

cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. ch. 10.

Wayne Pullan,

*Regional Director, Upper Colorado Basin—
Interior Region 7, Bureau of Reclamation.*

[FR Doc. 2025–08856 Filed 5–16–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4332–90–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1424]

Certain Flash-Spun Nonwoven Materials and Products Containing Same; Notice of Commission Determination Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting Complainants’ Unopposed Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade
Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 25) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) issued in the above-captioned investigation granting complainants’ unopposed motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation (“NOI”) to add allegations of trade secret misappropriation and wrongful use and exploitation of proprietary information against respondents Impak Corporation (“Impak”) and Jiangsu Tubo New Material Co., Ltd. (“Jiangsu Tubo”); add TOBO Group as a new respondent; update the addresses for Jiangsu Tubo and Hangzhou Several Sets of Electronic Commerce Co., Ltd. (“JGT Live”) to reflect where service was effected; and make certain non-substantive amendments to the complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard P. Hadorn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3179. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the

Commission’s TDD terminal, telephone (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on November 21, 2024, based on a complaint filed by DuPont de Nemours, Inc., DuPont Safety & Construction, Inc., and DuPont Specialty Products USA, LLC (collectively, “DuPont”), all of Wilmington, Delaware. 89 FR 92159–60 (Nov. 21, 2024). The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337 (“section 337”), based on the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain flash-spun nonwoven materials and products containing the same by reason of (i) misappropriation of trade secrets and wrongful use and exploitation of stolen confidential and proprietary information, the threat or effect of which is to destroy or substantially injure an industry in the United States, and (ii) infringement of U.S. Trademark Registration Nos. 817,194; 818,688; 818,737; and 7,370,316. *Id.* at 92159. The complaint further alleges that a domestic industry exists. *Id.*

The NOI names 18 respondents: (1) Xiamen Dangs New-Materials Co., Ltd. AKA Dawnsens New Materials Co., Ltd. of Xiamen, Fujian, China; Beijing Dangsheng Technology Co., Ltd. of Beijing, Beijing, China; Xiamen Dangsheng Technology Co., Ltd. of Xiamen, Fujian, China (collectively, “Dangs”); (2) Kingwills New Material Technology Co., Ltd. of Nantong, Jiangsu, China; Zhejiang Qingyun New Material Co., Ltd. of Jiaxing, Zhejiang, China; Jiangsu Qingyun New Materials Co., Ltd. AKA Jiangsu Kingwills New Materials Co., Ltd. of Nantong, Jiangsu, China; Shanghai Qingyun New Material Technology Co., Ltd. of Shanghai, Shanghai, China; and Kingwills International Ltd. of Kowloon, Hong Kong, China (collectively, “Kingwills”); (3) Harbourpoint Innovations Inc. (“Harbourpoint”) of Raleigh, North Carolina; (4) Impak of Los Angeles, California; (5) Shenzhen Zhengming Science and Technology Co., Ltd. (“Shenzhen Zhengming”) of Huizhou, Guangdong, China; (6) Weifang Konzer Safety Protective Equipment Co., Ltd. of Anqiu, Shandong, China; (7) Jiangsu Tubo of Kunshan, Jiangsu, China; (8) Emedia Group, Inc. (“Emedia”) of Greenville, South Carolina; (9) endurtec, LLC (“endurtec”) of Anderson, South Carolina; (10) JGT Live of Yuhang, Hangzhou, China; (11) Hangzhou Qiao Shell Digital Technology Co., Ltd. of Yuhang,

Hangzhou, China; and (12) Zhenping County Weihe Commerce and Trade Co., Ltd. of Zhenping, Nanyang, China. *Id.* at 92159–60. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations (“OUII”) is also named as a party to this investigation. *Id.* at 92160.

On February 21, 2025, the Commission terminated the investigation as to Harbourpoint, Shenzhen Zhengming, Emedia, and endurtec based on consent orders. Order Nos. 10 (Jan. 22, 2025) (as to Harbourpoint), 11 (Jan. 22, 2025) (as to Shenzhen Zhengming), 12 (Jan. 22, 2025) (as to Emedia and endurtec), *unreviewed by Comm’n Notice* (Feb. 21, 2025).

On March 27, 2025, DuPont filed a motion for leave to amend the complaint and NOI. First, the motion seeks to add allegations of (i) trade secret misappropriation and (ii) wrongful use and exploitation of proprietary information against Impak and Jiangsu Tubo. Second, the motion seeks to add TOBO Group of Shanghai, China as a new respondent. Third, the motion seeks to update the addresses for Jiangsu Tubo and JGT Live to reflect where service was effected. Fourth, the motion seeks to make certain amendments to the complaint to reflect (i) “DuPont’s supplementation [to the complaint] on October 29, 2024 (submitting Ex. 92; referencing Ex. 92 in paragraph 7, correcting a few citations”), (ii) “minor typographical corrections to addresses of certain [r]espondents,” and (iii) “updating counsel of record.” On April 7, 2025, Kingwills filed a response stating that “[w]hile Kingwills disputes the merits of DuPont’s proposed allegations implicating Kingwills’ products, Kingwills does not oppose the proposed amendments.” That same day, OUII filed a response in support of the motion. No other responses to the motion were filed.

On April 22, 2025, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 25) granting the unopposed motion. The ID finds that, in accordance with Commission Rule 210.14(b) (19 CFR 210.14(b)), good cause exists for DuPont’s amendments to the complaint and NOI and that neither the parties nor the public interest will be prejudiced. The ID notes that the new allegations against Impak and Jiangsu Tubo and adding TOBO Group as a new respondent are based on facts that “were not available to DuPont until recently” and other “recently obtained evidence”; that updating the addresses for Jiangsu Tubo and JGT Live “will ensure a complete and accurate record”; and that DuPont’s remaining amendments to the complaint are non-

substantive, unopposed, and non-prejudicial. No petitions for review of the subject ID were filed.

The Commission has determined not to review the subject ID. The complaint and NOI are amended to (i) add allegations of trade secret misappropriation and wrongful use and exploitation of proprietary information against Impak and Jiangsu Tubo, (ii) add TOBO Group as a new respondent, and (iii) update the addresses for Jiangsu Tubo and JGT Live to reflect where service was effected. The complaint is also amended to reflect “DuPont’s supplementation on October 29, 2024 (submitting Ex. 92; referencing Ex. 92 in paragraph 7, correcting a few citations)” and DuPont’s requested “minor typographical corrections to addresses of certain [r]espondents” and “updating [of] counsel of record.”

The Commission vote for this determination took place on May 13, 2025.

The authority for the Commission’s determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in part 210 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR part 210).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 14, 2025.

Lisa Barton,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2025–08887 Filed 5–16–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–1448]

Certain Video-Capable Laptop, Desktop Computers, Handheld Computers, Tablets, Televisions, Projectors, and Components and Modules Thereof; Notice of Institution of Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on April 11, 2025, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, on behalf of Nokia Technologies Oy of Finland and Nokia Corporation of Finland. Supplements to the complaint were filed on April 21, April 24 and May 2, 2025. The complaint, as supplemented, alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for

importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain video-capable laptop, desktop computers, handheld computers, tablets, televisions, projectors, and components and modules thereof by reason of the infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 9,036,701 (“the ‘701 patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 10,536,714 (“the ‘714 patent’”); U.S. Patent No. 11,805,267 (“the ‘267 patent’”); and U.S. Patent No. 8,050,321 (“the ‘321 patent’”). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by the applicable Federal Statute. The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue a limited exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint, except for any confidential information contained therein, may be viewed on the Commission’s electronic docket (EDIS) at <https://edis.usitc.gov>. For help accessing EDIS, please email EDIS3Help@usitc.gov. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission’s TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <https://www.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pathenia M. Proctor, The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205–2560.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, and in section 210.10 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2025).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on May 13, 2025, *ordered that*—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain products identified in paragraph (2) by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 1,

3–4, 6–8, 10–11, 13–15, 17–18, and 20 of the ‘701 patent; claims 1–5, 7–12, 14–19, 21–26, and 28–30 of the ‘714 patent; claims 1–36 of the ‘267 patent; and claims 8–11 of the ‘321 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to section 210.10(b)(1) of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10(b)(1), the plain language description of the accused products or category of accused products, which defines the scope of the investigation, is “video-capable laptop computers, desktop computers, handheld computers, tablets, televisions, projectors, and components and modules thereof”;

(3) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties or other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, which shall be limited to the statutory public interest factors set forth in 19 U.S.C. 1337(d)(1), (f)(1), (g)(1);

(4) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

Nokia Technologies Oy, Karakaari 7, FIN–02610, Espoo, Finland
Nokia Corporation, Karakaari 7, FIN–02610, Espoo, Finland

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Acer America Corporation, 1730 N First St., Suite 400, San Jose, CA 95112
Acer Inc., Taipei, 1F, 88, Sec. 1, Xintai 5th Rd., Xizhi, Taiwan
ASUSTeK Computer Inc., No. 15, Li-Te Rd., Taipei City, 11259 Taiwan
ASUS Computer International, 48720 Kato Rd., Fremont, CA 94538
Hisense Co., Ltd., Hisense Tower No. 17, Donghaixi Road, Qingdao, Shandong Province, 266071, China
Hisense USA Corporation, 7310 McGinnis Ferry Road, Suwanee, GA 30024
Hisense Electronics Manufacturing Company of America Corporation, 7310 McGinnis Ferry Road, Suwanee, GA 30024

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and