic/publications/](http://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/). You may also contact the Rules and Regulations Group, Office of Policy, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Colby Abbott, Rules and Regulations Group, Office of Policy, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**History**

The FAA published a final rule for Docket No. FAA-2022-1424 in the **Federal Register** (88 FR 18026; March 27, 2023), amending VOR Federal airways V-268 and V-474, revoking Jet Route J-518 and VOR Federal airway V-119, and establishing RNAV route Q-178 due to the planned decommissioning of the VOR portion of the Indian Head, PA, VOR/Tactical Air Navigation (VORTAC) navigational aid (NAVAID). Subsequent to publication, the FAA determined that the environmental review categorical exclusion references listed in the preamble were incorrect. The final rule Environmental Review section in the preamble listed FAA Order 1050.1F, paragraphs 5-6.5a and 5-6.5k as the supporting categorical exclusion references; however, upon further review, the FAA determined the references should be FAA Order 1050.1F, paragraphs 5-6.5a and 5-6.5i. This rule corrects the preamble discussion of the categorical exclusion references listed in the Environmental Review section of the final rule.

This action does not alter the alignment of the amended, revoked, or established Air Traffic Service (ATS) routes listed in the final rule.

**Correction to Final Rule**

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the first sentence in the Environmental Review section contained in the preamble in Docket No. FAA-2022-1424, as published in the **Federal Register** of March 27, 2023 (88 FR 18026), FR Doc. 2023-06101, is corrected as follows:

1. In FR Doc. 2023-06101, appearing on page 18027, in the second and third columns, replace the first sentence in the Environmental Review section to read,

“The FAA has determined that this action of amending VOR Federal airways V-268 and V-474, revoking Jet Route J-518 and VOR Federal airway V-119, and establishing RNAV route Q-178, due to the planned decommissioning of the VOR portion of the Indian Head, PA, VORTAC NAVAID, qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations at 40 CFR part 1500, and in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures, paragraph 5-6.5a, which categorically excludes from further environmental impact review rulemaking actions that designate or modify classes of airspace areas, airways, routes, and reporting points (see 14 CFR part 71, Designation of Class A, B, C, D, and E Airspace Areas; Air Traffic Service Routes; and Reporting Points); and paragraph 5-6.5i, which categorically excludes from further environment impact review the establishment of new or revised air traffic control procedures conducted at 3,000 feet or more above ground level (AGL); procedures conducted below 3,000 feet AGL that do not cause traffic to be routinely routed over noise sensitive areas; modifications to currently approved procedures conducted below 3,000 feet AGL that do not significantly increase noise over noise sensitive areas; and increases in minimum altitudes and landing minima. For modifications to air traffic procedures at or above 3,000 feet AGL, the Noise Screening Tool (NST) or other FAA-approved environmental screening methodology should be applied.”

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 3, 2023.

**Brian Konie,**

*Acting Manager, Airspace Rules and Regulations.*

[FR Doc. 2023-07240 Filed 4-7-23; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-13-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Federal Aviation Administration**

**14 CFR Part 71**

[Docket No. FAA-2023-0049; Airspace Docket No. 22-ASO-17]

**RIN 2120-AA66**

**Amendment of High Altitude Area Navigation (RNAV) Route Q-101; Eastern United States**

**AGENCY:** Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action amends high altitude area navigation (RNAV) route Q-101 in the eastern United States. This action supports the Northeast Corridor Atlantic Coast Route Project to improve the efficiency of the National Airspace System (NAS) and reduce the dependency on ground-based navigational systems.

**DATES:** Effective date 0901 UTC, June 15, 2023. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under 1 CFR part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order JO 7400.11 and publication of conforming amendments.

**ADDRESSES:** A copy of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), all comments received, this final rule, and all background material may be viewed online at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) using the FAA Docket number. Electronic retrieval help and guidelines are available on the website. It is available 24 hours each day, 365 days each year. FAA Order JO 7400.11G, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at [www.faa.gov/air\\_traffic/publications/](http://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/). You may also contact the Rules and Regulations Group, Office of Policy, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul Gallant, Rules and Regulations Group, Office of Policy, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267-8783.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Authority for This Rulemaking**

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of the airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it modifies the route structure as necessary to preserve the safe and efficient flow of air traffic within the NAS.

History

The FAA published a NPRM for Docket No. FAA–2023–0049, in the **Federal Register** (88 FR 7901; February 7, 2023), amending RNAV route Q–101 in the eastern United States.

Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on the proposal. One comment was received. The commenter expressed support for the proposal.

Incorporation by Reference

RNAV routes are published in paragraph 2006 of FAA Order JO 7400.11, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 on an annual basis. This document amends the current version of that order, FAA Order JO 7400.11G, dated August 19, 2022, and effective September 15, 2022. FAA Order JO 7400.11G is publicly available as listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. These amendments will be published in the next update to FAA Order JO 7400.11.

FAA Order JO 7400.11G lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas, air traffic service routes, and reporting points.

The Rule

This action amends 14 CFR part 71 by expanding RNAV route Q–101 in the eastern United States. This action supports the Northeast Corridor Atlantic Coast Route Project by linking Q–101 to other east coast Air Traffic Service routes to enhance air traffic flows.

The route amendment is as follows:

**Q–101:** Q–101 currently extends between the SKARP, NC, waypoint (WP), and the TUGGR, VA, WP. The FAA is extending Q–101 approximately 10 nautical miles to the north of the TUGGR WP, to the KALDA, VA, Fix. This provides additional routing options

for northbound and southbound air traffic.

Regulatory Notices and Analyses

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore: (1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under Department of Transportation (DOT) Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that only affects air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Environmental Review

The FAA has determined that this action of extending RNAV route Q–101 qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*) and its implementing regulations at 40 CFR part 1500, and in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures, paragraph 5–6.5a, which categorically excludes from further environmental impact review rulemaking actions that designate or modify classes of airspace areas, airways, routes, and reporting points (see 14 CFR part 71, Designation of Class A, B, C, D, and E Airspace Areas; Air Traffic Service Routes; and Reporting Points); and paragraph 5–6.5b, which categorically excludes from further environmental impact review “Actions regarding establishment of jet

routes and Federal airways (see 14 CFR 71.15, *Designation of jet routes and VOR Federal airways*) . . .”. As such, this action is not expected to result in any potentially significant environmental impacts. In accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, paragraph 5–2 regarding Extraordinary Circumstances, the FAA has reviewed this action for factors and circumstances in which a normally categorically excluded action may have a significant environmental impact requiring further analysis. The FAA has determined that no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact study.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order JO 7400.11G, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 19, 2022, and effective September 15, 2022, is amended as follows:

*Paragraph 2006 United States Area Navigation Routes.*

\* \* \* \* \*

Q–101 SKARP, NC to KALDA, VA [AMENDED]

SKARP, NC	WP	(Lat. 34°29′10.30″ N, long. 077°24′37.54″ W)
PRANK, NC	WP	(Lat. 35°14′27.41″ N, long. 076°56′28.54″ W)
BGBRD, NC	WP	(Lat. 35°53′45.11″ N, long. 076°32′23.15″ W)
HYPAL, VA	WP	(Lat. 37°03′27.23″ N, long. 075°44′43.09″ W)
TUGGR, VA	WP	(Lat. 37°41′08.72″ N, long. 075°36′36.92″ W)
KALDA, VA	FIX	(Lat. 37°50′31.06″ N, long. 075°37′35.34″ W)

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Washington, DC, on April 3, 2023.

**Brian Konie,**

*Acting Manager, Airspace Rules and Regulations.*

[FR Doc. 2023-07297 Filed 4-7-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

#### 21 CFR Part 1308

[Docket No. DEA-1003]

#### Specific Listing for Eutylone, a Currently Controlled Schedule I Substance

**AGENCY:** Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) is establishing a specific listing and DEA Controlled Substances Code Number (drug code) for 1-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-2-(ethylamino)butan-1-one (also known as eutylone or bk-EBDB) in schedule I of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA). Although eutylone is not specifically listed in schedule I of the CSA with its own unique drug code, it has been controlled in the United States since March 7, 2014, as a positional isomer of pentylone, a schedule I hallucinogen. Therefore, DEA is simply amending the schedule I hallucinogenic substances list in its regulations to separately include eutylone.

**DATES:** Effective April 10, 2023.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dr. Terrence L. Boos, Drug and Chemical Evaluation, Diversion Control Division, Drug Enforcement Administration; Telephone: (571) 362-3249.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Eutylone Control

Eutylone (also known as 1-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-2-(ethylamino)butan-1-one or bk-EBDB) is a chemical substance which is structurally related to pentylone (also known as 1-(1,3-benzodioxol-5-yl)-2-(methylamino)pentan-1-one or bk-MBDP). Pentylone is listed as a hallucinogenic substance in schedule I at 21 CFR 1308.11(d)(64). The introductory text to paragraph (d) provides: (1) A listed substance includes “any of its salts, isomers, and salts of isomers whenever the existence of such salts, isomers, and salts of isomers is possible within the specific chemical

designation,” and (2) the term “isomer” includes the optical, position[al], and geometric isomers.

When compared to the chemical structure of pentylone, eutylone meets the definition of a positional isomer in 21 CFR 1300.01(b), which cross-references the term “positional isomer” in 21 CFR 1308.11(d). Both pentylone and eutylone possess the same molecular formula and core structure, and they have the same functional groups. They only differ from one another by a rearrangement of an alkyl moiety between functional groups. Accordingly, under 21 CFR 1308.11(d), eutylone, as a positional isomer of pentylone, has been and continues to be a schedule I controlled substance.<sup>1</sup>

#### The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA)’s Authority To Control Eutylone

This rule is prompted by a letter dated May 27, 2022, in which the United States government was informed by the Secretariat of the United Nations that eutylone has been added to Schedule II of the Convention on Psychotropic Substances of 1971 (1971 Convention). This letter was prompted by a decision at the 65th Session of the Commission on Narcotic Drugs (CND) in March 2022 to schedule eutylone under Schedule II of the 1971 Convention (CND Dec/65/3). Preceding this decision, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), on behalf of the Secretary of Health and Human Services and pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 811(d)(2), published two notices in the **Federal Register** with an opportunity to submit domestic information and opportunity to comment on this action, July 23, 2021, 86 FR 39038 and February 15, 2022, 87 FR 8586. In every instance, FDA noted that eutylone was already controlled in schedule I of the Controlled Substances Act (CSA) as a positional isomer of pentylone, and the February 2022 notice stated that no additional permanent controls for eutylone under the CSA would be necessary to fulfill United States’ obligations as a party to the 1971 Convention.

As discussed above in this final rule, eutylone—by virtue of being a positional isomer of pentylone—has been controlled in schedule I of the CSA temporarily since March 7, 2014 (79 FR 12938), and permanently since March 1, 2017 (82 FR 12171). Therefore, all

<sup>1</sup> Pentylone (and its isomers) has been subject to temporary schedule I controls since March 7, 2014, first pursuant to a final order (March 7, 2014, 79 FR 12938) and the subsequent one-year extension of that order (March 4, 2016, 81 FR 11429), and then permanently pursuant to a final rule which continued the imposition of those controls (March 1, 2017, 82 FR 12171).

regulations and criminal sanctions applicable to schedule I substances have been and remain applicable to eutylone. Drugs controlled in schedule I of the CSA satisfy and exceed the required domestic controls of Schedule II under Article 2 of the 1971 Convention.

#### Effect of Action

As discussed above, this rule does not affect the continuing status of eutylone as a schedule I controlled substance in any way. This action, as an administrative matter, merely establishes a separate, specific listing for eutylone in schedule I of the CSA and assigns a DEA controlled substances code number (drug code) for the substance. This action will allow DEA to establish an aggregate production quota and grant individual manufacturing and procurement quotas to DEA-registered manufacturers of eutylone, who had previously been granted individual quotas for such purposes under the drug code for pentylone.

#### Regulatory Analyses

##### Administrative Procedure Act

An agency may find good cause to exempt a rule from certain provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) (5 U.S.C. 553), including notice of proposed rulemaking and the opportunity for public comment, if it is determined to be unnecessary, impracticable, or contrary to the public interest. Eutylone is currently controlled in schedule I as a positional isomer of pentylone, and eutylone has no currently accepted medical use in treatment to qualify for placement in a schedule other than schedule I (see 21 U.S.C. 812(b)(2)–(5)).

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B), DEA finds that notice and comment rulemaking is unnecessary and that good cause exists to dispense with these procedures. The addition of a separate listing for eutylone and its DEA controlled substances code number in the list of schedule I substances in 21 CFR 1308.11(d) makes no substantive difference in the status of this drug as a schedule I controlled substance, but instead is “a minor or merely technical amendment in which the public is not particularly interested.” *National Nutritional Foods Ass’n v. Kennedy*, 572 F.2d 377, 385 (2d Cir. 1978) (quoting S. Rep. No. 79–752, at 200 (1945)). See also *Utility Solid Waste Activities Group v. E.P.A.*, 236 F.3d 749, 755 (D.C. Cir. 2001) (the “unnecessary” prong “is confined to those situations in which the administrative rule is a routine determination, insignificant in nature