

fire extinguishing agent and consequent uncontained fire in the aft cargo compartment, accomplish the following:

Service Bulletin References

(a) The term "service bulletin," as used in this AD, means the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Service Bulletin 747-26A2270, Revision 1, dated January 16, 2003.

Inspection/Pressure Test

(b) Within 6,500 flight hours or 18 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, perform the detailed inspection specified in paragraph (b)(1) of this AD or the pressure test specified in paragraph (b)(2) of this AD.

Note 1: For the purposes of this AD, a detailed inspection is defined as: "An intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

(1) Perform a detailed inspection of the fire extinguishing system tube and clamps for correct installation, either using an inspection hole and boroscope or with the floor panel removed, per the service bulletin.

(i) If the fire extinguishing system tube is installed correctly, no further action is required by this AD.

(ii) If the fire extinguishing system tube is installed incorrectly, prior to further flight, do the actions specified in paragraph (c) of this AD.

(2) Perform a pressure test of the fire extinguishing system tube to check for leakage of the fire extinguishing agent per the service bulletin.

(i) If leakage is not found, repeat the pressure test thereafter at intervals not to exceed 6,500 flight hours or 18 months, whichever occurs first, until the actions specified in paragraph (b)(1) or (c) of this AD have been done.

(ii) If any leakage is found, prior to further flight, do the actions specified in paragraph (c) of this AD.

Removal and Installation/Repair/Replace

(c) Remove the fire extinguishing system tube and do the actions in paragraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) of this AD, as applicable.

(1) If, during the detailed inspection specified in paragraph (b)(1) of this AD, the fire extinguishing system tube was found to be installed incorrectly: Prior to further flight, perform a detailed inspection of the fire extinguishing system tube for chafing/damage per the service bulletin.

(i) If no chafing/damage is found, prior to further flight, install the existing fire extinguishing system tube per Figure 3 of the service bulletin.

(ii) If any chafing/damage is found, prior to further flight, replace the fire extinguishing system tube with a new tube or repair the fire extinguishing system tube, per the service bulletin, and install the new or repaired tube per Figure 3 of the service bulletin.

(2) If, during the pressure test required by paragraph (b)(2) of this AD, leakage was found: Prior to further flight, replace the fire extinguishing system tube with a new tube or repair the fire extinguishing system tube, per the service bulletin, and install the new or repaired tube per Figure 3 of the service bulletin.

Terminating Action

(d) Accomplishment of the actions specified in paragraph (b)(1) or (c) of this AD constitutes terminating action for the requirements of this AD.

Actions Accomplished Per Previous Issue of Service Bulletin

(e) Inspections, repetitive tests and corrective actions accomplished before the effective date of this AD per Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-26A2270, dated May 8, 2002, are considered acceptable for compliance with the corresponding action specified in this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(f) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on November 26, 2003.

Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03-30115 Filed 12-2-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2003-NM-60-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-15, DC-9-31, and DC-9-32 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-15, DC-9-31, and DC-9-32 airplanes. This proposal would require repetitive visual and x-ray inspections to detect cracks of the upper and lower corners and upper center of the door cutout of the aft pressure bulkhead; corrective actions, if necessary; and follow-on actions. For certain airplanes, the proposal also would require modification of the ventral aft pressure bulkhead. This action is necessary to

detect and correct fatigue cracks in the corners and upper center of the door cutout of the aft pressure bulkhead, which could result in rapid decompression of the fuselage and consequent reduced structural integrity of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by January 20, 2004.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003-NM-60-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2003-NM-60-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 or 2000 or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Aircraft Group, Long Beach Division, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Data and Service Management, Dept. C1-L5A (D800-0024). This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Wahib Mina, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712-4137; telephone (562) 627-5324; fax (562) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the

proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.
- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.
- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this action must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2003-NM-60-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2003-NM-60-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

The FAA has received reports indicating that the repetitive x-ray inspections required by AD 85-01-02 R1, amendment 39-5241 (51 FR 6101, February 20, 1986), do not adequately detect fatigue cracks in all layers of a repaired or modified aft pressure bulkhead on certain Model DC-9 airplanes. Fatigue cracks in the corners and upper center of the door cutout of the aft pressure bulkhead, if not detected and corrected, could result in rapid decompression of the fuselage and consequent reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

Related Rulemaking

The FAA normally would issue an AD to supersede AD 85-01-02 R1 to continue to require the existing requirements, until the new proposed actions that address the identified unsafe condition are done. This

involves restating the existing requirements of AD 85-01-02 R1 in the new AD. Because of the complexity of the requirements of AD 85-01-02 R1, we previously issued AD 2002-07-06 as a "stand-alone" AD that did not supersede AD 85-01-02 R1. We included a paragraph in AD 2002-07-06 that terminates the repetitive inspection requirements of AD 85-01-02 R1.

AD 2002-07-06, amendment 39-12700 (67 FR 16987, April 9, 2002), is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-10, -20, -30, -40, and -50 series airplanes, and C-9 airplanes. That AD requires repetitive visual and x-ray inspections to detect cracks of the upper and lower corners and upper center of the door cutout of the aft pressure bulkhead; corrective actions, if necessary; and follow-on actions. The actions specified by that AD are intended to detect and correct fatigue cracks in the corners and upper center of the door cutout of the aft pressure bulkhead which could result in rapid decompression of the fuselage and consequent reduced structural integrity of the airplane.

Other Related Rulemaking

The FAA also has previously issued AD 96-10-11, amendment 39-9618 (61 FR 24675, May 16, 1996), applicable to McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9 and DC-9-80 series airplanes, Model MD-88 airplanes, and C-9 (military) series airplanes. That AD requires certain inspections and structural modifications. Accomplishment of the modification (reference Boeing (McDonnell Douglas) Service Bulletin DC9-53-166) required by paragraph (d) or (e) of AD 96-10-11 (which references "DC-9/MD-80 Aging Aircraft Service Action Requirements Document" (SARD), McDonnell Douglas Report No. MDC K1572, Revision A, dated June 1, 1990, or Revision B, dated January 15, 1993, as the appropriate source of service information for accomplishing the modification) terminates the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this proposed AD.

Explanation of Applicability

Since issuance of AD 2002-07-06, the FAA was advised that 13 Model DC-9-15, DC-9-31, and DC-9-32 airplanes (manufacturer's fuselage numbers 0030, 0094, 0220, 0221, 0863, 0900, 0901, 0913, 0914, 0918, 0923, 0926, and 0930) were excluded inadvertently from the effectivity of paragraph 1.A. of McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-53-137, Revision 07, dated February 6, 2001, which was referenced in the applicability of that AD as the

appropriate source of service information for determining the affected airplanes. Therefore, we have determined that the additional airplanes are also subject to the same unsafe condition addressed in AD 2002-07-06. This proposed AD follows from that determination.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The FAA has reviewed and approved McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-53-137, Revision 09, dated January 30, 2003, which describes procedures that are essentially the same as those procedures included in McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-53-137, Revision 07, dated February 6, 2001, as cited in AD 2002-07-06. This revision also adds 13 additional airplane fuselage numbers to the effectivity. The airplanes were inadvertently omitted from Revision 07 of the service bulletin. No more work is necessary on airplanes changed as shown in Revision 07 of the service bulletin.

The FAA also has reviewed and approved McDonnell Douglas DC-9 Service Bulletin 53-165, Revision 3, dated May 3, 1989, which describes procedures for modification of the ventral aft pressure bulkhead structure (including cutting and removing flange of the upper; cutting and removing the lower flange of formers and replacing it with a clip; installing pads at the outboard end clips of formers; and replacing clearance fit bolts at the upper corner doubler angles with interference fit Hi-Lok pins and monel rivets).

In addition, the FAA has reviewed and approved McDonnell Douglas DC-9 Service Bulletin 53-157, Revision 1, dated January 7, 1985, which describes, for certain airplanes, procedures for modification of the ventral aft pressure bulkhead (including encapsulating the head and nut of the attachments and applying a fillet seal of sealant around parts located on the forward and aft sides of the aft pressure bulkhead; and applying a soft film corrosion inhibiting compound to the forward and aft sides of the aft pressure bulkhead). For certain airplanes, these procedures must be done in conjunction with those in McDonnell Douglas DC-9 Service Bulletin 53-165.

Accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletins is intended to adequately address the identified unsafe condition.

Accomplishment of the actions specified in AD 2002-07-06 is acceptable for compliance with the requirements of this proposed AD.

FAA's Determination

The FAA finds that if, after the effective date of this AD, the airplane is operated without cabin pressurization and a placard that prohibits operation with cabin pressurization is installed in the cockpit in full view of the pilot, the inspections and modification specified in the service bulletins described previously are not necessary.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would require accomplishment of the actions specified in the service bulletins described previously, except as discussed below.

Since this AD expands the applicability of AD 2002-07-06, the FAA has considered a number of factors in determining whether to issue a new AD or to supersede the "old" AD. The FAA has considered the entire fleet size that would be affected by superseding AD 2002-07-06 and the consequent workload associated with revising maintenance record entries. In light of this, the FAA has determined that a less burdensome approach is to issue a separate AD applicable only to the additional airplanes. This proposed AD would not supersede AD 2002-07-06 or AD 85-01-02 R1; airplanes listed in the applicability of AD 2002-07-06 and AD 85-01-02 R1 are required to continue to comply with the requirements of those ADs. This proposed AD is a separate AD action, and is applicable only to the McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-15, DC-9-31, and DC-9-32 airplanes, manufacturer's fuselage numbers 0030, 0094, 0220, 0221, 0863, 0900, 0901, 0913, 0914, 0918, 0923, 0926, and 0930. Once the final rule has been issued and it becomes effective, we plan to rescind AD 85-01-02 R1.

Differences Between the Proposed AD and a Certain Referenced Service Bulletin

McDonnell Douglas DC-9 Service Bulletin 53-165, Revision 3, dated May 3, 1989; and McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-53-137, Revision 09, dated January 30, 2003; recommend compliance times with only a "threshold" (*i.e.*, before the airplane accumulates 15,000 total landings, within 15,000 landings after the bulkhead modification, and at the earliest practical maintenance period feasible on airplanes that have accumulated more than 15,000 landings, respectively). These service bulletins do

not provide a "grace period" for airplanes that have already reached (or will soon reach) the 15,000-landing threshold, which would result in some airplanes being in immediate non-compliance with the rule upon reaching the stated number of landings. Therefore, the compliance times specified in paragraphs (a), (d)(1), and (d)(2) of this proposed AD include a grace period of "within 4,000 landings after the effective date of this AD." The FAA finds such a grace period for completing the required actions to be warranted, in that it represents an appropriate interval of time allowable for affected airplanes to continue to operate without compromising safety.

Operators should note that, although the Accomplishment Instructions of McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-53-137, Revision 09, dated January 30, 2003, describe procedures for reporting results of inspections, this proposed AD would not require those actions. The FAA does not need this information from operators.

Cost Impact

There are 13 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that seven airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

It would take approximately 5 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed inspections, at an average labor rate of \$65 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$2,275 or \$325 per airplane.

For certain airplanes, it would take approximately between 21 and 26 work hours per airplane depending on the airplane configuration to accomplish the proposed modification specified in McDonnell Douglas DC-9 Service Bulletin 53-165, Revision 3, dated May 3, 1989, at an average labor rate of \$65 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately between \$3,470 and \$11,831 per airplane, depending on the airplane configuration. Based on these figures, the cost impact of this proposed modification on U.S. operators is estimated to be between \$4,835, or \$13,521 per airplane.

For certain airplanes, it would take approximately 9 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed modification specified in McDonnell Douglas DC-9 Service Bulletin 53-157, Revision 1, dated January 7, 1985, at an average labor rate of \$65 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of this proposed modification on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$585 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this proposed AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this proposal would not have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Docket 2003–NM–60–AD

Applicability: Model DC–9–15, DC–9–31, and DC–9–32 airplanes, manufacturer's fuselage numbers 0030, 0094, 0220, 0221, 0863, 0900, 0901, 0913, 0914, 0918, 0923, 0926, and 0930; certificated in any category; equipped with a floor level hinged (ventral) door of the aft pressure bulkhead; as listed in McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9–53–137, Revision 09, dated January 30, 2003; except for those airplanes on which the modification required by paragraph (d) or (e) of AD 96–10–11, amendment 39–9618, or paragraph K of AD 85–01–02 R1, amendment 39–5241, has been done.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To detect and correct fatigue cracks in the corners and upper center of the door cutout of the aft pressure bulkhead, which could result in rapid decompression of the fuselage and consequent reduced structural integrity of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Visual and X-Ray Inspection

(a) For airplanes on which the modification has not been accomplished per paragraph (i) of this AD: Except as provided by paragraph (j) of this AD, prior to the accumulation of 15,000 total landings, or within 4,000 landings after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, do a visual inspection and an x-ray inspection to detect cracks of the upper and lower corners and upper center of the door cutout of the aft pressure bulkhead, per the Accomplishment Instructions of McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9–53–137, Revision 09, dated January 30, 2003.

No Crack Detected: Repetitive Inspections

(b) If no crack is detected during any inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, do the action specified in either paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD per the Accomplishment Instructions of McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9–53–137, Revision 09, dated January 30, 2003, as applicable.

(1) If interim prevention repairs have been performed per the service bulletin; AD 85–01–02 R1, or AD 96–10–11: Do a visual inspection and an eddy current inspection at the times specified in the service bulletin. Repeat the applicable repetitive inspections thereafter at intervals not to exceed the times specified in the service bulletin, until accomplishment of the action required by paragraph (d) or (i) of this AD.

(2) If interim preventive repairs have not been performed per the service bulletin, do either paragraph (b)(2)(i) or (b)(2)(ii) of this AD:

(i) Before further flight, install an interim preventive repair identified in Conditions I through XLIII inclusive, excluding Conditions XXI, XXXVII, and XXXVIII (not used at this time), per the service bulletin. At the times specified in the service bulletin, do a visual inspection and an eddy current inspection. At intervals not to exceed the times specified in the service bulletin, repeat the visual and eddy current inspections until accomplishment of the action specified in paragraph (d) or (i) of this AD; or

(ii) At intervals not to exceed the times specified in the service bulletin, repeat the visual inspection and x-ray inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, until accomplishment of the action specified in paragraph (d) or (i) of this AD.

Any Crack Detected: Corrective Actions and Repetitive Inspections

(c) If any crack is detected during any inspection required by paragraph (a) or (b) of this AD, do the actions specified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this AD per the Accomplishment Instructions of McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9–53–137, Revision 09, dated January 30, 2003.

(1) Before further flight, do the applicable corrective actions (*i.e.*, modification of the bulkhead; trim forward facing flange; stop drill ends of cracks; install repair kit; replacement of cracked part with new parts; and install additional doublers) identified in Conditions I through XLIII inclusive, excluding Conditions XXI, XXXVII, and XXXVIII (not used at this time), of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin; and

(2) At the times specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin, do the applicable repetitive inspections, until accomplishment of the action specified in paragraph (d) or (i) of this AD.

Concurrent Requirements

(d) Except as provided by paragraph (j) of this AD, modify the ventral aft pressure bulkhead structure by accomplishing all actions specified in the Accomplishment Instructions of McDonnell Douglas DC–9 Service Bulletin 53–165, Revision 3, dated May 3, 1989, per the service bulletin; at the applicable time specified in paragraph (d)(1), (d)(2), or (d)(3) of this AD.

(1) For airplanes on which the bulkhead modification specified in McDonnell Douglas DC–9 Service Bulletin 53–139, dated September 26, 1980; or Revision 1, dated April 30, 1981, has been done, except as provided by paragraph (d)(3) of this AD: Modify within 15,000 landings after accomplishment of the bulkhead modification, or within 4,000 landings after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later. Accomplishment of this modification constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c)(2) of this AD.

(2) For airplanes on which the production equivalent of the modification specified in paragraph (d)(1) of this AD has been done before delivery, except as provided by paragraph (d)(3) of this AD: Modify before the accumulation of 15,000 total landings, or within 4,000 landings after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later. Accomplishment of this modification constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c)(2) of this AD.

(3) For airplanes listed in McDonnell Douglas DC–9 Service Bulletin 53–165, Revision 3, dated May 3, 1989, that are specified in paragraph (f) of this AD: Modify in conjunction with the requirements of paragraph (f) of this AD, or within 18 months

after accomplishment of the requirements of paragraph (f) of this AD.

(e) Modification before the effective date of this AD per McDonnell Douglas DC–9 Service Bulletin 53–165, dated January 31, 1983; Revision 1, dated February 20, 1984; or Revision 2, dated August 29, 1986; is considered acceptable for compliance with the requirements of paragraph (d) of this AD.

Modification: Ventral Aft Pressure Bulkhead

(f) For Model DC–9–30 and –50 series airplanes, and C–9 airplanes, as listed in McDonnell Douglas DC–9 Service Bulletin 53–157, Revision 1, dated January 7, 1985: Except as provided by paragraph (j) of this AD, within 18 months after the effective date of this AD, modify the ventral aft pressure bulkhead per the service bulletin.

(g) Modification before the effective date of this AD per McDonnell Douglas DC–9 Service Bulletin 53–157, dated August 11, 1981, is considered acceptable for compliance with the requirements of paragraph (f) of this AD.

Compliance With AD 85–01–02 R1

(h) Accomplishment of the visual and x-ray inspections required by paragraph (a) of this AD constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirements of AD 85–01–02 R1.

Terminating Modification

(i) Accomplishment of the modification (reference McDonnell Douglas DC–9 Service Bulletin 53–166) required by paragraph (d) or (e) of AD 96–10–11 (which references “DC–9/MD–80 Aging Aircraft Service Action Requirements Document” (SARD), McDonnell Douglas Report No. MDC K1572, Revision A, dated June 1, 1990; or Revision B, dated January 15, 1993; as the appropriate source of service information for accomplishing the modification) terminates the repetitive inspection requirements of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this AD.

Exception to Inspections and Modifications

(j) As of the effective date of this AD, the inspections and modifications required by this AD do not need to be done during any period that the airplane is operated without cabin pressurization and a placard is installed in the cockpit in full view of the pilot that states the following:

“OPERATION WITH CABIN PRESSURIZATION IS PROHIBITED.”

Actions Accomplished Per Previous Issue of Service Bulletin

(k) Inspections, corrective actions, and follow-on actions accomplished before the effective date of this AD per McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9–53–137, Revision 07, dated February 6, 2001; or McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9–53–137, Revision 08, dated November 22, 2002; are considered acceptable for compliance with the corresponding action specified in this AD.

Credit for AD 2002–07–06, Amendment 39–12700

(l) Accomplishment of the actions specified in AD 2002–07–06 is acceptable for compliance with the requirements of this AD.

Submission of Information to Manufacturer Not Required

(m) Although McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-53-137, Revision 09, dated January 30, 2003, specifies to submit certain information to the manufacturer, this AD does not include such a requirement.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(n)(1) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

(2) AMOCs approved previously in accordance with AD 85-01-02 R1, amendment 39-4978; or AD 96-10-11, amendment 39-9618; are approved as AMOCs for paragraph (a) or (c) of this AD, as appropriate.

(3) An AMOC that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used for any repair required by this AD, if it is approved by a Boeing Company Engineering Representative (DER) who has been authorized by the Manager, Los Angeles ACO, to make such findings.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on November 26, 2003.

Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 03-30114 Filed 12-2-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2001-NM-301-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A319 and A320 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Supplemental notice of proposed rulemaking; reopening of comment period.

SUMMARY: This document revises an earlier proposed airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A319 and A320 series airplanes. That proposed AD would have required an inspection of the clearance space between the fuel quantity indication (FQI) probes located in the center fuel tank and the adjacent structure, an inspection of the position of the support bracket for each probe, an inspection of the part number for each support bracket, and corrective action if necessary. This new action revises the proposed rule by expanding the applicability of the proposed AD. The actions specified by this new proposed

AD are intended to prevent the loss of FQI of the center fuel tank, and electrical arcing between the FQI probes and the adjacent structure in the event that the airplane is struck by lightning. Such arcing could create a potential ignition source within the center fuel tank and an increased risk of a fuel tank explosion and fire. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Comments must be received by December 29, 2003.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2001-NM-301-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. Comments may be submitted via fax to (425) 227-1232. Comments may also be sent via the Internet using the following address: 9-anm-nprmcomment@faa.gov. Comments sent via fax or the Internet must contain "Docket No. 2001-NM-301-AD" in the subject line and need not be submitted in triplicate. Comments sent via the Internet as attached electronic files must be formatted in Microsoft Word 97 or 2000 or ASCII text.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Airbus Industrie, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dan Rodina, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2125; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this action may be changed in light of the comments received.

Submit comments using the following format:

- Organize comments issue-by-issue. For example, discuss a request to change the compliance time and a request to change the service bulletin reference as two separate issues.

- For each issue, state what specific change to the proposed AD is being requested.

- Include justification (e.g., reasons or data) for each request.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this action must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 2001-NM-301-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-114, Attention: Rules Docket No. 2001-NM-301-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to add an airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A319 and A320 series airplanes, was published as a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) in the **Federal Register** on January 3, 2003 (68 FR 317). That NPRM would have required an inspection of the clearance space between the fuel quantity indication (FQI) probes located in the center fuel tank and the adjacent structure; an inspection of the position of the support bracket for each probe; an inspection of the part number for each support bracket; and corrective action if necessary. That NPRM was prompted by issuance of mandatory continuing airworthiness information by a civil airworthiness authority. Incorrect installation of the support brackets for the FQI probes, if not corrected, could result in loss of FQI of the center fuel tank, and electrical arcing between the FQI probes and the adjacent structure in