

Desk at 202-205-3347 or  
EDISHELP@usitc.gov.

By Order of the Commission.

Issued: March 27, 2006

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E6-4732 Filed 3-30-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Advisory Committee for Engineering; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

*Name:* Advisory Committee for Engineering (1170).

*Date/Time:* May 3, 2006, 8 a.m.-5 p.m.; May 4, 2006, 8 a.m.-1 p.m.

*Place:* National Science Foundation, Room 1235.

*Type of Meeting:* Open.

*Contact Person:* Deborah Young, Administrative Officer, 703-292-8301.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice, recommendations and counsel on major goals and policies pertaining to engineering programs and activities.

*Agenda:* The principal focus of the forthcoming meeting will be on strategic issues, both for the Directorate and the Foundation as a whole. The Committee will also address matters relating to the future of the engineering profession and engineering education.

Dated: March 27, 2006.

**Susanne Bolton,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 06-3128 Filed 3-30-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-M**

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### Proposal Review Panel for Materials Research; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463 as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

*Name:* Proposal Review Panel for Materials Research #1203.

*Dates and Times:* May 16, 2006; 7:30 a.m.-9 p.m.; May 17, 2006; 8 a.m.-3 p.m.

*Place:* University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE.

*Type of Meeting:* Part-Open.

*Contact Person:* Dr. Thomas Rieker, Program Director, Materials Research Science and Engineering Centers Program, Division of Materials Research, Room 1065, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, Telephone (703) 292-4914.

*Purpose of Meeting:* To provide advice and recommendations concerning further support of the Materials Research Science and Engineering Center (MRSEC).

#### Agenda:

*Tuesday, May 16, 2006*

7:45 a.m.-8:45 a.m. Closed—Executive Session.

8:45 a.m.-4:30 p.m. Open—Review of the Materials Research Science and Engineering Center at the University of Nebraska.

4:30 p.m.-5:45 p.m. Closed—Executive Session.

7 p.m.-9 p.m. Open—Dinner.

*Wednesday, May 17, 2006*

8 a.m.-9 a.m. Closed—Executive Session.

9 a.m.-9:45 a.m. Open—Review of the Materials Research Science and Engineering Center at the University of Nebraska.

9:45 a.m.-3 p.m. Closed—Executive Session, Draft and Review Report.

*Reason for Closing:* The work being reviewed may include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: March 28, 2006

**Susanne Bolton,**

*Committee Management Officer.*

[FR Doc. 06-3129 Filed 3-30-06; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7555-01-M**

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to OMB and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:*

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR part 60—"Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in Geologic Repositories".

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0127.

3. *How often the collection is required:* The information need only be submitted one time.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* State or Indian Tribes, or their representatives, requesting consultation with the NRC staff regarding review of a potential high-level radioactive waste geologic repository site, or wishing to participate in a license application review for a potential geologic repository (other than a potential geologic repository site at Yucca Mountain, Nevada, currently under investigation by the U.S. Department of Energy, which is now regulated under 10 CFR part 63).

5. *The number of annual respondents:* 1; however none are expected in the next three years.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 1; however, none are expected in the next three years.

7. *Abstract:* Part 60 requires States and Indian Tribes to submit certain information to the NRC if they request consultation with the NRC staff concerning the review of a potential repository site, or wish to participate in a license application review for a potential repository (other than the Yucca Mountain, Nevada site proposed by the U.S. Department of Energy). Representatives of States or Indian Tribes must submit a statement of their authority to act in such a representative capacity. The information submitted by the States and Indian Tribes is used by the Director of the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards as a basis for decisions about the commitment of NRC staff resources to the consultation and participation efforts. As provided in § 60.1, the regulations in 10 CFR, Part 60 no longer apply to the licensing of a geologic repository at Yucca Mountain. All of the information collection requirements pertaining to Yucca Mountain were included in 10 CFR part 63, and were approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 3150-0199. The Yucca Mountain site is regulated under 10 CFR part 63 (66 FR 55792, November 2, 2001).

Submit, by May 30, 2006, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?