

Western Heritage Museum. In 2013, the individuals were encountered by volunteer staff at the NMJC. The land from which the individuals were removed is under the control of the BLM and was at the time of removal. The NMJC has no knowledge or record of any potentially hazardous substances being used to treat the human remains. No associated funerary objects are present.

### Cultural Affiliation

Based on the information available and the results of consultation, cultural affiliation is reasonably identified by the geographical location of the human remains described in this notice.

### Determinations

The BLM and NMJC has determined that:

- The human remains described in this notice represent the physical remains of four individuals of Native American ancestry.
- There is a reasonable connection between the human remains described in this notice and the Apache Tribe of Oklahoma; Comanche Nation, Oklahoma; Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, Arizona; Fort Sill Apache Tribe of Oklahoma; Hopi Tribe of Arizona; Jicarilla Apache Nation, New Mexico; Kiowa Indian Tribe of Oklahoma; Mescalero Apache Tribe of the Mescalero Reservation, New Mexico; Ohkay Owingeh, New Mexico; Pueblo of Acoma, New Mexico; Pueblo of Cochiti, New Mexico; Pueblo of Isleta, New Mexico; Pueblo of Jemez, New Mexico; Pueblo of Laguna, New Mexico; Pueblo of Nambe, New Mexico; Pueblo of Picuris, New Mexico; Pueblo of Pojoaque, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Felipe, New Mexico; Pueblo of San Ildefonso, New Mexico; Pueblo of Sandia, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Ana, New Mexico; Pueblo of Santa Clara, New Mexico; Pueblo of Taos, New Mexico; Pueblo of Tesuque, New Mexico; Pueblo of Zia, New Mexico; San Carlos Apache Tribe of the San Carlos Reservation, Arizona; Santo Domingo Pueblo; Tonto Apache Tribe of Arizona; White Mountain Apache Tribe of the Fort Apache Reservation, Arizona; Yavapai-Apache Nation of the Camp Verde Indian Reservation, Arizona; Ysleta del Sur Pueblo; and the Zuni Tribe of the Zuni Reservation, New Mexico.

### Requests for Repatriation

Written requests for repatriation of the human remains in this notice must be sent to the authorized representative identified in this notice under

**ADDRESSES.** Requests for repatriation may be submitted by:

1. Any one or more of the Indian Tribes or Native Hawaiian organizations identified in this notice.
2. Any lineal descendant, Indian Tribe, or Native Hawaiian organization not identified in this notice who shows, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the requestor is a lineal descendant or a culturally affiliated Indian Tribe or Native Hawaiian organization.

Repatriation of the human remains in this notice to a requestor may occur on or after November 8, 2024. If competing requests for repatriation are received, the BLM and NMJC must determine the most appropriate requestor prior to repatriation. Requests for joint repatriation of the human remains are considered a single request and not competing requests. The BLM and NMJC is responsible for sending a copy of this notice to the Indian Tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations identified in this notice.

**Authority:** Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, 25 U.S.C. 3003, and the implementing regulations, 43 CFR 10.10.

Dated: September 30, 2024.

**Melanie O'Brien,**

*Manager, National NAGPRA Program.*

[FR Doc. 2024–23371 Filed 10–8–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4312–52–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation Nos. 701–TA–452 and 731–TA–1129–1130 (Third Review)]

### Raw Flexible Magnets From China and Taiwan; Scheduling of Expedited Five-Year Reviews

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the scheduling of expedited reviews pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930 (“the Act”) to determine whether revocation of the countervailing duty order on raw flexible magnets from China and the antidumping duty orders on raw flexible magnets from China and Taiwan would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury within a reasonably foreseeable time.

**DATES:** September 6, 2024.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Alexis Yim (202–708–1446), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW,

Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<https://www.usitc.gov>). The public record for this proceeding may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

**Background.**—On September 6, 2024, the Commission determined that the domestic interested party group response to its notice of institution (89 FR 47607, June 3, 2024) of the subject five-year reviews was adequate and that the respondent interested party group response was inadequate. The Commission did not find any other circumstances that would warrant conducting full reviews.<sup>1</sup> Accordingly, the Commission determined that it would conduct expedited reviews pursuant to section 751(c)(3) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(3)).<sup>2</sup>

For further information concerning the conduct of these reviews and rules of general application, consult the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A and B (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A, D, E, and F (19 CFR part 207).

**Staff report.**—A staff report containing information concerning the subject matter of the reviews has been placed in the nonpublic record, and will be made available to persons on the Administrative Protective Order service list for these reviews on November 20, 2024. A public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to § 207.62(d)(4) of the Commission’s rules.

**Written submissions.**—As provided in § 207.62(d) of the Commission’s rules, interested parties that are parties to the reviews and that have provided individually adequate responses to the notice of institution,<sup>3</sup> and any party other than an interested party to the reviews may file written comments with

<sup>1</sup> A record of the Commissioners’ votes, the Commission’s statement on adequacy, and any individual Commissioner’s statements will be available from the Office of the Secretary and at the Commission’s website.

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Johanson voted to conduct full reviews.

<sup>3</sup> The Commission has found the response submitted on behalf of Magnum Magnetic Corporation to be individually adequate. Comments from other interested parties will not be accepted (see 19 CFR 207.62(d)(2)).

the Secretary on what determination the Commission should reach in the reviews. Comments are due on or before 5:15 p.m. on November 29, 2024, and may not contain new factual information. Any person that is neither a party to the five-year reviews nor an interested party may submit a brief written statement (which shall not contain any new factual information) pertinent to the reviews by November 29, 2024. However, should the Department of Commerce (“Commerce”) extend the time limit for its completion of the final results of its reviews, the deadline for comments (which may not contain new factual information) on Commerce’s final results is three business days after the issuance of Commerce’s results. If comments contain business proprietary information (BPI), they must conform with the requirements of §§ 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission’s rules. The Commission’s *Handbook on Filing Procedures*, available on the Commission’s website at [https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook\\_on\\_filing\\_procedures.pdf](https://www.usitc.gov/documents/handbook_on_filing_procedures.pdf), elaborates upon the Commission’s procedures with respect to filings.

In accordance with §§ 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the reviews must be served on all other parties to the reviews (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Determination.**—The Commission has determined these reviews are extraordinarily complicated and therefore has determined to exercise its authority to extend the review period by up to 90 days pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1675(c)(5)(B).

**Authority:** These reviews are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Act; this notice is published pursuant to § 207.62 of the Commission’s rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: October 3, 2024.

**Lisa Barton,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. 2024–23273 Filed 10–8–24; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020–02–P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1361]

### Certain Wi-Fi Routers, Wi-Fi Devices, Mesh Wi-Fi Network Devices, and Hardware and Software Components Thereof; Notice of a Commission Determination To Grant a Joint Motion To Terminate the Investigation Based on a Settlement Agreement; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission (“Commission”) has determined to grant a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. The investigation is terminated in its entirety.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Edward S. Jou, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3316. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email [EDIS3Help@usitc.gov](mailto:EDIS3Help@usitc.gov). General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Commission instituted this investigation on May 8, 2023, based on a complaint filed on behalf of Netgear Inc. of San Jose, California (“Netgear”). 88 FR 29693–94 (May 8, 2023). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain Wi-Fi routers, Wi-Fi devices, mesh Wi-Fi network devices, and hardware and software components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent Nos. 7,936,714 (“the ‘714 patent’”); 10,681,698 (“the ‘698 patent’”); 10,278,179 (“the ‘179 patent’”); 9,468,205; 10,327,242; and 10,356,681. The complaint further alleged that a domestic industry exists. The Commission’s notice of investigation named as respondents: TP-Link

Technologies Co., Ltd. of Shenzhen, China; TP-Link Corporation Limited, f/k/a TP-Link International Limited of Kowloon, Hong Kong; TP-Link USA Corporation of Irvine, California; and TP-Link Research Institute USA Corp. d/b/a TP-Link Research America Corp. of San Jose, California (collectively, “TP-Link”). The Office of Unfair Import Investigations is not participating in this investigation.

On May 30, 2024, the presiding administrative law judge issued a final initial determination finding a violation of section 337 with respect to claim 13 of the ‘714 patent and claims 12, 15, 17, and 19 of the ‘698 patent, but no violation with respect to claim 11 of the ‘179 patent.

On September 10, 2024, Netgear and TP-Link filed a joint motion to terminate the investigation based on a settlement agreement. On September 11, 2024, Netgear and TP-Link filed a public version of their joint motion to terminate, attaching a redacted version of their settlement agreement.

The Commission has determined to grant the joint motion to terminate because it complies with the requirements of Commission Rule 210.21(b) (19 CFR 210.21(b)). Specifically, the Commission finds that the joint motion includes confidential and public versions of the parties’ settlement agreement and a statement that “[o]ther than a stipulation regarding joint discovery cross-use in the 1405 investigation and stipulations relating to procedural and discovery matters, importation and inventory, hearing procedures, and exhibits in this investigation,” there are no other agreements, written or oral, express or implied between the parties concerning the subject matter of the investigation. The Commission further finds that there are no extraordinary circumstances that would warrant denial of the requested termination, and termination would not be contrary to the public interest pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(2) (19 CFR 210.50(b)(2)). The investigation is hereby terminated in its entirety.

The Commission vote for this determination took place on October 3, 2024.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in Part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.