

2002 (Pub. L. 106–393). The meeting is open to the public.

DATES: The meeting will be held on June 24, 2003, 6:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Ravalli County Administration Building, 215 S. 4th Street, Hamilton, Montana. Send written comments to Jeanne Higgins, District Ranger, Stevensville Ranger District, 88 Main Street, Stevensville, MT 59870, by facsimile (406) 777–7423, or electronically to jmhiggins@fs.fed.us.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeanne Higgins, Stevensville District Ranger and Designated Federal Officer, Phone: (406) 777–5461.

Dated: June 12, 2003.

David T. Bull,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 03–15452 Filed 6–18–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Winema and Fremont Resource Advisory Committee

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Winema and Fremont Resource Advisory Committee will meet in Lakeview, Oregon, for the purpose of evaluating and recommending resource management projects for funding in 2004, under the provisions of Title II of the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000.

DATES: The meeting will be held on July 2 and 3, 2003.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held in the conference room of the Lakeview Interagency Office, 1301 South S Street, in Lakeview. Send written comments to Winema and Fremont Resource Advisory Committee, c/o USDA Forest Service, PO Box 67, Paisley, OR 97636, or electronically to waney@fs.fed.us.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: W.C. (Bill) Aney, Designated Federal Official, Paisley Ranger District, Fremont and Winema National Forests, PO Box 67, Paisley, OR 97636, telephone (541) 943–4401.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The agenda will include a review of 2002 and 2003 projects recommended by the RAC, consideration of Title II project proposals for 2004 submitted by the Forest Service, the public, and other agencies, presentations by project proponents, and final recommendations

for funding of fiscal year 2004 projects. All Winema and Fremont Resource Advisory Committee Meetings are open to the public. There will be a time for public input and comment. Interested citizens are encouraged to attend.

Dated: June 6, 2003.

Jane L. Cottrell,

Acting Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 03–15543 Filed 6–18–03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Sunshine Act Meeting

DATE AND TIME: June 23, 2003: 10:30 a.m.—3:45 p.m.

PLACE: Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty, Broadcast Center, Room 546, Prague, Czech Republic.

CLOSED MEETING: The members of the Broadcasting Board of Governors (BBG) will meet in closed session to review and discuss a number of issues relating to U.S. government-funded non-military international broadcasting. They will address internal procedural, budgetary, and personnel issues, as well as sensitive foreign policy issues relating to potential options in the U.S. international broadcasting field. This meeting is closed because if open it likely would either disclose matters that would be properly classified to be kept secret in the interest of foreign policy under the appropriate executive order (5 U.S.C. 552b.(c)(1)) or would disclose information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action. (5 U.S.C. 552b.(c)(9)(B)) In addition, part of the discussion will relate solely to the internal personnel and organizational issues of the BBG or the International Broadcasting Bureau. (5 U.S.C. 5523b(c)(2) and (6)).

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Persons interested in obtaining more information should contact either Brenda Hardnett or Carol Booker at (202) 401–3736.

Dated: June 16, 2003.

Carol Booker,

Legal Counsel.

[FR Doc. 03–15636 Filed 6–17–03; 11:52 am]

BILLING CODE 8230–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–831]

Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of the antidumping duty new shipper review of fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China.

SUMMARY: On April 29, 2003, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of the new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China. The period of review is November 1, 2001, through April 30, 2002. The review covers subject merchandise produced by two companies, of which one, the respondent company in this review, exported the merchandise to the United States.

We invited interested parties to comment on the preliminary results. Because we received no comments, we have made no changes to our preliminary determination that, based on the use of adverse facts available, the respondent sold subject merchandise to the United States at prices below normal value. The final dumping margin for the new shipper review is listed in the "Final Result of Review" section below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 19, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edythe Artman or Mark Ross, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3931 and (202) 482–4794, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 29, 2003, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the notice of preliminary results of this new shipper review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (PRC). See Fresh Garlic from the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty New Shipper Review, 68 FR 22676 (April 29, 2003) (Preliminary Results). We invited interested parties to comment on our preliminary results.

We received no comments.

We have conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(2)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.214 (2001).

Scope of the Order

The products covered by this antidumping duty order are all grades of garlic, whole or separated into constituent cloves, whether or not peeled, fresh, chilled, frozen, provisionally preserved, or packed in water or other neutral substance, but not prepared or preserved by the addition of other ingredients or heat processing. The differences between grades are based on color, size, sheathing, and level of decay.

The scope of this order does not include the following: (a) Garlic that has been mechanically harvested and that is primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use; or (b) garlic that has been specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed.

The subject merchandise is used principally as a food product and for seasoning. The subject garlic is currently classifiable under subheadings 0703.20.0010, 0703.20.0020, 0703.20.0090, 0710.80.7060, 0710.80.9750, 0711.90.6000, and 2005.90.9700 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this order is dispositive. In order to be excluded from the antidumping duty order, garlic entered under the HTSUS subheadings listed above that is (1) mechanically harvested and primarily, but not exclusively, destined for non-fresh use or (2) specially prepared and cultivated prior to planting and then harvested and otherwise prepared for use as seed must be accompanied by declarations to the U.S. Bureau of Customs and Border Protection (Customs) to that effect.

Separate Rate

In the preliminary results, the Department found that Huaiyang Hongda Dehydrated Vegetable Company (Hongda) had submitted documentation and responses that established an absence of both *de jure* and *de facto* control by the Chinese government. We preliminarily determined that Hongda had met the criteria for the application of a separate rate. See Preliminary Results, 68 FR at 22677.

Since the preliminary results, we have not received any information that provides a basis for reconsideration of this determination. Therefore, we find

that Hongda is entitled to a separate rate for purposes of this review.

Use of Adverse Facts Available

In the preliminary results, we recounted the numerous opportunities that we gave Hongda and its supplier to provide the Department with the information necessary to calculate dumping margins for sales of its merchandise and that of its supplier. We issued an original and three supplemental questionnaires to Hongda and requested factors-of-production information from the supplier, Jin Xiang Jin Ma Fruit and Vegetable Products Company, Ltd. (Kima), indirectly through Hongda and in a direct mailing to Kima. Nevertheless, we did not receive detailed information regarding the sales transactions that took place between Kima and Hongda, information regarding the production process of the garlic Hongda purchased from Kima, or factors-of-production information from Kima.

In response to the second supplemental questionnaire, Hongda merely identified Kima as a company that “grows and sells garlic” and stated that it “simply purchased the garlic” from Kima. In response to the third supplemental questionnaire, Hongda claimed, in contradiction to an earlier response, that it had taken possession of the garlic purchased from Kima and processed it before exporting it to the United States. Hongda also stated that Kima was unwilling to provide details on its production process or its factors of production. In support of its response, Hongda submitted a certification from Kima to the effect that it was “unable” to provide the requested information. Hongda provided no reason for this “inability” to respond.

Following the response to the third supplemental questionnaire, we issued a questionnaire directly to Kima in which we requested that it respond to the factors-of-production questionnaire. The company did not respond to our request.

Because Hongda did not provide the Department with the information necessary to calculate a margin on the overwhelming majority of its sales—those involving garlic purchased from Kima, because it did not explain why it could not provide the information for those sales, and because Kima, an “interested party” with respect to these transactions, did not provide the requested information, we preliminarily determined that the use of facts otherwise available was warranted to calculate a margin for all of Hongda’s sales pursuant to section 776(a)(2) of the Act. See Preliminary Results, 68 FR

22678. Furthermore, we found that, by not providing the factors-of-production information for the Kima/Hongda transactions, that neither Hongda nor Kima acted to the best of its ability in providing the Department with the necessary information to calculate a margin. Therefore, pursuant to sections 782(e)(3), (4), and (5) of the Act, the Department did not use the information reported by Hongda and instead applied total adverse facts available to Hongda pursuant to section 776(b) of the Act. See Preliminary Results, 68 FR 22679. Furthermore, we corroborated the adverse facts-available rate, the rate that is currently applicable to all exporters subject to the PRC-wide rate, pursuant to section 776(c) of the Act. See Preliminary Results, 68 FR at 22680.

Since the preliminary results, Hongda has provided no comments on our preliminary results and no additional information concerning the sales under review. We have received no comments or information from other interested parties.

Therefore, we have no basis to reconsider our preliminary determination. According, we are applying adverse facts available to the sales of merchandise produced by Hongda or Kima and exported by Hongda during the period of review.

Final Result of Review

As a result of the application of adverse facts available, we find that a dumping margin of 376.67 percent exists for the period November 1, 2001, through April 30, 2002, on Hongda’s shipments of fresh garlic from the PRC.

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. We will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to Customs within 15 days of publication of these final results of review.

Cash-Deposit Requirements

The following cash-deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this new shipper review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For subject merchandise grown by Hongda or Jin Xiang Jin Ma Fruit and Vegetable Products Co. Ltd. (Kima) and exported by Hongda, the cash-deposit rate will be 376.67 percent; (2) for all other subject merchandise exported by Hongda, the cash-deposit rate will be the PRC countrywide rate, which is 376.67 percent; (3) for all other PRC exporters

which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash-deposit rate will be the PRC countrywide rate; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise that have not been assigned an individual rate, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of that exporter. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Notification

Bonding is no longer permitted to fulfill security requirements for shipments from Hongda of fresh garlic from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption in the United States on or after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Department's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of doubled antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO material or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanctions.

We are issuing and publishing these final results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B) and 777(i) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.214(i)(1) and 351.210(c).

Dated: June 13, 2003.

Jeffrey May,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 03-15524 Filed 6-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-421-807]

Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Netherlands; Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Extension of Time Limit

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limits.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the 2001-2002 administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain hot-rolled carbon steel flat products from the Netherlands. This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States and the period May 3, 2001 through October 31, 2002.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 19, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Melissa Blackledge at (202) 482-3518 or Robert James at (202) 482-0649, Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Office Eight, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 19, 2002, in response to a request from petitioners, Bethlehem Steel Corporation, National Steel Corporation, and United States Steel Corporation, we published a notice of initiation of this administrative review in the **Federal Register**. See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part, 67 FR 78722 (December 26, 2002). Pursuant to the time limits for administrative reviews set forth in section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act), the current deadlines are August 2, 2003 for the preliminary results and November 30, 2003 for the final results. The Department, however, may extend the deadline for completion of the preliminary results of a review if it determines it is not practicable to complete the preliminary results within the statutory time limit. See 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act and section 351.213(h)(2) of the Department's regulations. In this case the Department has determined it is not practicable to complete this review within the statutory time limit because of

significant issues which require additional time to evaluate. These include: Classification of respondent's U.S. sales as constructed export price or export price; examination of further manufacturing in the United States by affiliated persons; and the examination of sales by respondent's many affiliated parties in the U.S. market and in the home market.

Therefore, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until November 30, 2003 in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act. The deadline for the final results of this review will continue to be 120 days after publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: June 12, 2003.

Barbara E. Tillman,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Group III.

[FR Doc. 03-15523 Filed 6-18-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a)(3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Suite 4100W, U.S. Department of Commerce, Franklin Court Building, 1099 14th Street, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 03-024. **Applicant:** The University of Michigan, Materials Science & Engineering Department, 3062 HH Dow Building, 2300 Hayward Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109-2136.

Instrument: Materials Preparation and Crystal Growth System, Model MCGS5. **Manufacturer:** Crystallox Limited, United Kingdom. **Intended Use:** The instrument is intended to be used to melt alloys of precious metals, including ruthenium and platinum, to study their tensile properties, creep properties, diffusion behavior and