TABLE 1. REPRESENTATION ON THE HMS AP BY SECTOR AND SPECIES FOR TERMS EXPIRING ON DECEMBER 31, 2007.

Sector	Species
Commercial	HMS
Commercial	HMS
Commercial	HMS
Commercial	Tuna
Commercial	Tuna
Environmental	Shark
Environmental	HMS
Environmental	HMS
Recreational	Billfish
Recreational	Billfish
Recreational	HMS
Recreational	Tuna

NMFS does not believe that each potentially affected organization or individual must necessarily have its own representative, but each sector must be adequately represented. The intent is to have a group that, as a whole, reflects an appropriate and equitable balance and mix of interests given the responsibilities of the AP. Criteria for membership include one or more of the following: (1) experience in the HMS recreational fishing industry; (2) experience in the HMS commercial fishing industry; (3) experience in fishery-related industries (e.g., marinas, bait and tackle shops); (4) experience in the scientific community working with HMS; and/or (5) representation of a private; non-governmental; regional, national, or international organization representing marine fisheries, environmental, governmental, or academic interests dealing with HMS.

Five additional members on the AP include one member representing each of the following Councils: New England Fishery Management Council, the Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council, the South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, and the Caribbean Fishery Management Council. The AP also includes 22 ex-officio participants: 20 representatives of the coastal states and two representatives of the interstate commissions (the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission and the Gulf States Marine Fisheries Commission).

NMFS will provide the necessary administrative support, including technical assistance, for the AP. However, NMFS will not compensate participants with monetary support of any kind. Depending on availability of funds, members may be reimbursed for travel costs related to the AP meetings.

## C. Meeting Schedule

Meetings of the AP will be held as frequently as necessary but are routinely

held twice each year in the spring and fall. The meetings may be held in conjunction with public hearings.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 971 et seq. and 1801 et seq.

Dated: October 29, 2007.

#### Samuel D. Rauch III,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Regulatory Programs, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. E7–21534 Filed 10–31–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XC40

## Marine Mammals; File No. 1079-1828

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice; withdrawal of application.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that an application, submitted by Peter Scheifele, University of Connecticut, 3636 Horsebarn Hill Road, Unit 4040, Storrs, Connecticut 06269, to conduct research on cetaceans and pinnipeds has been withdrawn.

**ADDRESSES:** The documents related to this action are available for review upon written request or by appointment in the following offices:

Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Room 13705, Silver Spring, MD 20910; phone (301)713–2289; fax (301)427–2521; and

Northeast Region, NMFS, One Blackburn Drive, Gloucester, MA 01930–2298; phone (978)281–9300; fax (978)281–9394.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jaclyn Daly or Amy Sloan, (301)713–2289.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On July 5, 2006, a notice was published in the **Federal Register** (71 FR 38136) that an application for a scientific research permit had been filed by Peter Scheifele, University of Connecticut.

The applicant had requested authorization to conduct acoustic studies on cetaceans and pinnipeds being rehabilitated at the Mystic Aquarium, Connecticut. However, the applicant has failed to provide additional information and clarification, as requested by NMFS, regarding the proposed activities within 60 days. Pursuant to Federal Regulations (50 CFR

216.33(4)), the application has been withdrawn.

Dated: October 26, 2007.

#### P. Michael Payne,

Chief, Permits, Conservation and Education Division, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. E7–21553 Filed 10–31–07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-S

## **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **Patent and Trademark Office**

## Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) will submit to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Agency:* United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO).

*Title:* Dissemination Events and Registrations.

Form Number(s): None. Agency Approval Number: 0651– 00xx.

Type of Request: New collection. Burden: 328 hours annually. Number of Respondents: 4,100 responses per year.

Avg. Hours Per Response: The USPTO estimates that it takes 5 minutes (0.08 hours) to complete the event and PTDL (Patent and Trademark Depository Library) registrations, whether they are completed electronically or mailed to the USPTO. This includes the time to gather the necessary information, prepare the registration request, and submit it to the USPTO.

Needs and Uses: Under 35 U.S.C. 2(A)(2) the USPTO is "responsible for disseminating to the public information with respect to patents and trademarks." The USPTO sponsors various events and collects information from both the public and the partnership members to plan these events and to arrange for the security of the events, if necessary. The public and PTDL members use the registration forms in this collection to request access to the USPTO-sponsored events. The USPTO uses the information collected from the registrations to plan for the various events, such as outreach to independent inventors, assistance to small businesses, and meetings discussing the prevention of unlawful intellectual property practices, international trends, cooperation between intellectual property entities, and long-term goals.