

Citation 30 CFR Part 256	Reporting requirement	Hour burden
56	Provide plan/instructions to fund lease-specific abandonment account and related information; request approval to withdraw funds.	12.
57	Provide third-party guarantee, indemnity agreement, financial information, related notices, reports, and annual update; notify MMS if guarantor becomes unqualified.	19.
57(d)(3); 58	Notice of and request approval to terminate period of liability, cancel bond, or other security.	1/2.
59(c)(2)	Provide information to demonstrate lease will be brought into compliance.	16.
Subpart J: 62; 64; 65; 67	File application and required information for assignment or transfer for approval/comment on filing fee (forms MMS-150 and MMS-151).	1.
64(a)(7);	File required instruments creating or transferring working interests, etc., for record purposes.	1.
64(a)(8)	Submit non-required documents, for record purposes, which respondents want MMS to file with the lease document.	Accepted on behalf of lessees as a service, MMS does not require nor need the filings.
Subpart K: 76	File written request for relinquishment (form MMS-152)	1.
77(c)	Comment on lease cancellation (MMS expects 1 in 10 years)	1.

Estimated Reporting and Recordkeeping "Non-Hour Cost"

Burden: The currently approved "non-hour cost" burden for this information collection is a total of \$537,000. This cost burden is for filing fees associated with submitting requests for approval of instruments of transfer (\$170 per application) or to file non-required documents for record purposes (\$25 per filing).

Public Disclosure Statement: The PRA (44 U.S.C. 3501, *et seq.*) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Until OMB approves a collection of information, you are not obligated to respond.

Comments: Before submitting an ICR to OMB, PRA section 3506(c)(2)(A) requires each agency " * * * to provide notice * * * and otherwise consult with members of the public and affected agencies concerning each proposed collection of information * * * ". Agencies must specifically solicit comments to: (a) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the agency to perform its duties, including whether the information is useful; (b) evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information; (c) enhance the quality, usefulness, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) minimize the burden on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Agencies must also estimate the "non-hour cost" burdens to respondents or recordkeepers resulting from the collection of information. Therefore, if

you have costs to generate, maintain, and disclose this information, you should comment and provide your total capital and startup cost components or annual operation, maintenance, and purchase of service components. You should describe the methods you use to estimate major cost factors, including system and technology acquisition, expected useful life of capital equipment, discount rate(s), and the period over which you incur costs. Capital and startup costs include, among other items, computers and software you purchase to prepare for collecting information, monitoring, and record storage facilities. You should not include estimates for equipment or services purchased: (i) Before October 1, 1995; (ii) to comply with requirements not associated with the information collection; (iii) for reasons other than to provide information or keep records for the Government; or (iv) as part of customary and usual business or private practices.

We will summarize written responses to this notice and address them in our submission for OMB approval. As a result of your comments, we will make any necessary adjustments to the burden in our submission to OMB.

Public Comment Procedures: MMS's practice is to make comments, including names and addresses of respondents, available for public review. If you wish your name and/or address to be withheld, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. MMS will honor this request to the extent allowable by law; however, anonymous comments will not be considered. There may be circumstances in which we would withhold from the record a respondent's identity, as

allowable by the law. If you wish us to withhold your name and/or address, you must state this prominently at the beginning of your comment. In addition, you must present a rationale for withholding this information. This rationale must demonstrate that disclosure "would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy." Unsupported assertions will not meet this burden. In the absence of exceptional, documentable circumstances, this information will be released. All submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, will be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

MMS Information Collection Clearance Officer: Arlene Bajusz, (202) 208-7744.

Dated: August 8, 2006.

E.P. Danenberger,
Chief, Office of Offshore Regulatory Programs.
[FR Doc. E6-13383 Filed 8-15-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-541]

In the Matter of Certain Power Supply Controllers and Products Containing Same; Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade

Commission has issued a limited exclusion order in the above-captioned investigation directed against products of respondent System General Corporation ("SG") of Taipei, Taiwan. The investigation is terminated.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michelle Walters, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708-5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 13, 2005, the Commission instituted this investigation, based on a complaint filed by Power Integrations, Inc. ("PI") of San Jose, California. 70 FR 34149 (June 13, 2005). The complaint, as amended and supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain power supply controllers and products containing the same. The Commission determined that SG violated section 337 by reason of infringement of claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 of United States Patent No. 6,351,398 ("the '398 patent") and claims 26 and 27 of United States Patent No. 6,538,908 ("the '908 patent"). The Commission requested written submissions from the parties relating to the appropriate remedy, whether the statutory public interest factors preclude issuance of that remedy, and the amount of bond to be imposed during the Presidential review period. All parties filed written submissions.

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the written submissions of the parties, the Commission has made its determination on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. The Commission has determined that the appropriate form of relief is a limited exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry

of power supply controllers that infringe one or more of claims 1, 3, 5, and 6 of the '398 patent or claims 26 and 27 of the '908 patent and that are manufactured by or on behalf of SG, its affiliated companies, parents, subsidiaries, licensees, contractors, or other related business entities, or successors or assigns. The Commission has also determined to prohibit the unlicensed entry of LCD computer monitors, AC printer adapters, and sample/demonstration boards containing such infringing power supply controllers.

The Commission further determined that the public interest factors enumerated in section 337(d)(1) (19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1)) do not preclude issuance of the limited exclusion order. Finally, the Commission determined that the amount of bond to permit temporary importation during the Presidential review period (19 U.S.C. 1337(j)) shall be in the amount of thirty-eight (38) cents per power supply controller circuit or LCD computer monitor, AC printer adapter, or sample/demonstration board containing the same that are subject to the order. The Commission's order was delivered to the President and the United States Trade Representative on the day of its issuance.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.50).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: August 11, 2006.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. E6-13512 Filed 8-15-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Public Meeting by Teleconference Concerning Heavy Duty Diesel Engine Consent Decrees

The Department of Justice and the Environmental Protection Agency will hold a public meeting on September 13, 2006 at 10 a.m. by teleconference. The subject of the meeting will be implementation of the provisions of the seven consent decrees signed by the United States and diesel engine manufacturers and entered by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia on July 1, 1999 (*United States v. Caterpillar*, Case No. 1:98CV02544; *United States v. Navistar*

International Transportation Corporation, Case No. 1:98CV02545; *United States v. Cummins Engine Company*, Case No. 1:98CV02546; *United States v. Detroit Diesel Corporation*, Case No. 1:98CV02548; *United States v. Volvo Truck Corporation*, Case No. 1:98CV02547; *United States v. Mack Trucks, Inc.*, Case No. 1:98CV01495; and *United States v. Renault Vehicles Industries, S.A.*, Case No. 1:98CV02543). In supporting entry by the court of the decrees, the United States committed to meet periodically with states, industry groups, environmental groups, and concerned citizens to discuss consent decree implementation issues. Future meetings will be announced here and on EPA's Diesel Engine Settlement Web site at: <http://www.epa.gov/compliance/resources/cases/civil/caa/diesel/index.html>.

Interested parties should contact the Environmental Protection Agency at the address listed below prior to the meeting to reserve a telephone line and receive instructions for the call.

Agenda

1. Panel Remarks—10 a.m.

Remarks by DOJ and EPA regarding implementation of the provisions of the diesel engine consent decrees.

2. Public comments and questions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Anne Wick, EPA Diesel Engine Consent Decree Coordinator, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (Mail Code 2242A), 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20460; e-mail: wick.anne@epa.gov.

Karen S. Dworkin,

Assistant Chief, Environment & Natural Resources Division, Environmental Enforcement Section.

[FR Doc. 06-6943 Filed 8-15-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Second Amendment to Consent Decree Involving Point Ruston, LLC and Asarco LLC Under the Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that on July 27, 2006, a proposed amendment to the existing consent decree (the "Second Amendment") in *United States v. Asarco Inc.*, Civil Action No. C91-5528B was lodged with the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington.

This Second Amendment involves the potential sales of property owned by