

their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing these final results of review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: July 3, 2008.

David M. Spooner,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix – Issues in Decision Memorandum

General Comments:

Comment 1: “Zeroing” Methodology in Administrative Reviews

Comment 2: Selection of Mandatory Respondents

Company-Specific Comments:

Promarisco

Comment 3: Adjustment to Promarisco’s Net Financial Expense Ratio

Comment 4: Use of Entry Date for Determining Promarisco’s POR Sales

OceanInvest

Comment 5: Cost Reporting for Certain Value-Added Products

Comment 6: Acceptance of Billing Adjustment for Certain Third-Country Sales

Comment 7: Bonus Payment Adjustment Applicable to U.S. Sales

[FR Doc. E8–15830 Filed 7–10–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sheila E. Forbes, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Unit, Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4697.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspension of investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), may request, in accordance with section 351.213 (2007) of the Department of Commerce (the Department) Regulations, that the Department conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Opportunity to Request a Review: Not later than the last day of July 2008¹, interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in July for the following periods:

	Period
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
The People’s Republic of China:	
Persulfates, A–570–847	7/1/07–6/30/08
Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings, A–570–814	7/1/07–6/30/08
Saccharin, A–570–878	7/1/07–6/30/08
Finland: Carboxymethylcellulose, A–405–803	7/1/07–6/30/08
Germany: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils, A–428–825	7/1/07–6/30/08
India: Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, A–533–824	7/1/07–6/30/08
Iran: In-Shell Pistachios, A–507–502	7/1/07–6/30/08
Italy:	
Certain Pasta, A–475–818	7/1/07–6/30/08
Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils, A–475–824	7/1/07–6/30/08
Japan:	
Clad Steel Plate, A–588–838	7/1/07–6/30/08
Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils, A–588845	7/1/07–6/30/08
Polyvinyl Alcohol, A–588–861	7/1/07–6/30/08
Mexico:	
Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils, A–201–822	7/1/07–6/30/08
Carboxymethylcellulose, A–201–834	7/1/07–6/30/08
Netherlands: Carboxymethylcellulose, A–421–811	7/1/07–6/30/08
Russia:	
Solid Urea, A–821–801	7/1/07–6/30/08
Ferrovandium and Nitrided Vanadium, A–821–807	7/1/07–6/30/08
South Korea: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils, A–580–834	7/1/07–6/30/08
Sweden: Carboxymethylcellulose, A–401–808	7/1/07–6/30/08
Taiwan:	
Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, A–583–837	7/1/07–6/30/08
Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils, A–583–831	7/1/07–6/30/08
Thailand: Carbon Steel butt-Weld Pipe Fittings, A–549–807	7/1/07–6/30/08
Turkey: Certain Pasta, A–489–805	7/1/07–6/30/08
Ukraine: Solid Urea, A–823–801	7/1/07–6/30/08
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
India: Polyethylene Terephthalate (PET) Film, C–533–825	1/1/07–12/31/07

¹ Or the next business day, if the deadline falls on a weekend, federal holiday or any other day when the Department is closed.

	Period
Italy: Certain Pasta, C-475-819	1/1/07-12/31/07
Turkey: Certain Pasta, C-489-806	1/1/07-12/31/07
Suspension Agreements	
Russia: Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, A-821-809	7/1/07-6/30/08

In accordance with section 351.213(b) of the regulations, an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review, and the requesting party must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters.² If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which were produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Please note that, for any party the Department was unable to locate in prior segments, the Department will not accept a request for an administrative review of that party absent new information as to the party's location. Moreover, if the interested party who files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party's attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), the Department has clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The

public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders. See also the Import Administration Web site at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov>.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified above, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data for U.S. imports during the POR. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order (APO) to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 20 days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within 10 calendar days of publication of the initiation **Federal Register** notice.

Six copies of the request should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room 1870, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The Department also asks parties to serve a copy of their requests to the Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Operations, Attention: Sheila Forbes, in room 3065 of the main Commerce Building. Further, in accordance with section 351.303(f)(1)(i) of the regulations, a copy of each request must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

The Department will publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of "Initiation of Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation" for requests received by the last day of July 2008. If the Department does not receive, by the last

day of July 2008, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct the U.S. Customs and Border Protection to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.

Dated: July 1, 2008.

Stephen J. Claeys,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. E8-15511 Filed 7-10-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Howard Hughes Medical Institute; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Electron Microscopes

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, as amended by Public Law 106-36; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 2104, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 08-026. *Applicant:* Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD 20815. *Instrument:* Electron Microscope, Model Tecnai Spirit T12BT. *Manufacturer:* FEI Company, Czech Republic. *Intended Use:* See notice at 73 FR 34704, June 18, 2008.

Comments: None received. *Decision:* Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as these instruments are intended to be used, was being manufactured in the United States at the time the instruments were

² If the review request involves a non-market economy and the parties subject to the review request do not qualify for separate rates, all other exporters of subject merchandise from the non-market economy country who do not have a separate rate will be covered by the review as part of the single entity of which the named firms are a part.