

- Potential new storage, conveyance, and other water supply infrastructure;
- Potential implementation of voluntary agreements for the update of the Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan;
- Potential responses to drier years and drought conditions such as water transfers and temporary urgency change petitions; and
- Potential needs for new and adapted monitoring programs to address current and future information needs.

Each action alternative will fulfill the requirements of the need for the project as described in the “Purpose and Need for Action” section. Certain components of alternatives may be described programmatically and be subject to further compliance. The Final EIS will identify an agency-preferred alternative. Reclamation will consider reasonable alternatives identified through the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) scoping process and through the input required by Section 4004 of the Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation Act, Public Law 114–322.

Summary of Potential Impacts

The EIS will identify and describe reasonably foreseeable potential effects on the human environment from a reasonably close causal relationship. Effects include those occurring at the same time and place and those occurring later in time or at a different place (whether beneficial or adverse). Potential impacts areas include surface water supply, water quality, groundwater resources, air quality, greenhouse gas emissions, visual resources, aquatic resources, terrestrial biological resources, regional economics, land use and agricultural resources, recreation, hazards and hazardous materials, cultural resources, geology and soils, and climate change.

Reclamation expects to analyze flow management, temperature management, habitat, interactions with CVP and SWP facilities, conservation hatchery practices, and monitoring needs. Reclamation expects these actions to result in incidental take that requires consultation due to the potential to affect ESA-listed species. Reclamation intends to pursue the conference process for Longfin Smelt. Reclamation also anticipates analyzing differences in water supply deliveries and surplus power generation. The EIS will analyze measures that would avoid, minimize, or mitigate adverse environmental effects.

Statutory Authority and Anticipated Permits

NEPA [42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*] requires that Federal agencies conduct an environmental analysis of their proposed actions to determine if the actions may significantly affect the human environment. The EIS will analyze the environmental effects that may result from the implementation of the proposed action and alternatives. In addition to NEPA, various other Federal, state, and local authorizations may be required for the Proposed Action. Applicable Federal laws include, but are not limited to, ESA, Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, National Historic Preservation Act, and Clean Water Act.

Schedule for the Decision-Making Process

Reclamation will review and consider comments received during scoping and will prepare a scoping report. After the draft EIS is completed, anticipated in 2023, Reclamation will publish a notice of availability (NOA) and request public comments on the draft EIS. After the public comment period ends, Reclamation will then develop the final EIS and anticipates making the final EIS available to the public in 2024. In accordance with 40 CFR 1506.11, Reclamation will not make a decision or issue a Record of Decision (ROD) sooner than 30 days after the final EIS is released. Reclamation anticipates the issuance of a ROD by October 2024.

NEPA Cooperating Agencies

Reclamation will request the following Federal agencies to participate as cooperating agencies in accordance with the NEPA:

- USFWS,
- NMFS,
- Western Area Power

Administration,

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers; and
- U.S. Environmental Protection

Agency.

Reclamation may invite additional Federal, state, and local agencies (*e.g.*, DWR, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, State Water Resources Control Board, Public Water Agencies) as potential cooperating agencies.

Indian Trust Assets and Environmental Justice

Reclamation will consult with federally recognized Indian tribes in the project area to request their input regarding the identification of any properties to which they might attach religious and cultural significance to within the area of potential effect. Once

these areas are determined, Reclamation will enter government-to-government consultations with potentially affected tribes to identify and address concerns for Indian Trust Assets. There are Indian Trust Assets affected by the Trinity River Division and the potential impacts of CVP operation on those assets will be examined in the EIS. The EIS will examine the potential impacts to environmental justice issues throughout the project area.

Public Disclosure

Before including your address, phone number, email address or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment, including your personal identifying information, may be made publicly available at any time. While you can ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so. Speakers during scoping meetings are recorded.

How To Request Reasonable Accommodation

For special assistance at one of the scoping meetings, please contact Cindy Meyer (above) or TDD 916–978–5608, at least five working days before the meetings. Information regarding this proposed action is available in alternative formats upon request.

Ernest Conant,

Regional Director, California Great Basin Region.

[FR Doc. 2022–04160 Filed 2–25–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332–90–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1253]

Certain LTE-Compliant Cellular Communication Devices; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainant’s Motion To Terminate the Investigation Based on Withdrawal of the Complaint Allegations; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 36) of the presiding Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) granting complainant’s motion to

terminate the investigation in its entirety based on withdrawal of the complaint allegations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Liberman, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2392. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 8, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based on a complaint filed by Evolved Wireless, LLC of Austin, Texas ("Evolved"). 86 FR 13399-400 (Mar. 8, 2021). The complaint alleged a violation of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain LTE-compliant cellular communication devices by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. RE46,679; RE48,326 ("the '326 patent"); and 10,517,120 ("the '120 patent"). The complaint also alleged the existence of a domestic industry. The notice of investigation named Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. of Gyeonggi-Do, Republic of Korea; Samsung Electronics America, Inc. of Ridgefield Park, New Jersey; and Motorola Mobility LLC of Chicago, Illinois as respondents. *Id.* at 13400. The Commission's Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party in this investigation. *Id.* Subsequently, the Commission terminated all asserted claims of the '120 patent and claims 19 and 20 of the '326 patent from the investigation by reason of withdrawal of the complaint allegations. Order No. 15 (Aug. 26, 2021), *unreviewed* by Notice (Sep. 24, 2021); Order No. 26 (Dec. 3, 2021), *unreviewed* by Notice (Dec. 20, 2021).

On January 13, 2022, complainant Evolved filed an unopposed motion to terminate this investigation by reason of withdrawal of complaint allegations under Commission Rule 210.21(a), 19 CFR 210.21(a). On January 19, 2022, the Commission investigative attorney filed

a contingent statement of support of the motion.

On January 31, 2022, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 36) granting complainant's motion. The ID finds that there are no extraordinary circumstances that would prevent the requested termination of this investigation. The ID also finds Evolved has complied with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(a). No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on February 22, 2022.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR part 210.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 22, 2022.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022-04097 Filed 2-25-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1239]

Certain Gabapentin Immunoassay Kits and Test Strips, Components Thereof, and Methods Therefor; Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Terminating a Final Respondent Based on Settlement; Request for Written Submissions on Remedy, the Public Interest, and Bonding

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 29) terminating the final, non-defaulting respondent, Shanghai Chemtron Biotech Co. Ltd., in the above-captioned investigation based on settlement. The Commission has further determined to find that the complainants' declaration seeking immediate relief against a respondent previously found to be in default is moot. The Commission also requests written submissions from the parties, interested government agencies, and

interested persons on remedy, the public interest, and bonding concerning the defaulted respondent.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lynde Herzbach, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3228. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 25, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 ("section 337"), based on a complaint filed by ARK Diagnostics, Inc. of Fremont, California ("ARK"). *See* 86 FR 6918-19. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges a violation of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, sale for importation, or sale after importation into the United States of certain gabapentin immunoassay kits and test strips, components thereof, and methods therefor by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,828,665 and 10,203,345. *Id.* The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.* The notice of investigation names fourteen respondents, including Shanghai Chemtron Biotech Co., Ltd. of Shanghai, China ("Shanghai Chemtron") and Kappa City Biotech, SAS of Montluçon, France ("Kappa City"). *See id.* The complaint and notice of investigation were later amended to add two respondents. Order No. 8 (March 9, 2021), *unreviewed* by 86 FR 16640-41 (March 30, 2021).

The Commission previously terminated six respondents based on consent orders. Order Nos. 11 and 12 (Mar. 31, 2021), *unreviewed* by Comm'n Notice (Apr. 15, 2021); Order No. 14 (April 9, 2021), *unreviewed* by Comm'n Notice (Apr. 22, 2021); Order No. 15 (April 12, 2021), *unreviewed* by Comm'n Notice (May 12, 2021). The Commission also previously terminated three respondents based on settlement agreements. Order No. 13 (Apr. 5, 2021), *unreviewed* by Comm'n Notice (Apr. 19, 2021); Order No. 17 (May 5, 2021),