

What Are Nutrient Criteria Technical Guidance Manuals?

Nutrient Criteria Technical Guidance Manuals are documents that give States and Tribes information to help develop water quality criteria and standards for nutrients, identify water quality impairments, and evaluate their success in reducing cultural eutrophication. They are intended to provide a series of steps leading to the development of nutrient criteria for a specific waterbody type.

EPA began to implement a National Strategy to Develop Regional Nutrient Criteria in 1998 to address enrichment problems. The *Nutrient Criteria Technical Guidance Manual: Lakes and Reservoirs, First Edition* (EPA-822-B00-001) was the first of a series of waterbody-type specific manuals produced to help States, and Tribes establish ecoregionally appropriate nutrient criteria. EPA also developed a manual for rivers and streams (*Nutrient Criteria Technical Guidance Manual: Rivers and Streams*—EPA-822-B-00-002, and is developing a manual for wetlands. In addition to these waterbody-type specific manuals, EPA is developing nutrient criteria guidance under section 304(a) for each of the 14 ecoregions it identified in the continental United States. EPA expects States and Tribes to use the manuals, other information and local expertise to refine EPA's 304(a) nutrient criteria guidance so that their nutrient water quality criteria are tailored to local conditions. To help States and Tribes, to verify section 304(a) nutrient criteria guidance, and to provide national consistency wherever possible, EPA established Regional Technical Assistance Groups (RTAGs). RTAGs are a collection of EPA, State, Tribal representatives who work together to develop more refined ecoregional nutrient criteria, using the forthcoming section 304(a) guidance as a starting point. (EPA is also using data and expertise provided by the RTAGs to develop its section 304(a) nutrient criteria guidance for the 14 ecoregions it identified.) EPA expects the RTAGs to use the processes described in the waterbody-type specific manuals to develop recommended nutrient criteria on an ecoregional or more refined basis (such as subecoregion, coastal province, State or Tribe-level more defined class of estuary/coastal marine water). Today's manual for estuarine and coastal marine waters also explains how States or Tribes can adopt nutrient water quality standards based on the criteria values recommended by the EPA and/or RTAGs.

How Did EPA Involve the Public in Revising the Estuarine Coastal Guidance Manual?

In following the Agency's process for developing criteria and other guidance, EPA notified the public of the availability of the peer reviewed draft of the Estuarine Coastal Nutrient Criteria technical Guidance Manual on October 10, 2001 (66 FR 51665). EPA asked for views from the public on issues of science pertaining to information contained in the guidance manual. EPA considered the scientific views from the peer review and the public to revise the document.

Is the Completed Document Different Than the Draft Document?

In addressing the peer reviewers' comments and submissions of significant scientific information from the public, EPA made revisions to the draft document. Many of the submissions from the public were also presented by the peer reviewers, and these were addressed in the final document. To review the complete set of peer review comments and scientific views provided by the public, together with EPA's responses, go to <http://epa.gov/waterscience/standards/nutrient.html>.

A number of peer review comments and scientific views presented by the public questioned the use of a frequency distribution approach to develop a reference condition. The manual was rewritten to offer several methods for developing reference conditions, including several that do not use a frequency distribution. In addition, the manual is now more clear on distinguishing reference condition from criteria. Reference condition is one element of criteria derivation that RTAGs should consider with historical background information, possible model extrapolations of data, and possible downstream impacts.

Another submission questioned the utility of EPA's approach in developing estuarine/coastal criteria, since many reference conditions no longer exist. EPA added language to the guidance acknowledging that pre-Columbian, pristine conditions are rare and that the goal of the nutrient criteria setting process is to strive for a reference condition value and criteria that represent the most natural condition possible (as measured from sites having the least amount of human influence). Since extensive degradation of estuaries systems has been reported, the guidance manual describes four options for establishing reference conditions in estuaries (one option is presented for

coastal waters). The manual also places greater emphasis on historical information because the reference condition of estuaries may be degraded, and estuaries, in particular, can seldom be classified by using a frequency distribution.

Several scientific views stated that the nutrient criteria that might be derived using the guidance manual do not support specific designated uses. It is true that the potential criteria derived may not be specific to a designated use. Rather, because they are reference condition-based, they should support the broad array of aquatic life uses in accordance with the Clean Water Act. As stated in the final guidance manual, the criteria derived using the manual are intended as benchmarks for comparison when a State or Tribe prepares their own criteria based on specific uses.

An additional public viewpoint indicated that nutrient criteria as developed by EPA are unnecessary because States already have criteria identifying conditions associated with eutrophication, such as dissolved oxygen, pH, and turbidity. States have used response variables such as dissolved oxygen, pH, and turbidity to reveal nutrient problems in their waters, but the root cause of eutrophication, as demonstrated by excess primary productivity, is typically nitrogen and phosphorus. For more effective prevention, it is important to measure the level and extent of the causal agents. The criteria are based directly on these primary causal elements of total nitrogen and phosphorus plus two early response variables. These are algal biomass (e.g., chlorophyll-a for microalgae, dry mass for macroalgae) and water clarity, which most often indicate the early vegetative response to nutrient enrichment. Because many estuaries experience or may experience hypoxia, dissolved oxygen was added as an additional response variable.

Dated: December 20, 2002.

Geoffrey H. Grubbs,

Director, Office of Science and Technology.
[FR Doc. 03-175 Filed 1-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3)), of the special meeting of the Farm Credit Administration Board (Board).

DATE AND TIME: The special meeting of the Board will be held at the offices of the Farm Credit Administration in McLean, Virginia, on January 7, 2003, from 9 a.m. until such time as the Board concludes its business.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jeanette C. Brinkley, Acting Secretary to the Farm Credit Administration Board, (703) 883-4009, TTY (703) 883-4056.

ADDRESSES: Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102-5090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This meeting of the Board will be open to the public (limited space available). In order to increase the accessibility to Board meetings, persons requiring assistance should make arrangements in advance. The matters to be considered at the meeting are:

Open Session

A. Approval of Minutes

—December 20, 2002 (Open)

B. Reports

—Corporate Approvals
—Risk Analysis Report—Fourth Quarter Fiscal Year 2002
—Basel II and Capital Initiatives

C. New Business—Other

—**Federal Register** Notice—Draft Amended and Restated Market Access Agreement
—**Federal Register** Notice—Loan Syndications

Dated: January 2, 2003.

Jeanette C. Brinkley,
Acting Secretary, Farm Credit Administration Board.

[FR Doc. 03-293 Filed 1-2-03; 2:09 pm]

BILLING CODE 6705-01-P 1

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

December 27, 2002.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with

a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before February 5, 2003. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judith Boley Herman, Federal Communications Commission, Room 1-C804, 445 12th Street, SW., DC 20554 or via the Internet to jboley@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collection(s), contact Judith Boley Herman at 202-418-0214 or via the Internet at jboley@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Control No.: 3060-0715.

Title: Telecommunications Carriers' Use of Customer Proprietary Network Information (CPNI) and Other Customer Information, CC Docket No. 96-115.

Form Nos.: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 4,832.

Estimated Time Per Response: .50-100 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion, annual, biennial, and one-time reporting requirements, third party disclosure requirement, recordkeeping requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 672,808 hours.

Total Annual Cost: \$229,520,000.

Needs and Uses: The requirements implement the statutory obligations of section 222 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Among other things, carriers are permitted to use, disclose, or permit access to CPNI, without customer approval, under certain conditions. Many uses of CPNI require either opt-in or opt-out customer approval, depending upon the entity using the CPNI and the purpose for which it is used.

OMB Control No.: 3060-0835.

Title: Ship Inspections.

Form Nos.: FCC Forms 806, 824, 827, and 829.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit, not-for-profit institutions, state, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 1,210.

Estimated Time Per Response: .084-4 hours.

Frequency of Response: On occasion, annual and every five year reporting requirements, third party disclosure requirement, and recordkeeping requirement.

Total Annual Burden: 5,245 hours.

Total Annual Cost: N/A.

Needs and Uses: The

Communications Act requires the Commission to inspect the radio installations of large cargo ships and certain passenger ships at least once a year to ensure that the radio installation is in compliance with the requirements of the Act. Additionally, the Communications Act requires the inspection of small passenger ships at least once every five years. The Commission allows FCC-licensed technicians to conduct these inspections.

Federal Communications Commission.

Marlene H. Dortch,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 03-161 Filed 1-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

December 20, 2002.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the