

Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213 and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: June 29, 2022.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy & Negotiations, Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Agreement
- IV. Discussion of the Issues
 - 1. Alleged Violations and Consultations with the GOM
 - 2. Allocation Reduction
 - 3. Swap Transactions
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022–14282 Filed 7–5–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–201–845]

Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Sugar From Mexico: Final Results of the 2019–2020 Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) continues to find that the respondents selected for individual examination, respectively, Impulsora Azucarera Del Trópico, S.A. de C.V.

(Impulsora Del Tropico) and its affiliates and Ingenio Huixtla SA de C.V. (Ingenio Huixtla) and its affiliates (collectively, Respondents) were in compliance with the terms of the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Sugar from Mexico, as amended (AD Agreement) during the period of review (POR) from January 1, 2020, through December 31, 2020. Commerce also continues to find that the AD Agreement met the statutory requirements under sections 734(c) and (d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) during the POR.

DATES: Applicable July 6, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sally C. Gannon or David Cordell, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0162 or (202) 482–0408, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On January 7, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* of this administrative review.¹ On February 14, 2022, Respondents filed a case brief.² On February 22, 2022, the American Sugar Coalition and its members (petitioners)³ filed a rebuttal brief.⁴

Scope of the AD Agreement

The product covered by this AD Agreement is raw and refined sugar of all polarimeter readings derived from sugar cane or sugar beets. Merchandise covered by this AD Agreement is typically imported under the following headings of the HTSUS: 1701.12.1000, 1701.12.5000, 1701.13.1000, 1701.13.5000, 1701.14.1020, 1701.14.1040, 1701.14.5000, 1701.91.1000, 1701.91.3000, 1701.99.1015, 1701.99.1017, 1701.99.1025, 1701.99.1050, 1701.99.5015, 1701.99.5017, 1701.99.5025, 1701.99.5050, and

1702.90.4000.⁵ The tariff classification is provided for convenience and customs purposes; however, the written description of the scope of this AD Agreement is dispositive.⁶

Analysis

Commerce continues to find, based on record evidence, that Respondents, Impulsora Del Tropico and Ingenio Huixtla, were in compliance with the terms of the AD Agreement during the POR. We also determine that the AD Agreement met the statutory requirements under sections 734(c) and (d) of the Act, during the POR. However, during the review, Commerce identified issues related to recordkeeping and certain complex transactions referred to as “swap transactions.” We intend to consult with the Signatories to the AD Agreement under Section VII.E.2 (Operations Consultations) to ensure sufficient recordkeeping with respect to swap transactions. Such recordkeeping is necessary to demonstrate compliance with the AD Agreement and to ensure that any potential administrative challenges to effective monitoring are diminished.

The issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum and business proprietary memorandum.⁷ The issues are identified in the Appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative

¹ See *Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Sugar from Mexico; Preliminary Results of the 2019–2020 Administrative Review*, 87 FR 972 (January 7, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Issues and Decision Memorandum.

² See Respondents’ Letter, “Case Brief,” dated February 14, 2022.

³ The members of the American Sugar Coalition are as follows: American Sugar Cane League; American Sugarbeet Growers Association; American Sugar Refining, Inc.; Florida Sugar Cane League; Rio Grande Valley Sugar Growers, Inc.; Sugar Cane Growers Cooperative of Florida; and the United States Beet Sugar Association.

⁴ See Petitioners’ Letter, “Rebuttal Brief of the American Sugar Coalition and its Members,” dated February 22, 2022.

⁵ Prior to July 1, 2016, merchandise covered by the AD Agreement was classified in the HTSUS under subheading 1701.99.1010. Prior to January 1, 2020, merchandise covered by the AD Agreement was classified in the HTSUS under subheadings 1701.14.1000 and 1701.99.5010.

⁶ For a complete description of the Scope of the AD Agreement, see Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2019–2020 Administrative Review of the Agreement Suspending the Antidumping Duty Investigation on Sugar from Mexico, as Amended,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁷ See Issues and Decision Memorandum; see also Memorandum, “Final Analysis of Proprietary Information: Impulsora Azucarera Del Trópico and its Affiliates,” dated concurrently with the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213 and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: June 29, 2022.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy & Negotiations, Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Agreement
- IV. Discussion of the Issues
 - 1. Designation of Certain Transactions as Home Market or U.S. Sales
 - 2. Recordkeeping Issues Surrounding Swap Transactions and Consultations with the Signatories
- V. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2022–14281 Filed 7–5–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–967; C–570–968]

Aluminum Extrusions From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Reviews, and Revocation, in Part, of the Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) is revoking, in part, the antidumping duty (AD) and countervailing duty (CVD) orders on aluminum extrusions from the People's Republic of China (China) with respect to certain rectangular wire.

DATES: Applicable July 6, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark Flessner or Erin Kearney, AD/CVD Operations, Office VI, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone:

(202) 482–6312 or (202) 482–0167, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 26, 2011, Commerce published the AD and CVD orders on aluminum extrusions from China.¹

On January 6, 2014, Commerce issued the final results of changed circumstances reviews (CCR), in which it revoked the *Orders*, in part, based on a request from 3M Company (3M) with regard to a similar product, and added the following language to the scope of the *Orders*:

Also excluded from the scope of the order is certain rectangular wire produced from continuously cast rolled aluminum wire rod, which is subsequently extruded to dimension to form rectangular wire. The product is made from aluminum alloy grade 1070 or 1370, with no recycled metal content allowed. The dimensions of the wire are 5 mm (+/– 0.05 mm) in width and 1.0 mm (+/– 0.02 mm) in thickness. Imports of rectangular wire are provided for under HTSUS category 7605.19.000.²

On March 23, 2022, 3M requested that Commerce initiate CCRs to revoke, in part, the *Orders* with respect to certain rectangular wire, pursuant to section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).³ 3M requested that Commerce exercise its discretion to extend the effective date back by one additional day, setting an effective date of the revocation of the *Orders* to entries entered on or after April 30, 2021. 3M stated that it is a U.S. importer of certain rectangular wire and, as such, is an interested party pursuant to section 771(9)(A) of the Act.

On April 13, 2022, the Aluminum Extrusions Fair Trade Committee (the petitioner) submitted comments in support of partially revoking the *Orders* with regard to the certain rectangular wire defined in the CCR Request.⁴ The petitioner submitted data demonstrating that it represents “substantially all” of

the production of the domestic like product.⁵ The petitioner also supported 3M's request that the partial revocation of the *Orders* with respect to the certain rectangular wire defined in the CCR Request include unliquidated entries of the certain rectangular wire that was entered on or after April 30, 2021.⁶

On May 12, 2022, we published the initiation of the requested CCRs.⁷ In the *Initiation Notice*, we invited interested parties to provide comments and/or factual information regarding these CCRs, including comments on the harmonization of the language of the of the *2014 Revocation in Part* with the certain rectangular wire defined in the current CCR Request, and the setting of an effective date of the partial revocation of the *Orders* to entries entered on or after April 30, 2021.

On May 19, 2022, 3M submitted comments⁸ on the *Initiation Notice*, in which 3M provided revised language to harmonize the language of the products that are the subject of these CCRs with the language that Commerce adopted in the *2014 Revocation in Part* to yield a single exclusion on rectangular wire, as follows:

Also excluded from the scope of the orders is certain rectangular wire, imported in bulk rolls or precut strips and produced from continuously cast rolled aluminum wire rod, which is subsequently extruded to dimension to form rectangular wire with or without rounded edges. The product is made from aluminum alloy grade 1070 or 1370, with no recycled metal content allowed. The dimensions of the wire are 2.95 mm to 6.05 mm in width, and 0.65 mm to 1.25 mm in thickness. Imports of rectangular wire are provided for under HTSUS categories 7605.19.000, 7604.29.1090, or 7616.99.5190.

3M continued to request that Commerce set an effective date of the partial revocation of the *AD Order* to entries entered on or after April 30, 2021 (which had already been supported by the petitioner⁹). On May 20, 2022, the petitioner submitted comments¹⁰ in which it agreed with the harmonization of the language from the *2014 Revocation in Part* with the language proposed by 3M in the CCR

⁵ *Id.* at 2–3.

⁶ *Id.* at 3.

⁷ See *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Initiation of Changed Circumstances Reviews*, 87 FR 29110 (May 12, 2022) (*Initiation Notice*).

⁸ See 3M's Letter, “Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Comments of 3M Regarding Changed Circumstances Review on Certain Rectangular Wire,” dated May 19, 2022 (3M Comments).

⁹ See Petitioner's Support Letter at 3.

¹⁰ See Petitioner's Letter, “Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Letter in Support of 3M Changed Circumstances Review,” dated May 20, 2022.

¹ See *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Antidumping Duty Order*, 76 FR 30650 (May 26, 2011) (*AD Order*); and *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Countervailing Duty Order*, 76 FR 30653 (May 26, 2011) (*CVD Order*) (collectively, *Orders*).

² See *Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Reviews; Partial Revocation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 79 FR 634 (January 6, 2014) (*2014 Revocation in Part*).

³ See 3M's Letter, “Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Changed Circumstances Review Request,” dated March 23, 2022 (CCR Request).

⁴ See Petitioner's Letter, “Aluminum Extrusions from the People's Republic of China: Letter in Support of 3M Changed Circumstances Review Request,” dated April 13, 2022 (Petitioner's Support Letter).