management. The agenda for the meeting can be found under the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this notice.

DATES: The meeting will be held on February 10, 2005, from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Council Office Conference Room, Western Pacific Fishery Management Council, 1164 Bishop St., Suite 1400, Honolulu, HI 96813; phone: 808–522–8220.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kitty M. Simonds, Executive Director; phone: 808–522–8220.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The PPT will meet to discuss the following agenda items:

- 1. Introduction
- 2. First meeting of the Western and Central Pacific Fishery Management Commission
- 3. Fishery management options for Pacific bigeye and yellowfin tunas:
 - a. International fisheries
 - b. Domestic fisheries
- 4. Bigeye quota for the Eastern Tropical Pacific Ocean
 - 5. Other business

The order in which the agenda items are addressed may change.

Although non-emergency issues not contained in this agenda may come before the PPT for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during these meetings. PPT action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this document and any issue arising after publication of this document that requires emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take final action to address the emergency.

Special Accommodations

The meeting locations are physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Kitty M. Simonds, 808–522–8220 (voice) or 808–522–8226 (fax), at least five days prior to the meeting date.

Dated: January 21, 2005.

Emily Menashes,

Acting Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service. [FR Doc. 05–1460 Filed 1–26–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–22–S

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board

AGENCY: Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meeting.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Test and Training Subscale and Full-scale Aerial Targets will meet in closed session on February 8–9, 2005, March 14–16, 2005, and April 13–14, 2005, at SAIC, 4001 N. Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA. The Task Force will also meet in closed session on March 14–16, 2005, at Pt. Mugu Naval Air Weapons Station, CA. This Task Force will review the future needs for sub-scale and full-scale aerial targets for developmental and operational testing.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. The Task Force should investigate future aerial threats as well as weapon and sensor capability in the 2005–2020 timeframe, to understand those characteristics necessary to provide effective threat representation. They should also review to what extent other alternatives including modeling and simulation can supplement live target test and training. The Task Force will assess the possibility of common aerial target configuration, control and use that can support testing needs of more than one system or complex system of systems across multiple Services. Included in the review should be the degree of fidelity in threat replication throughout the threat regime required for systems development and effective testing. The Task Force should consider testing needs across the full development cycle from concept development to operational test and evaluation and training.
In accordance with Section 10(d) of

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 2), it has been determined that these Defense Science Board Task Force meetings concern matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) and that, accordingly, these meetings will be closed to the public.

Dated: January 18, 2005.

Jeannette Owings-Ballard,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 05–1462 Filed 1–26–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-06-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board

AGENCY: Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meeting.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Transformation: A Midcourse Assessment (Summer Study 2005) will meet in closed session on February 14-15, 2005; March 24-25, 2005; April 11-12, 2005; and May 10-11, 2005, at IDA, 4850 Park Center Drive, Room 6709, Alexandria, VA. This Task Force will provide an assessment of the Department of Defense's (DoD) continuing transformation process, describing the current status of the DoD's transformation efforts, identify the appropriate transformation objectives, and recommend ways and means to meet the emerging and persistent challenges as identified in the 2004 National Defense Strategy.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings, the Defense Science Board Task Force will review and evaluate the Department's ability to provide information the Secretary of Defense's 2003 Transformation Planning Guidance (TPG) outlined a three-part strategy for transformation: Transformed culture, Transformed processes, and Transformed capabilities. Within the Department's transformation scope and strategy, the Study should consider the following: (1) Focus on important functional concepts and capabilities, such as logistics and battlespace awareness, which provide essential elements to implementing joint concepts; (2) define the scope of the problem and capabilities DoD requires to address challenges of international competitors seeking to develop and possess break though technical capabilities intended to supplant U.S. advantages in particular operational domains; (3) assess the adequacy and effectiveness of DoD's approaches to realize the potential advantages of netcentric operations; (4) focus on DoD's needs to evolving forces to cover the spectrum of military engagement and accomplish the full range of missions; (5) assess the suitability of the structure of the defense industry to the needs of transformation; (6) examine how to adapt DoD's culture to producing