

at the following site: <http://www.ed.gov/legislation/FedRegister>.

To use PDF you must have Adobe Acrobat Reader, which is available free at this site. If you have questions about using PDF, call the U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), toll free, at 1-888-293-6498; or in the Washington, DC, area at (202) 512-1530.

**Note:** The official version of this document is published in the **Federal Register**. Free Internet access to the official edition of the **Federal Register** and the Code of Federal Regulations is available on GPO Access at: <http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/index.html>.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number: 84.133E, Rehabilitation Engineering Research Center Program)

**Program Authority:** 29 U.S.C. 762(g) and 764(b)(3).

Dated: January 6, 2003.

**Robert H. Pasternack,**

*Assistant Secretary for Special Education and Rehabilitative Services.*

[FR Doc. 03-529 Filed 1-9-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4000-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### National Nuclear Security Administration

#### Withdrawal of Notice of Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Wind Farm at the Nevada Test Site

**AGENCY:** National Nuclear Security Administration, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of withdrawal.

**SUMMARY:** On July 25, 2001, the Department of Energy (DOE), National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) announced its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) for the proposed Wind Farm at the Nevada Test Site (NTS) in Nye County, Nevada. This EIS would evaluate the range of reasonable alternatives for a private enterprise project to construct, operate, and maintain a wind farm for production of electrical power. The action alternatives considered included a range of electrical generating capacities up to about 600 megawatts (MW) at various locations on the NTS. On July 5, 2002, the Administrator of the NNSA decided to cancel consideration of the wind farm proposal on the NTS due to potentially significant adverse impacts to national security missions of the U. S. Air Force at the Nevada Test and Training Range (NTTR), which has boundaries

contiguous with the NTS on three sides. Therefore, further processing of the preliminary draft EIS, which was under review in NNSA, is no longer warranted. The notice of intent to prepare an EIS is withdrawn and the NEPA process is hereby terminated.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information on the Wind Farm Project, please contact: Kevin D. Thornton, National Nuclear Security Administration Nevada Operations Office, P.O. Box 98518, Las Vegas, NV 89193-8518. Telephone (702) 295-1541; facsimile (702) 295-0625; electronic mail: [thornton@nv.doe.gov](mailto:thornton@nv.doe.gov).

For general information on the DOE NEPA process, please contact: Ms. Carol M. Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance, EH-42, Forrestal Building, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, telephone 202-586-4600, or leave a message at 1-800-472-2756.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Section 3161 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 encouraged DOE to minimize the social and economic impacts on workers and communities affected by downsizing of defense-related facilities. Among other things, section 3161 authorized DOE to encourage private sector economic development at its sites and facilities. In the record of decision for the "Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Nevada Test Site and Off-Site Locations in the State of Nevada" (DOE/EIS 0243), DOE decided, in part, to continue to support ongoing program operations and pursue diversification of uses to include non-defense and private use. The proposed wind farm would be a private sector enterprise located on the NTS.

DOE received a proposal from M&N Wind Power, Inc. and Siemens to construct, operate, and maintain a wind farm at the NTS. Full implementation of the proposal would have consisted of up to 545 wind turbines generating up to approximately 600 MW. The wind farm would encompass about 432 hectares (1069 acres) of land. NNSA Nevada Operations Office (NV) began preparation of an environmental assessment (EA) for the proposed project in November 2000. Following public review of the pre-approval draft EA, NNSA/NV determined that a finding of no significant impact could not be supported and that an EIS would be required. Preparation of the EIS began with publication of a notice of intent to prepare an EIS in the **Federal Register** on July 25, 2001. On July 3, 2002, the U.S. Air Force wrote a letter

to the Manager of NNSA/NV which indicated, based on the results of a classified study, that the presence of large wind turbines on the NTS would be incompatible with the mission of the NTTR. The primary concern was for significant adverse impacts to certain NTTR missions within the ranges surrounding the NTS. Some of those mission capabilities are unique to the NTTR and impacts caused by wind turbines could adversely affect national security. During the same timeframe Air Force officials articulated these concerns in a classified briefing to the NNSA Administrator. Based on the concerns articulated by the Air Force, the Administrator of NNSA decided to cancel consideration of the wind farm proposal on the NTS. Therefore, further processing of the preliminary draft EIS, which was under review within NNSA, is no longer warranted. The notice of intent to prepare an EIS is withdrawn and the NEPA process is hereby terminated.

Issued in Washington, DC, this 20th day of December, 2002.

**Linton Brooks,**

*Acting Administrator, National Nuclear Security Administration.*

[FR Doc. 03-499 Filed 1-9-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6450-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EL03-38-000]

#### Cargill Power Markets, LLC, Complainant, v. Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc., Respondent; Notice of Complaint Requesting Fast Track Processing

January 3, 2003.

Take notice that on December 31, 2002, Cargill Power Markets, LLC (CPM), filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), a complaint against Midwest Independent Transmission System Operator, Inc. (MISO) requesting fast track processing by the Commission. CPM alleges that MISO has violated its open access transmission tariff and Commission policy by recalling CPM's confirmed Long-Term Firm Point-to-Point reservation.

CPM states that a copy of its complaint was served on MISO.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211

and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. The answer to the complaint and all comments, interventions or protests must be filed on or before January 15, 2003. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number

First Revised Sheet No. 1  
Original Sheet No. 2A  
Third Revised Sheet No. 6  
Original Sheet No. 6B  
Third Revised Sheet No. 8  
First Revised Sheet No. 10  
First Revised Sheet No. 12  
Second Revised Sheet No. 13  
First Revised Sheet No. 15  
First Revised Sheet No. 17  
Original Sheet No. 25A  
Original Sheet No. 27A  
Original Sheet No. 30A  
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 34  
Second Revised Sheet No. 35  
Second Revised Sheet No. 36  
Second Revised Sheet No. 37  
Second Revised Sheet No. 39  
First Revised Sheet No. 40  
First Revised Sheet No. 42  
Third Revised Sheet No. 43A  
Original Sheet No. 53A  
Original Sheet No. 54A  
First Revised Sheet No. 56A  
Original Sheet No. 58A  
First Revised Sheet No. 60  
First Revised Sheet No. 62

Chandeleur asserts that the purpose of this filing is to clean up its FERC Gas Tariff in a "housekeeping" filing, and that the tariff sheets correct such items as typographical errors, page formatting, vague language, and remove electronic bulletin board language.

Chandeleur states that it has served copies of the filing upon its firm and interruptible customers, and state commissions, and interested parties.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 or 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions

field to access the document. For assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at [FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or for TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. The answer to the complaint, comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper; see 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings.

**Magalie R. Salas,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03-466 Filed 1-9-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP03-220-000]

#### Chandeleur Pipe Line Company; Notice of Tariff Filing

January 3, 2003.

Take notice that on December 30, 2002, Chandeleur Pipe Line Company (Chandeleur) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, revised tariff sheets listed below to become effective February 1, 2003:

Third Revised Sheet No. 2  
First Revised Sheet No. 4  
Second Revised Sheet No. 6A  
Second Revised Sheet No. 7  
First Revised Sheet No. 9  
Second Revised Sheet No. 11  
Original Sheet No. 12A  
Second Revised Sheet No. 14  
First Revised Sheet No. 16  
First Revised Sheet No. 25  
Fourth Revised Sheet No. 27  
Second Revised Sheet No. 30  
Third Revised Sheet No. 33  
Original Sheet No. 34A  
Original Sheet No. 35A  
Original Sheet No. 36A  
Second Revised Sheet No. 38  
Original Sheet No. 39A  
First Revised Sheet No. 41  
Fifth Revised Sheet No. 43  
First Revised Sheet No. 53  
First Revised Sheet No. 54  
Second Revised Sheet No. 56  
First Revised Sheet No. 58  
First Revised Sheet No. 59  
First Revised Sheet No. 61  
First Revised Sheet No. 63

or protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. This filing is available for review at the Commission in the Public Reference Room or may be viewed on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.ferc.gov> using the "FERRIS" link. Enter the docket number excluding the last three digits in the docket number field to access the document. For Assistance, please contact FERC Online Support at

[FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov](mailto:FERCOnlineSupport@ferc.gov) or toll-free at (866) 208-3676, or TTY, contact (202) 502-8659. Comments, protests and interventions may be filed electronically via the Internet in lieu of paper. The Commission strongly encourages electronic filings. See 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's Web site under the "e-Filing" link.

Intervention Date: January 13, 2003.

**Magalie R. Salas,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 03-469 Filed 1-9-03; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6717-01-P**