(OMB No. 1121–0249). In the time since submitting that collection for OMB approval, the President signed The Deaths in Custody Act of 2000 into law (Pub. L. 106–297). To comply with Pub. L. 106–297's new requirement for a quarterly collection of inmate death data from local jails, State prisons, and juvenile facilities, OMB granted BJS an expanded clearance under the existing number (OMB No. 1121–0249) for the following series of forms: NPS–4, NPS–4A, NPS–5, NPS–5A, CJ–9, CJ–9A, CJ–10, and CJ–10A.

When this expanded OMB Clearance No. 1121-0249 was granted in September 2001, BIS had not vet developed a data collection strategy for measuring deaths in law enforcement custody "in the process of arrest", as required by Pub. L. 106-297. At this time, BJS proposes a data collection program to measure these law enforcement deaths which utilizes State-level central reporters (one reporter from each of the 50 States and the District of Columbia) from each State's criminal justice Statistical Analysis Center (SAC) to provide information for the following categories: (a) During each reporting quarter, the number of deaths of persons in the custody of State and local law enforcement during the process of arrest; (b) The deceased's name, date of birth, gender, race/Hispanic origin, and legal status at time of death; (c) The date and location of death, the manner and medical cause of death, and whether an autopsy was performed; (d) The law enforcement agency involved, and the offenses for which the inmate was being charged; (e) In cases of death prior to booking, whether death was the result of a pre-existing medical condition or injuries sustained at the crime or arrest scene, and whether the officer(s) involved used any weapons to cause the death; (f) In cases of death prior to booking, whether the deceased was under restraint in the time leading up to the death, and whether their behavior at the arrest scene included threats or the use of any force against the arresting officers; (g) In cases of death after booking, the time and date of the deceased's entry into the law enforcement booking facility where the death occurred, and the medical and mental condition of the deceased at the time of entry; and (h) In cases of accidental, homicide or suicide deaths after booking) who and what were the means of death (e.g., suicide by means of hanging).

In States where the SAC cannot perform this function, a statewide central reporter will be selected from among the following: the State Attorney General's office, the State police, the State Medical Examiner's Office, and the State respondent to the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Uniform Crime Reporting program. This collection will supplement the existing quarterly data collections on State prison, local jail and juvenile correctional facility inmate deaths which the Bureau of Justice Statistics has already begun in order to implement Pub. L. 106-297. The Bureau of Justice Statistics will use this new information to publish an annual report on deaths in custody. The report will be made available to the U.S. Congress, Executive Officer of the President, practitioners, researchers, students, the media, and others interested in criminal justice statistics and data.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: There are an estimated 3,236 respondents associated with this collection. The estimated average time to respond per form is listed below.

Quarterly Summary of Inmate Deaths in State Prisons (NPS-4)/quarterly—51 respondents (average response time = 5 minutes)

State Prison Inmate Death Report (NPS–4A)/quarterly—51 respondents (average response time = 30 minutes per reported death)

Quarterly Summary of Deaths in State Juvenile Residential Facilities (NPS– 5)/quarterly—51 respondents (average response time = 5 minutes)

State Juvenile Residential Death Report (NPS-5A)/quarterly—51 respondents (average response time = 30 minutes per reported death)

Quarterly Report on Inmate Deaths
Under Jail Jurisdiction (CJ–9)/
quarterly—2,989 respondents (average
response time = 5 minutes + 30
minutes per reported death)

Annual Summary on Inmates Under Jail Jurisdiction (CJ–9A)/annual—2,989 respondents (average response time = 15 minutes)

Quarterly Report on Inmate Deaths in Private and Multi-Jurisdiction Jails (CJ–10)/quarterly—94 respondents (average response time = 5 minutes + 30 minutes per reported death)

Annual Summary on Inmates in Private and Multi-Jurisdiction Jails (CJ–10A)/ annual—94 respondents (average response time = 15 minutes)

Quarterly Summary of Deaths in Law Enforcement Custody (CJ–11)/ quarterly—51 respondents (average response time = 5 minutes)

Law Enforcement Custodial Death Report (CJ-11A)/quarterly—51 respondents (average response time = 60 minutes per reported death). (6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: There are an estimated 4,319 burden hours annually associated with this information collection.

If additional information is required contact: Brenda E. Dyer, Department Deputy Clearance Officer, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Department of Justice, Patrick Henry Building, Suite 1600, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: November 5, 2002.

Brenda E. Dyer,

Department Deputy Clearance Officer, Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 02–28738 Filed 11–12–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND PLACE: 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, November 19, 2002.

PLACE: NTSB Conference Center, 429 L'Enfant Plaza SW., Washington, DC 20594.

STATUS: The One Item is Open to the Public.

MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED:

7508 Railroad Accident Report—Collision of Two Canadian National/Illinois Central Railway Trains near Clarkston, Michigan, November 15, 2001.

News Media Contact: Telephone: (202) 314–6100.

Individuals requesting specific accommodations should contact Ms. Carolyn Dargan at (202) 314–6305 by Friday, November 15, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vicky D'Onofrio, (202) 314–6410.

Dated: November 8, 2002.

Vicky D'Onofrio,

Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 02–28947 Filed 11–8–02; 3 pm]

BILLING CODE 7533-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50–272, 50–311, 50–354, and 50–219; License Nos. DPR–70, DPR–75, NPF–57, and DPR–16]

PSEG Nuclear, LLC and Amergen Energy Company, LLC; Notice of Issuance of Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor

Regulation, has issued a Director's Decision with regard to a letter dated September 17, 2001, filed by the UNPLUG Salem Campaign, hereinafter referred to as the "petitioner." The petition was supplemented on January 9 and 10, 2002. The petition concerns the operation of the Salem Nuclear Generating Station, Unit Nos. 1 and 2 (Salem), Hope Creek Generating Station (Hope Creek), and Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station (Oyster Creek).

The petitioner requested that the U.S. **Nuclear Regulatory Commission** (Commission or NRC) take the following

actions:

(1) Order either the closure of, or an immediate security upgrade at, the Salem, Hope Creek, and Oyster Creek.

(2) Order the plants' defenses to be upgraded to withstand a jet crash similar to that which occurred at the World Trade Center (WTC) on September 11, 2001.

(3) Require all spent fuel pools to be brought into the containment buildings, or a new containment building, able to withstand a jet crash, should be built for

(4) Cancel all plans for a dry cask storage at any of New Jersey's plants until a jet-bomber-proofed containment is built for them.

(5) Triple the number of Operational Safeguards Response Evaluation (OSRE) security inspections.

(6) Cancel proposals to allow nuclear plants to conduct their own security

inspections. As a basis for the request described above, the Petitioner cited the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, stating that New Jersey's four nuclear power plants are vulnerable to terrorist threats, including a suicide airplane attack similar to the attack on the WTC. The UNPLUG Salem Campaign considers such operation to be potentially unsafe and to be in violation of Federal

regulations.

On December 7, 2001, the NRC staff informed the Petitioner in a telephone call that the Commission had decided to treat the letter dated September 17, 2001, as a petition pursuant to § 2.206 of title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR 2.206). In addition, the NRC staff informed the Petitioner that because the September 17, 2001, letter raised sensitive security issues, the Commission was deferring application of certain public aspects of the process described in Management Directive (MD) 8.11, "Review Process for 10 CFR 2.206 Petitions," pending further developments related to the NRC's security review. Accordingly, the NRC staff did not offer the Petitioner the opportunity to provide, in a public

forum, additional information to support the September 17, 2001, letter before the NRC's Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR) Petition Review Board (PRB). Rather, the NRC staff requested that the Petitioner forward any additional information related to the petition to the assigned petition manager.

By an acknowledgment letter dated December 20, 2001, the NRC staff formally notified the Petitioner that the letter dated September 17, 2001, met the criteria for review under 10 CFR 2.206, and that the NRC staff would act on the request within a reasonable time. The acknowledgment letter further stated that the Commission had, in effect, partially granted the Petitioner's request for immediate actions in that the NRC took action immediately after September 11, 2001, to enhance security at all nuclear facilities, including the four nuclear power plants located in New Jersey. The NRC staff also informed the Petitioner in the acknowledgment letter that the issues raised in the petition were being referred to NRR for

appropriate action.

The Petitioner responded to the acknowledgment letter by electronic mail on January 9 and 10, 2002, and provided additional information that the staff considered in its evaluation of the petition. When the NRC received the Petitioner's original letter and additional information, it was determining the criteria for releasing security-related information in light of the events of September 11, 2001. As such, certain correspondence was initially withheld from the public document room due to the potential for sensitive, security-related information to be contained in these documents. With the exception of one report, the Petitioner's incoming letter and subsequent correspondence are now publicly-available.

The NRC sent a copy of the proposed Director's Decision to the Petitioner and to licensees for comment on May 16, 2002. The Petitioner responded with comments on August 4 and 7, 2002, and PSEG Nuclear LLC (PSEG) responded on June 21, 2002. The comments and the NRC staff's response to them are included with the Director's Decision.

The Petitioner raised a number of issues associated with protecting our nation's nuclear power plants from terrorism. However, long before the tragic events of September 11, 2001, the Commission had recognized the need for strict safeguards and security measures at these facilities. NRC regulations have ensured that nuclear power plants are among the most hardened and secure industrial facilities

in our nation. Since September 11, 2001, the NRC has directed a number of security enhancements at nuclear power plants to address the continuing threat environment. The Congress, as well as other Federal, State, and Local governmental authorities involved in protecting public health and safety, have also responded to protect all industrial facilities, both nuclear and non-nuclear, against terrorism. The Director of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation has determined that the Commission has, in effect, partially granted certain elements of the Petitioner's request for increased security at Salem, Hope Creek, and Oyster Creek to the extent that many of the Petitioner's requests were included within the scope of Orders issued to all nuclear power plants on February 25, 2002, and are a part of the NRC staff's comprehensive review to evaluate the agency's security and safeguards programs. The reasons for this decision are explained in the Director's Decision pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206 DD-02-03, the complete text of which is available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland, or from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, http:/ /www.nrc.gov/reading-rm.html (the Public Electronic Reading Room). Documents associated with this Director's Decision may be found in ADAMS by referencing Package Accession No. ML022470404, or individually as follows: (1) Director's Decision, ML022470314; (2) UNPLUG Salem response dated August 4, 2002, ML022480149; (3) Union of Concerned Scientists letter dated August 7, 2002, ML022480163; (4) PSEG letter dated June 21, 2002, ML022480173; and (5) Memorandum to Ledyard Marsh, "Staff Response to Comments on Proposed Director's Decision," ML022470402.

A copy of the Director's Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206 of the Commission's regulations. As provided for by this regulation, the Director's Decision will constitute the final action of the Commission 25 days after the date of the decision, unless the Commission, on its own motion, institutes a review of the Director's Decision in that time.

Dated in Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of November, 2002.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Jon R. Johnson**,

Deputy Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 02–28761 Filed 11–12–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Reclearance of a Revised Information Collection: RI 25–49

AGENCY: Office of Personnel

Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13, May 22, 1995 and 5 CFR part 1320), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for reclearance of a revised information collection. RI 25–49, Verification of Full-Time School Attendance, is used to verify that adult student annuitants are entitled to payments. OPM must confirm that a full-time enrollment has been maintained.

Approximately 10,000 RI 25–49 forms are completed annually. Each form takes approximately 60 minutes to complete. The annual estimated burden is 10,000 hours

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606–8358, FAX (202) 418–3251 or e-mail to *mbtoomey@opm.gov*. Please include your mailing address with your request. **DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received within 30 calendar

days from the date of this publication. **ADDRESSES:** Send or deliver comments

Ronald W. Melton, Chief, Operations Support Division, Retirement and Insurance Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 3349, Washington, DC 20415–3540. and

Stuart Shapiro, OPM Desk Officer, Office of Information & Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR INFORMATION REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION—CONTACT:

Cyrus S. Benson, Team Leader, Desktop Publishing and Printing Team, Budget & Administrative Services Division, (202) 606–0623. Office of Personnel Management.

Kay Coles James,

Director.

[FR Doc. 02-28808 Filed 11-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-50-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for a Revised Information Collection: Generic Survey Plan

AGENCY: Office of Personnel

Management. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) will submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request for review of a revised information collection. The Generic Survey Plan was revised to be an umbrella clearance for all OPM customer satisfaction surveys used with OPM programs and services. This Plan satisfies the requirements of Executive Order 12862 and the guidelines set forth in OMB's Resources Manual for Customer Surveys.

The surveys completed will include web-based (electronic), paper-based, telephone and focus groups. We estimate approximately 3,997,780 surveys will be completed in FY 2003, 4,747,790 surveys in FY 2004 and 6,129,100 surveys in FY 2005. The time estimate varies from 1 minute to 2 hours with the average being 15 minutes. The annual estimated burden is 614,802 hours for FY 2003, 704,812 hours for FY 2004, and 794,769 hours for FY 2005.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on FAX (202) 418–3251 or E-mail to mbtoomey@opm.gov. Please include a mailing address with your request.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received on or before December 13, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to:

Mary Beth Smith-Toomey, OPM PRA Officer, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E St., NW., Room 5415, Washington, DC 20415.

and

Stuart Shapiro, Agency Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, 725 17th St., NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503. Office of Personnel Management.

Kay Coles James,

Director.

[FR Doc. 02-28811 Filed 11-12-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-47-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request for Revised Information Collection: OPM Form 1300, Presidential Management Intern Program Application

AGENCY: Office of Personnel

Management. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) submitted a request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review of a revised information collection for OPM Form 1300, Presidential Management Intern Program Application. Approval of the Presidential Management Intern Program (PMI) application is necessary to facilitate the timely nomination, selection and placement of Presidential Management Întern finalists in Federal agencies.

The 60-day **Federal Register** Notice was published on June 24, 2002 (FR Doc. 02-15805) to request comments. No comments were received. The following changes have been made to the application: (1) A cover page was added to provide application instructions, updated Privacy Act Statement and updated Public Burden Statement; (2) removed the unique control number that was pre-printed within the footer of the form that is scanned in along with the applicant's information, this has been replaced with the applicant's Social Security Number on each page; (3) added an additional occupational preference (area of work interest) to include "Transportation"; and (4) minor edits and spacing.

We estimate 2000 applications will be received and processed in the 2002/2003 open season for PMI applications. Each application takes approximately 2 hours to complete (one hour for applicants (nominees) and one hour for nominating school official(s)). The annual estimated burden is 4,000 hours.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey at (202) 606–8358, fax (202) 418–3251 or e-mail to *mbtoomey@opm.gov*. Please include your complete mailing address with your request.