Dated: November 26, 2002.

David R. Henderson,

District Manager.

[FR Doc. 02-30776 Filed 12-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-33-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

### **Bureau of Land Management**

[CO-200-0777-PH-252Z]

## Notice of Meeting, Front Range Resource Advisory Council (Colorado)

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management,

Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act (FLPMA) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), the U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management(BLM) Front Range Resource Advisory Council (RAC), will meet as indicated below.

DATES: The meeting will be held on January 16, 2003, at the Holy Cross Abbey Community Center, 2951 E. Highway 50, Canon City, Colorado beginning at 9:15 a.m. The public comment period will begin at approximately 9:30 a.m. and the meeting will adjourn at approximately 4 p.m.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 15 member Council advises the Secretary of the Interior, through the Bureau of Land Management, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with public land management in the Front Range Center, Colorado. Planned agenda topics include: Manager reports, Update on Gold Belt Travel Management Plan, Overview of the Fuels Management Program, Management of Ecosystems Training.

All meetings are open to the public. The public can make oral statements to the Council at 9:30 a.m. or written statements may be submitted for the Councils consideration. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Summary minutes for the Council Meeting will be maintained in the Front Range Center Office and will be available for public inspection and reproduction during regular business hours within 30 days following the meeting.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Attn: Ken Smith, 3170 East Main Street, Canon City, Colorado 81212. Phone (719)269–8500.

Dated: November 27, 2002.

Roy L. Masinton,

Front Range Center Manager.

[FR Doc. 02-30777 Filed 12-3-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

# Public Input on Improving Agency Procedures

AGENCY: International Trade

Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice and solicitation of

written comments

SUMMARY: The United States International Trade Commission invites public input on specific ways in which it could improve its conduct of antidumping and countervailing duty (CVD) injury investigations.

**DATES:** Written comments are invited to be filed no later than March 4, 2003.

ADDRESSES: A signed original and 14 copies of each set of comments, along with a cover letter, should be submitted to Marilyn R. Abbott, Secretary, United States International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436. Hand-delivered comments must be delivered to the prescribed room during the Commission's official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in order to be deemed filed on the day they are delivered.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marilyn R. Abbott (202–205–2799), Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202–205–1810. General information concerning the Commission also may be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission is an independent federal agency that is charged, inter alia, with conducting import injury investigations under the antidumping and CVD statutes. See title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1671 et seq.). In these investigations, the Commission determines whether a U.S. industry is materially injured, or threatened with material injury, by reason of imports of merchandise that the U.S. Department of Commerce has found to be subsidized or sold at less than fair value. The statutes also provide for a Commission review, after five years, of the continued

need for each antidumping or CVD order to prevent or remedy material injury to the relevant U.S. industry.

In the course of an investigation, the Commission, inter alia: (1) Collects data from interested parties (such as domestic and foreign producers, U.S. importers, and purchasers) via written questionnaires and other means; (2) produces a staff report summarizing information collected concerning such indicators as imports, production, shipments, employment, profits and losses, and prices; (3) holds a public hearing (except in preliminary or expedited proceedings); and (4) produces a written opinion explaining the Commission's conclusions on factual and legal issues. The Commission's rules of procedure related to these import injury investigations are set out in the relevant portions of 19 CFR parts 201 and 207.

The Commission is committed to carrying out its mandate in an effective and efficient manner. As part of this commitment, the Commission endeavors to be responsive to the needs of those who avail themselves of the trade laws, those from whom the Commission collects information in its investigations, and the public.

To this end, the Commission is seeking input from the public, including persons and entities that appear before the agency, on ways the Commission can improve its methods of conducting its original antidumping and CVD investigations and its five-year reviews of outstanding antidumping and CVD orders. The Commission is interested in comments concerning all aspects of its investigations and reviews; in particular, questionnaires, hearings, staff reports, schedules and opinions.

With respect to questionnaires, commenters may wish to address whether particular standard questions or requests could be eliminated. reformulated, or streamlined, in order to obtain more relevant information or reduce the burden on those responding to questionnaires. In addition, commenters may wish to comment on electronic filing issues, including electronic questionnaires. With respect to hearings, commenters may wish to address whether a different manner of organizing presentations or commissioner questioning of witnesses would be more effective at clarifying salient issues or adducing relevant information. With respect to staff reports, commenters may wish to address whether the reports could be reorganized or whether certain parts may be made more concise in order to best present the key information collected in the investigation. With