

sharing software, and associated components thereof”;

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(4) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Advanced Ground Information Systems, Inc., 92 Lighthouse Dr., Jupiter, FL 33469

AGIS Software Development LLC, 100 West Houston Street, Marshall, TX 75671

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Google LLC, 1600 Amphitheatre

Parkway, Mountain View, CA 94043

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., 12 Samsung-Ro, Maetan-3dong, Yeongtong-gu, Suwon, 443-742, Republic of Korea

Samsung Electronics America, Inc., 85 Challenger Rd., Ridgefield Park, New Jersey 07660

OnePlus Technology (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd., 18F, Tairan Building, Block C, Tairan 8th Road, Chongmiao, Futian District, Shenzhen, Guangdong 518040, China

TCL Technology Group Corporation, 22/F, TCL Technology, Building, No. 17, Huifeng 3rd Road, Zhongkai High-Tech Development District, Huizhou, Guangdong, China 516006

TCL Electronics Holdings Limited, 7th Floor, Building 22E, 22 Science Park East Avenue, Hong Kong Science Park, Hong Kong

TCL Communication Technology Holdings, Limited, 5/F, Building 22E, 22 Science Park East Avenue, Hong Kong Science Park, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong

TCT Mobile (US) Inc., 25 Edelman, Suite 200, Irvine, CA 92618

Lenovo Group Ltd., 6 Chuang ye Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100085, China

Lenovo (United States) Inc., 1009 Think Place, Building One, Morrisville, NC 27560

Motorola Mobility LLC, 222 W Merchandise Mart Plaza, Suite 1800, Chicago, IL 60654

HMD Global, Karaportti 2, FIN-02610, Espoo, Finland

HMD Global OY, Bertel Jungin aukio 9, 02600, Espoo, Finland

HMD America, Inc., 1200 Brickell Ave., Suite 510, Miami, FL 33131

Sony Corporation, 1-7-1 Konan Minato-ku, Tokyo, 108-0075, Japan

Sony Mobile Communications, Inc., 4-12-3 Higashi-Shinagawa, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo, 140-0002, Japan

ASUSTek Computer Inc., No. 15, Li-Te Rd., Beitou Dist., Taipei 112, Taiwan

ASUS Computer International, 48720 Kato Rd., Fremont, CA 94538

BLU Products, 10814 NW 33rd Street, Doral, FL 33172

Panasonic Corporation, 1006 Oaza

Kadoma-shi, Kadoma 571-8501, Osaka, Japan

Panasonic Corporation of North America, 1 Panasonic Way, Secaucus, New Jersey 07094

Kyocera Corporation, 6 Takeda Tobadono-cho, Fushimi-ku, Kyoto, Japan 612-8501

Xiaomi Corporation, Maples Corporate Services Limited, P.O. Box 309, Ugland House, Grand Cayman, KY1-1104, Cayman Islands

Xiaomi H.K. Ltd., Unit 806, Tower 2 8/F, Cheung Sha Wan Plaza, 833 Cheung Sha Wan Road, Kowloon City, Hong Kong

Xiaomi Communications Co., Ltd., Xiaomi Office Building, 68 Qinghe Middle Street, Haidian District, Beijing, China 100085

Xiaomi Inc., Xiaomi Office Building, 68 Qinghe Middle Street, Haidian District, Beijing, China 100085

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(5) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint, as supplemented, and the notice of institution of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(e) and 210.13(a), as amended in 85 FR 15798 (March 19, 2020), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the complainants of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for

submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 22, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022-28408 Filed 12-29-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-1266]

Certain Wearable Electronic Devices With ECG Functionality and Components Thereof; Notice of the Commission's Final Determination Finding a Violation of Section 337; Issuance and Suspension of a Limited Exclusion Order and a Cease and Desist Order; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined that there is a violation of section 337 in the above-captioned investigation. The Commission has further determined to issue a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order and to set a bond in the amount of \$2 per unit of covered articles imported or sold during the period of Presidential review. The enforcement of these orders, including the bond provision, is suspended pending final resolution of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board’s (“PTAB”) Final Written Decisions finding the asserted patent claims unpatentable.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Panyin A. Hughes, Office of the General

Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 26, 2021, the Commission instituted this investigation based on a complaint filed by AliveCor, Inc. of Mountain View, California ("AliveCor"). 86 FR 28382 (May 26, 2021). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain wearable electronic devices with ECG functionality and components thereof by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1-30 of U.S. Patent No. 10,595,731 ("the '731 patent"); claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 10,638,941 ("the '941 patent"); and claims 1-4, 6-14, 16-20 of U.S. Patent No. 9,572,499 ("the '499 patent"). *Id.* The Commission's notice of investigation named Apple Inc. of Cupertino, California ("Apple") as the sole respondent. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations ("OUII") is named as a party in this investigation. *Id.*

On February 23, 2022, the ALJ issued an initial determination granting AliveCor's motion to terminate the investigation as to (1) claims 1-4, 6-14, and 18-20 of the '499 patent; (2) claims 2, 4, 6, 7, 11, 13, 14, and 17-30 of the '731 patent; and (3) claims 1-11, 14, 15, 17, and 18 of the '941 patent based upon withdrawal of allegations from the complaint as to those claims. Order No. 16 (Feb. 23, 2022), *unreviewed by* Notice (Mar. 18, 2022).

On June 27, 2022, the ALJ issued the final initial determination ("ID") finding a violation of section 337 as to the '941 and '731 patents, and no violation of section 337 as to the '499 patent. The ID found that the parties do not contest personal jurisdiction and that the Commission has *in rem* jurisdiction over the accused products. ID at 18. The ID further found that the importation requirement under 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(1)(B) is satisfied. *Id.* (citing CX-0904C (Apple stipulating that it imports

the accused products into the United States)). Regarding the '941 patent, the ID found that AliveCor has proven infringement of the asserted claims, claims 12, 13, 19, and 20-23, and that Apple failed to show that any of the asserted claims are invalid. *Id.* at 30-45, 60-98. For the '731 patent, the ID found that AliveCor has proven infringement of the asserted claims, claims 1, 3, 5, 8-10, 12, 15, and 16, but that Apple has proven that claims 1, 8, 12, and 16 are invalid for obviousness. *Id.* at 105-108, 113-127. For the '499 patent, the ID found that AliveCor failed to prove infringement of the asserted claims, claims 16 and 17, and that claim 17 is invalid for lack of patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101. *Id.* at 129-138, 140-152. Finally, the ID found that AliveCor has proven the existence of a domestic industry that practices the asserted patents as required by 19 U.S.C. 1337(a)(2). *Id.* at 152-183. The ID included the ALJ's recommended determination on remedy and bonding ("RD"). The RD recommended that, should the Commission find a violation, issuance of a limited exclusion order and a cease and desist order would be appropriate. ID/RD at 190-193. The RD also recommended imposing no bond for covered products imported during the period of Presidential review. ID at 193-95.

On July 11, 2022, Apple filed a petition for review of the ID, and AliveCor filed a combined petition and contingent petition for review of the ID. On July 19, 2022, the private parties and OUII's investigative attorney filed responses to the petitions.

On September 22, 2022, the Commission determined to review the final ID in part. 87 FR 58819-21 (Sept. 28, 2022). Specifically, the Commission determined to review the final ID's invalidity findings, including patent eligibility under 35 U.S.C. 101 and obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103, and the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement for all three patents. *Id.* The Commission requested briefing from the parties on certain issues under review. The Commission requested briefing from the parties, interested government agencies, and interested persons on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. *Id.*

On October 6, 2022, the parties filed initial submissions in response to the Commission's request for briefing. On October 14, 2022, the parties filed reply submissions. On October 21, 2022, Apple moved for leave to file a sur-reply to AliveCor's reply submission. On October 24, 2022, AliveCor filed an opposition. OUII filed a response in opposition on November 2, 2022.

The Commission has determined to deny Apple's motion for leave to file a sur-reply to AliveCor's reply submission.

On December 7, 2022, Apple filed an emergency motion, asking "the Commission to suspend any remedial orders or, in the alternative, extend the December 12, 2022 Target Date of its Final Determination and stay all proceedings prior to issuance of any Final Determination pending final resolution of any appeal of the PTAB's decisions" finding the asserted patent claims unpatentable. Apple Emergency Motion at 1. On December 9, 2022, AliveCor filed an opposition to Apple's motion. On December 16, 2022, OUII filed a response in support of Apple's motion, but only to the extent that any remedy the Commission issues be suspended pending appeal of the PTAB decisions. OUII Reply to Emergency Motion at 4.

Upon review of the parties' submissions, the ID, the RD, evidence of record, and public interest filings, the Commission has determined that Apple violated section 337 by reason of importation and sale of articles that infringe asserted claims 12, 13, and 19-23 of the '941 patent; and claims 1, 3, 5, 8-10, 12, 15, and 16 of the '731 patent. Regarding the issues under review, the Commission has determined to affirm the ID's economic prong of the domestic industry findings with the modifications described in the accompanying Commission opinion. Concerning invalidity, the Commission has determined to affirm the ID's patent eligibility findings under 35 U.S.C. 101 as to one claim with modifications explained in the Commission opinion and reverse as to another; and to correct the ID for not considering objective indicia of non-obviousness for certain asserted claims. For remedy, the Commission has determined to issue a limited exclusion order prohibiting further importation of infringing products and a cease and desist order against Apple. The Commission has determined that the public interest factors do not counsel against issuing remedial orders. The Commission has determined that a bond in the amount of \$2 per unit of covered articles is required for covered products imported or sold during the period of Presidential review.

The enforcement of these orders, including the bond provision, is suspended pending final resolution of the PTAB's Final Written Decisions finding the asserted patent claims unpatentable. *See* 35 U.S.C. 318(b); *Apple, Inc. v. AliveCor, Inc.*, IPR2021-00971, Patent 10,595,731, Final Written

Decision Determining All Challenged Claims Unpatentable (Dec. 6, 2022); *Apple, Inc. v. AliveCor, Inc.*, IPR2021–00972, Patent 10,638,941, Final Written Decision Determining All Challenged Claims Unpatentable (Dec. 6, 2022).

The Commission's vote on this determination took place on December 22, 2022.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: December 22, 2022.

Katherine Hiner,

Acting Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2022–28409 Filed 12–29–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1190–0018]

Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection; eComments Requested; Extension of a Currently Approved Collection; Immigration-Related Unfair Employment Practices Charge Form (IER–1)

AGENCY: Civil Rights Division, Department of Justice.

ACTION: 30-Day notice.

SUMMARY: The Civil Rights Division, Department of Justice, will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

DATES: The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until January 30, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Alberto Ruisanchez, Deputy Special Counsel, USDOJ–CRT–OSC, 950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW–4CON, Washington, DC 20530 or via phone at 202–305–1291. Written comments and/or suggestions can also be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503 or sent to OIRA_submissions@omb.eop.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Written comments and/or suggestions are requested from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the function of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and, if so, how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Overview of This Information Collection:

1. Type of Information Collection:

Extension of Currently Approved Collection.

2. The Title of the Form/Collection:

Title of the Form/Collection: IER Charge Form.

3. Agency form number, if any, and agency component sponsoring the collection:

Agency form number: Form IER–1.

Component Sponsor: Civil Rights Division, Department of Justice.

4. Affected public who will be asked to respond, as well as a brief abstract:

Primary: The Immigrant and Employee Rights Section (IER) enforces the anti-discrimination provision (§ 274B) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. 1324b. The statute prohibits: (1) citizenship or immigration status discrimination in hiring, firing, or recruitment or referral for a fee, (2) national origin discrimination in hiring, firing, or recruitment or referral for a fee, (3) unfair documentary practices during the employment eligibility verification process (Form I–9 and E-Verify), and (4) retaliation or intimidation for asserting rights covered by the statute. IER, within the Department's Civil Rights Division, investigates and, where reasonable cause is found, litigates charges alleging discrimination. IER also initiates independent investigations, at times based on information developed during individual charge investigations. Independent investigations normally

involve alleged discriminatory policies that potentially affect many employees or applicants. These investigations may result in complaints alleging a pattern or practice of discriminatory activity. If the Department lacks jurisdiction over a particular charge but believes another agency has jurisdiction over the claim, IER forwards the charge to the applicable Federal, state or local agency for any action deemed appropriate.

5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* It is estimated that 320 individuals will complete each form annually; each response will be completed in approximately 30 minutes.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are an estimated 160 total annual burden hours associated with this collection.

If additional information is required contact: Robert Houser, Department Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Justice Management Division, United States Department of Justice, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, Suite 3E.206, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: December 22, 2022.

Robert Houser,

Department Clearance Officer, Policy and Planning Staff, Office of the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 2022–28209 Filed 12–29–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–13–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[NRC–2022–0001]

Sunshine Act Meetings

TIME AND DATE: Weeks of January 2, 9, 16, 23, 30, February 6, 2023. The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the internet at: <https://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/public-meetings/schedule.html>.

PLACE: The NRC provides reasonable accommodation to individuals with disabilities where appropriate. If you need a reasonable accommodation to participate in these public meetings or need this meeting notice or the transcript or other information from the public meetings in another format (e.g., braille, large print), please notify Anne Silk, NRC Disability Program Specialist, at 301–287–0745, by videophone at 240–428–3217, or by email at Anne.Silk@nrc.gov. Determinations on