

approval and a notice of any change in these materials will be published in the **Federal Register**.

* * * * *

Dated: May 15, 2002.

John W. Carlin,

Archivist of the United States.

[FR Doc. 02-16047 Filed 6-25-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA261-0344b; FRL-7227-7]

Revisions to the California State Implementation Plan, San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District (SJVUAPCD) portion of the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). These revisions concern volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions from motor vehicle and mobile equipment, can and coil, and wood products coating operations, as well as, VOC emissions from graphic arts and polyester resin operations. We are proposing to approve local rules to regulate these emission sources under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act).

DATES: Any comments on this proposal must arrive by July 26, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Mail comments to Andy Steckel, Rulemaking Office Chief (AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

You can inspect copies of the submitted SIP revisions and EPA's technical support documents (TSDs) at our Region IX office during normal business hours. You may also see copies of the submitted SIP revisions at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,
Stationary Source Division, Rule
Evaluation Section, 1001 "I" Street,
Sacramento, CA 95814; and,
San Joaquin Valley Unified Air
Pollution Control District, 1990 East
Gettysburg Street, Fresno, CA 93726.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Jerald S. Wamsley, Rulemaking Office
(AIR-4), U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency, Region IX, (415) 749-4111.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This
proposal concerns the following

SJVUAPCD rules: Rule 4602—Motor Vehicle and Mobile Equipment Coating Operations; Rule 4604—Can and Coil Coating Operations; Rule 4606—Wood Products Coating Operations; Rule 4607—Graphic Arts; and, Rule 4684—Polyester Resin Operations. In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving these local rules in a direct final action without prior proposal because we believe these SIP revisions are not controversial. If we receive any adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. Please note that if we receive adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, we may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment.

We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is planned. For further information, please see the direct final action.

Dated: May 22, 2002.

Keith Takata,

Associate Regional Administrator, Region IX.

[FR Doc. 02-16034 Filed 6-25-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[PA183-4195b; FRL-7230-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; VOC and NO_x RACT Determinations for Hershey Chocolate USA and Pennsylvania Power Company

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania to establish and require reasonably available control technology (RACT) for Hershey Chocolate USA and Pennsylvania Power Company, New Castle Plant. Hershey Chocolate USA is located in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania and is a major source of nitrogen oxides (NO_x). The Pennsylvania Power Company's New

Castle Plant is located in Lawrence County, Pennsylvania and is a major source of NO_x and volatile organic compounds (VOC). In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the Commonwealth's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. The rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. **DATES:** Comments must be received in writing by July 26, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Air Quality Planning and Information Services Branch, Mailcode 3AP21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources, Bureau of Air Quality Control, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Janice Lewis at (215) 814-2185 or Betty Harris at (215) 814-2168, the EPA Region III address above or by e-mail at lewis.janice@epa.gov or harris.betty@epa.gov. Please note that while questions may be posed via telephone and e-mail, formal comments must be submitted, in writing, as indicated in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information, please see the information provided in the direct final action for Pennsylvania's VOC and NO_x RACT determinations for Hershey Chocolate USA and Pennsylvania Power Company, New Castle Plant, that is located in the "Rules and Regulations" section of this **Federal Register** publication. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment for a specific source or subset of sources covered by an amendment, paragraph, or section of

this rule, only that amendment, paragraph, or section of that source or subset of sources will be withdrawn.

Dated: June 3, 2002.

Thomas C. Voltaggio,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 02-16037 Filed 6-25-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[Docket ID-15-6995b; FRL-7232-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Sandpoint, ID, Air Quality Implementation Plan

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or "we").

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency proposes to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted for the Sandpoint nonattainment area in the State of Idaho.

Sandpoint was classified as nonattainment for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM₁₀) pursuant to the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. As a result, Idaho was required to submit a plan for bringing the area into attainment. This action proposes to approve the plan for Sandpoint submitted on August 16, 1996.

In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's State Implementation Plan submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If the EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before July 26, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to: Donna Deneen (OAQ-107), Office of Air Quality, at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the State's

request and other information supporting this action are available for inspection during normal business hours at the following locations: Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, Office of Air Quality, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101 and the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality, 1420 North Hilton, Boise, Idaho 83706-1255. Interested persons wanting to examine these documents should make an appointment with the appropriate office at least 24 hours before the visiting day.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Donna Deneen (OAQ-107), Office of Air Quality, EPA, 1200 6th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98101, (206) 553-6706.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action rule, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. Please note that if EPA receives adverse comment on an amendment, paragraph, or section of this rule and if that provision may be severed from the remainder of the rule, EPA may adopt as final those provisions of the rule that are not the subject of an adverse comment. For additional information, see the Direct Final rule which is located in the Rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: May 30, 2002.

Ron Kreizenbeck,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region 10.

[FR Doc. 02-16140 Filed 6-25-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[AZ-113-0054b; FRL-7233-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes: Arizona

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve the moderate area plan and maintenance plan for the Payson area in Arizona and grant a request submitted by the State to redesignate the area from nonattainment to attainment for the air quality standards for particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter less than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers (PM₁₀).

DATES: Comments on this proposal must be received by July 26, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Please address your comments to Dave Jesson, Air Planning Office (AIR-2), Air Division, U.S. EPA, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901. You may inspect and copy the rulemaking docket for this document at the EPA Region IX office. We may charge you a reasonable fee for copying parts of the docket.

Copies of the SIP materials are also available for inspection at the address listed below: Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Outreach and Information, First Floor, 3033 N. Central Avenue, Phoenix, AZ 85012-2809

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dave Jesson, Air Planning Office (AIR-2), EPA Region 9, at (415) 972-3957 or: jesson.david@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Rules and Regulations section of this **Federal Register**, we are approving the moderate area plan and maintenance plan for the Payson PM₁₀ nonattainment area. We are also approving the State of Arizona's request to redesignate the area to attainment. We are taking these actions without prior proposal because we believe that the revision and request are not controversial. If we receive adverse comments, however, we will publish a timely withdrawal of the direct final rule and address the comments in subsequent action based on this proposed rule. We do not plan to open a second comment period, so anyone interested in commenting should do so at this time. If we do not receive adverse comments, no further activity is